

The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity

This page intentionally left blank

The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity

**Modernity Simplified to the level of a 'poor-man'
(i.e., one with limited ability, or time, to carefully
read, write, and reason about the times one lives in)**

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Source Ebook PDF: <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2011/06/the-poor-mans-guide-to-modernity-ze-ebook.pdf>

Source Ebook Online: <http://poor-mans-guide-to-modernity.blogspot.com/>

website: <http://humanbeingsfirst.org>

Table of Contents

Chapter-1	Introduction Modernity Simplified	4
Chapter-2	Behavior Control	8
Chapter-3	World Order	38
Chapter-4	Arc of Crisis	51
Chapter-5	Conspiracy Theory	60
Chapter-6	Science and Empire	76
Chapter-7	Islam and Empire	101
Chapter-8	Stooges and Empire	120
Chapter-9	Obedience Training	130
Chapter-10	White Man's Burden	160
Chapter-11	House of Rothschild	183
Chapter-12	Redemption	199

About Zahir Ebrahim

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents [here](#)), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by countless publishers and can be read on the web at <http://PrisonersoftheCave.org>. He may be reached at <http://Humanbeingsfirst.org>. Verbatim reproduction license and use of copyrighted material at <http://humanbeingsfirst.org#Copyright>.

NOTICE

**The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity Ebook is Distributed Gratis as a
Free Public Service.**

It may not be sold or charged a fee to access it.

**You are encouraged to print this Ebook and distribute gratis to your friends for
their personal educational use in the same spirit of free public service.**

(Generated on Thursday, June 16, 2011 212 70184)

Chapter 1 Introduction

Modernity Simplified

What is all this verbiage by Project Humanbeingsfirst™ really all about? Is there a reductionist and simplified explanation at the level of a 'poor-man'?

Yes. In the simplest terms: 'Might defines right'.

This leads to *"Hegemony is as old as mankind"*.

That in turn leads to the following psychological pathocracy – some call it empire:

- If we don't have real enemies then we have to create or imagine some, and yell **'we are being attacked'** in order to, principally:
 - a) justify one's hegemonic barbarianism upon others; and
 - b) motivate an unwilling plebeian peoples into sacrificing for the conquests of the oligarchic elite.

Euphemistically, today as the winning empire, this is called *"imperial mobilization"*.

Grotesquely, for previously defeated empires, it is called *"quest for Lebensraum"*.

That's all there is to it.

All which follows is only in further examination of how that is accomplished under the veneer of "democracy", because, in the absence of such deception, *"democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization"*. That quoted statement was made by Zbigniew Brzezinski in his 1997 book: The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives.

This "quest for Lebensraum" (German word for acquiring more space as an imperative), ahem, *"imperial mobilization"* of the ruling oligarchy, the *ubermensch* (German word for superior, above all others), just happens to be the age-old quest for one-world government in disguise.

It is to be achieved piece-meal, incrementally, one fait accompli at a time, one fabricated crisis at a time, one manufactured war at a time, one real or imagined pestilence at a time, and one catastrophe at a time.

The psychological pathocracy of modern statecraft requires continuous threats, crises, and uncertainty, to corral the democratic instincts of the modern public into accepting the unpleasant totalitarian agendas of the ruling oligarchy.

The solution presented for addressing each uncertainty is the next baby-step towards centralized global control of all humanity in a global empire of the financial oligarchy.

That world order, the new world order, as we can already perceive even in its initial stages, is governed with moral relativism wherein, legal opinions as those proclaimed by a United States Justice before its Supreme Court, prevail:

'Nothing is more certain in modern society than the principle that there are no absolutes, that a name, a phrases, a standard has meaning only when associated with the considerations which give birth to nomenclature. To those who would paralyze our Government in the face of impending threat by encasing it in a semantic strait-jacket, we must reply that all concepts are relative.'

The term 'oligarchic elite' refers to people who are several notches above – and more hidden from public view in their enormous wealth and their secretive exercise of 'social engineering' – than what is typically understood by the term 'ruling elite', or simply the 'elite'. The latter often refers to the generally affluent 1% of any society, more interested in being rich and running corporations than in 'social engineering', and many among them can be found in the Forbes' richest people listing.

But one will never see a Rothschild, or David Rockefeller listed in Forbes billionaires list. That's perhaps because they are trillionaires, with all their massive wealth legally hidden behind private tax-exempt foundations, and in their opaque ownership of private banks, which in turn own many a private central bank! They can muster vast sums of private monies for 'social engineering', and administer untold funds through their tax-exempt foundations and think-tanks. They are the proverbial 'king makers' who craft 'errand boys' to do their policy bidding.

To unmask them all before fait accompli is Project Humanbeingsfirst's imperative – i.e., before

it's all a done deal.

Ex post facto, narrators can rehearse the deceptions and their disentanglement all in the comforts of one-world government. And of course laugh their way to fame and fortune just as today's narrators call it erudite scholarship to openly rehearse the settlement of the Americas and the genocide of millions of its indigenous peoples.

Even the sixth graders in elementary schools today throughout the North American continent learn of the choice between the gattling-gun (force) and the small-pox laden bacteriological warfare (treachery) magnanimously offered to the indigenous natives, without batting their own eye-lids or offering a few tears in compensation.

Detachment from history and from previous generations who inflict crimes upon the 'lesser humanity', the '*untermenschen*' (German word for 'lesser peoples'), evidently washes away both the evidence and the guilt. But not the bold and often truthful narratives which become openly public, and their bearers lauded scholars and touted academics.

In the transition to the modernity of today, the euphemisms have become considerably refined with the march of Western civilization. It is now the more egalitarian choice between "democracy" (euphemism for force) and "revolution" (euphemism for treachery) under the dialectical "*either you are with us, or you are with the terrorists*", that is being magnanimously offered to the indigenous natives along the "*arc of crisis*" in the "*Global Zone of Percolating Violence*". The objectives unfortunately remain the same as in antiquity – by hook or by crook usurping what does not belong to one. The two quoted descriptive phrases are once again Zbigniew Brzezinski's, respectively from a January 15, 1979 Time magazine article titled 'IRAN: The Crescent of Crisis', and from Brzezinski's already mentioned 1997 book.

Thusly, in the case of our modernity as well, perhaps only our progeny might also bear truthful witness to the crimes and sham of intellectualism of their hypocritical ancestors – scholars, leaders, military-men, holy-men, politicians, teachers, news-bearers, both in the West and the East, on the left and the right – who hath proclaimed to stand for truth, but who only aided and abetted vile hegemonic power with their own convolutions and confabulations. Modernity du jour is entirely unwilling to unravel their confusing and deceptive narratives.

We must wait a 100 years, or perhaps only 20, before anyone who is a somebody will again truthfully proclaim how new 'smallpox laden blankets' were used to fashion one-world government. Before then, it is all to be dismissed as 'conspiracy theory'.

This is why, as had been self-servingly predicted by the Council on Foreign Relations in 1974:

'... it will look like a great 'booming, buzzing confusion' to use William James' famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.'

That, in the simplest of terms, is Modernity Simplified to the level of a 'poor-man' (i.e., one with limited ability, or time, to carefully read, write, and reason about the times one lives in).

Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/10/faq2008.html#Modernity-Simplified>

Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/06/poor-mans-guide-to-modernity.html>

Mirror URL: <http://humanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/2011/06/06/the-poor-mans-guide-to-modernity-by-zahir-ebrahim/>

Chapter 2 Behavior Control

Behavior Control: Architecture of Modern Propaganda

Edward Bernays, the nephew of Sigmund Freud, began his seminal 1928 book simply titled *Propaganda*, with these ominous words:

'The conscious and intelligent manipulation of the organized habits and opinions of the masses is an important element in democratic society. Those who manipulate this unseen mechanism of society constitute an invisible government which is the true ruling power of our country. We are governed, our minds are molded, our tastes formed, our ideas suggested, largely by men we have never heard of.' --- Edward Bernays, 1928, pg.1, [Propaganda](#)

Aldous Huxley, on the 30th anniversary of his own seminal 1931 allegorical novel *Brave New World*, made the following dreadful observations in the very opening segment of his talk on the Ultimate Revolution upon which mankind and modernity are perilously perched:

'You can do everything with bayonets except sit on them! If you are going to control any population for any length of time you must have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them. Well, it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude! This is the, it seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution shall we say.' --- Aldous Huxley, [1962 speech at UC Berkeley, minute 04:06](#)

In order to understand how the comprehension of both Edward Bernays and Aldous Huxley, though both long dead, still manifests itself in these times, we must begin with the Mighty

Wurlitzer.

What is the 'Mighty Wurlitzer'?

It used to be the honorific of Frank Wisner, the first chief of political warfare for the Central Intelligence Agency, used to describe the C.I.A.'s plethora of front organizations and newsmedia stooges that he was capable of playing (like a great organ with many keyboards) for synthesizing any propaganda tune that was needed for the day. See **Operation Mockingbird** (<http://www.spartacus.schoolnet.co.uk/JFKmockingbird.htm>) (PDF).

The fact that such an omnipresent Message-Machine is not ancient history but very much current affairs, is underscored by this NYT headline “**Behind TV Analysts, Pentagon’s Hidden Hand**”, Sunday, April 20, 2008 (<http://tinyurl.com/6qhfgf>). Also see Jessica Lynch Media Myth-Making in Iraq War during **Operation “Iraqi Freedom”**.

Therefore, today, I use the term '**Mighty Wurlitzer**' as a metaphor to pluralistically refer to the same message-machine, i.e., the intelligence apparatus for manufacturing consent and controlling dissent, and its concomitant conscious manipulation of peoples' thoughts, feelings, actions and in-actions, in order to serve the primacy interests of the ruling-elite. The latter are, invariably, also the de facto owners of the complete messaging-system now even more globally ubiquitous than when Frank Wisner played the world for a fool.

This 'grand organ' is now able to even more effectively synthesize, implant, and reinforce, all the right set of beliefs (myths) among the entire world's public – by suitably combining 'events' with imaginative 'expos' writing – which appropriately primes the world populations to acquiesce to the oligarchic agendas. While playing this orchestra is now an integral part of all state-craft, its major musical themes are entirely determined by the behind the scenes owners of the system. While some might refer to the underlying techniques as propaganda and psy-ops, 'Mighty Wurlitzer' singularly captures the messaging-system controlled under a unified purpose of command which is both highly compartmentalized and cellularized. Only the Mighty Wurlitzer knows the entire tune.

What this means is that not all who willingly cooperate with the Mighty Wurlitzer in synchronistically humming its themes are knowingly being purveyors of its myths and

deception. Many of its most shrill echoers are often well intentioned functionaries who are fed different motivating myths at different levels in the hierarchy – sometimes the lie is different at every level – such that it suitably motivates each according to their own predilection, professional station, and mission statement.

The Mighty Wurlitzer operates on the core premise which has been empirically shown to psychologically motivate most human action. That premise was elegantly captured in the following insightful observation made by the so called “[Terrorism Study Group](#)”, that

“Public Assumptions’ Shape Views of History: Such presumptions are beliefs (1) thought to be true (although not necessarily known to be true with certainty), and (2) shared in common within the relevant political community. The sources for such presumptions are both personal (from direct experience) and vicarious (from books, movies, and myths).”

Successfully implanting such presumptions and pre-suppositions among any group is to motivate its overall actions in accordance with those implanted beliefs. Thus, many intelligent peoples for whom it is otherwise inexplicable to understand why they persist in 'United We Stand' with absurdities, are motivated to react sympathetically to those absurdities.

To barely catch a glimpse of how it's partially done, the following description by Col. Fletcher Prouty from the Preface to the first edition of his 1973 book “[The Secret Team](#)” is instructive ([PDF book](#)):

'There is another category of writer and self-proclaimed authority on the subjects of secrecy, intelligence, and containment. This man is the suave, professional parasite who gains a reputation as a real reporter by disseminating the scraps and "Golden Apples" thrown to him by the great men who use him. This writer seldom knows and rarely cares that many of the scraps from which he draws his material have been planted, that they are controlled leaks, and that he is being used, and glorified as he is being used, by the inside secret intelligence community.

Allen Dulles had a penchant for cultivating a number of such writers with big names and inviting them to his table for a medieval style luncheon in that great room across the hall from his own offices in the old CIA headquarters on the hill

overlooking Foggy Bottom. Here, he would discuss openly and all too freely the same subjects that only hours before had been carefully discussed in the secret inner chambers of the operational side of that quiet Agency. In the hands of Allen Dulles, "secrecy" was simply a chameleon device to be used as he saw fit and to be applied to lesser men according to his schemes. It is quite fantastic to find people like Daniel Ellsberg being charged with leaking official secrets simply because the label on the piece of paper said "top secret," when the substance of many of the words written on those same papers was patently untrue and no more than a cover story. Except for the fact that they were official lies, these papers had no basis in fact, and therefore no basis to be graded top secret or any other degree of classification. Allen Dulles would tell similar cover stories to his coterie of writers, and not long thereafter they would appear in print in some of the most prestigious papers and magazines in the country, totally unclassified, and of course, cleverly untrue.

In every case, the chance for complete information is very small, and the hope that in time researchers, students, and historians will be able to ferret out truth from untruth, real from unreal, and story from cover story is at best a very slim one. Certainly, history teaches us that one truth will add to and enhance another; but let us not forget that one lie added to another lie will demolish everything. This is the important point. Consider the past half century. How many major events -- really major events -- have there been that simply do not ring true? How many times has the entire world been shaken by alarms of major significance, only to find that the events either did not happen at all, or if they did, that they had happened in a manner quite unlike the original story?

Coldly implicit in Col. Prouty's afore-quoted empirical statement: **“and the hope that in time researchers, students, and historians will be able to ferret out truth from untruth, real from unreal, and story from cover story is at best a very slim one”**, is the Machiavellian notion of sewing faits accomplis in current affairs by straight-jacketing all public discourse in deception, and leaving the ferreting out of 'truth' to future generation of scholars and historians when separating myths from the calculus of hegemony can at best only be a bogus academic exercise entirely irrelevant to reversing the faits accomplis already sewn. See [Convince People of Absurdities and get them Acquiescing to Atrocities: The Enduring Power of Machiavellian Political Science](http://tinyurl.com/historys-actors) (<http://tinyurl.com/historys-actors>). Also see [Unlayering the Middle East War Agenda: Making Sense of Absurdities](http://tinyurl.com/unpeeling-lies) (<http://tinyurl.com/unpeeling-lies>).

Wikileaks and the Mighty Wurlitzer driving Imperial Mobilization

A pertinent example of Col. Fletcher Prouty's fabricated leaks noted above, is the Wikileaks' July 2010 disclosures of 'The Afghanistan Papers' which revealed nothing new.

Wikileaks has always been a rather transparent Mighty Wurlitzer ops. It is trivial to see through the absurdity of its existence despite it promoting itself as being a sort of watchdog upon the empire, and therefore, ostensibly, being inimical to its unbridled quest for “*full spectrum dominance*” – just like Al Jazeera television based in Qatar, which too, absurdly enough, is permitted to function unhindered in the same nation as America's CENTCOM headquarters. Would it not be trivial for an armed to the teeth National Security State to take-out either apparatus rather trivially? And that may happen once the useful idiots have outlived their utility, for *he who sups with the devil must have a long spoon!*

The reason each is allowed to function is of course social engineering, the sine qua non for waging modern warfare upon civilian populations by way of deception. It spans the entire gamut of engineering consent, from mantra creation in the mainstream and diabolically controlling

Wikileaks: Osama bin Laden is alive and playing a key role in directing the war in Afghanistan, leaked US military files suggest



Multiple intelligence reports on the whereabouts of the al-Qaeda leader are contained among the documents. They disclose publicly for the first time that bin Laden is thought to be personally overseeing the work of suicide bombers and the makers of Taliban roadside bombs which have had a devastating effect on British and US troops. A secret “threat report” drafted by the Nato-led International Security Assistance Force (Isaf) in 2006 locates bin Laden as well as the Taliban leader Mullah Omar to the Pakistani city of Quetta as well as several villages on the Afghan border. --- UK Telegraph 27 July 2010.



dissent in order to control all opposition, to actually fabricating the visible pretexts which can naturally ripen the conditions for the mantra of “*clash of civilizations*” to be called real before the Western public in order to sustain the otherwise untenable “*imperial mobilization*”. Zbigniew Brzezinski had most succinctly summed up this motivation in his book *The Grand Chessboard – American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives*: “**Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization**”.

How can one tell manufactured reportage and fabricated leaks from the real stuff? How can one see through the Mighty Wurlitzer?

As daunting as it might appear to the mainstream television watcher, it is in fact rather straightforward for those unencumbered by blind faith in governments and its statecraft. Just look for the core-lies and unquestioned axioms of empire that are typically retained in the “leaks” and reportage which, in order to sound credible, often openly expose what is mostly already known anyway or judiciously employ some variation of “Limited Hangout” wrapped in a veneer of dissent, ‘freedom of the press’, and often accompanied by the facade of angst and opposition from the state.

Furthermore, look for some of the lauded

‘I am aware that there is still some who would question, or even justify the offense of 911.

But let us be clear. Al Qaeeda killed nearly 3000 people on that day. The victims were innocent men, women, and children from America and many other nations who had done nothing to harm anybody.

And yet Al Qaeeda chose to ruthlessly murder these people, claimed credit for the attack, and even now states their determination to kill on a massive scale.

They have affiliates in many countries, to try to expand their reach.

These are not opinions to be debated. These are facts to be dealt with.’ --- President Obama, Cairo Egypt, June 4th 2009, 911 and Imperial Mobilization Redux By Zahir Ebrahim



'In the summer of 2005, the commission of the European parliament for security and defense, of which I am a member, was invited to a special screening created by the Washington Center for Strategic Studies

We were asked to watch a film which depicted what would happen in Europe, if Brussels was hit by a nuclear bomb. Fifty thousand deaths, hundred thousand injured. The reactions of various European governments.

dissent names rushing to support the Limited Hangout – just as it was with Daniel Ellsberg for his infamous *Pentagon Papers* – to afford a veneer of legitimacy to the whistleblowing revelations of supposed state-secrets having caused some great harm to the state. The extravagance enacted in the mainstream media, alternately making heroes of the whistleblowers and demonizing them, is a giveaway to the circus show being enacted for plebeian consumption. For, it matters not which side one takes, as both sides are patently false, crafted of calculated omissions and half-truths that retain core-lies, right out of the text book of the Technique of Infamy : **invent two lies and keep the public busy debating which of them is true!**

Suddenly, footage of Osama Bin Laden claiming responsibility for a nuclear attack on NATO headquarters comes on screen.

All members of the parliament, myself included, were rendered speechless.

Then a parliamentarian finally said:

“Today we were shown a convincing demonstration of how Osama Bin Laden's image can be completely manipulated.

All the Osama's we have seen over the years, may never have existed.

Just as a nuclear attack on NATO Headquarters in Brussels has never taken place.” --- ZERO

The role of crafty omissions in fabricating propaganda was best captured by Aldous Huxley in his Preface to *Brave New World* thusly:

‘The greatest triumphs of propaganda have been accomplished, not by doing something, but by refraining from doing. Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. **By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals.** But silence is not enough. If persecution, liquidation and the other symptoms of social friction are to be avoided, the positive sides of propaganda must be made as effective as the negative.’ — Aldous Huxley, Preface (circa 1946) to *Brave New World*, 1931, Harper, pg. 11

To uncover omissions in a discourse is very difficult for the public who do not often have command over the domain in which the falsehoods are being perpetuated. As the

psychological insight already quoted above from the Terrorism Study Group betrays, ***“Public Assumptions’ Shape Views of History. Such presumptions are beliefs (1) thought to be true (although not necessarily known to be true with certainty), and (2) shared in common within the relevant political community.”*** Which is why inculcating ignorance, especially political-historical ignorance pertaining to international relations, and being trusting of authority figures and the state, are the pre-requisites for any vile propaganda to succeed! A well-bred lack of skepticism to authority figures, whether to mainstream leaders in politics and to experts in scientific disciplines, or to dissenting chiefs playing controlled opposition, thus becomes the heart of social engineering.

This surfeit of blind trust in authority is what is ultimately harvested by the Mighty Wurlitzer. For a skeptical public, the tunes of the Mighty Wurlitzer would fall on very deaf ears and public governance for private agendas would be well-nigh impossible in democratic nations. This is qualitatively no different than the power exercised by the religious clergy upon their faithful flock in any religion. Except that modernity has perniciously replaced them with multi-faceted secular clergies, the “experts”, each demanding obedience from its own 'United We Stand' trusting flock in all aspects of modern life.

This is also why “leaking” information from “experts” and “insiders” commands such a premium in Machiavellian democratic statecraft. When used judiciously so as not to dilute its impact, it can herd the flock in pretty much any direction that is desired.

As further empirically evidenced in the forensic analysis presented here, these so called whistleblowing of *leaky buckets* also succeed in accomplishing two important elements of statecraft:

- vicariously reinvigorate in the short-term public memory, the already established-by-fiat facts and core-axioms of empire;
- establish new convenient facts on the ground which are subsequently accepted as revealed gospel truths because of the already established thought-stream by the scholars of empire that when something is held in secret or is classified and subsequently declassified, or is prematurely leaked to the public, that it must contain some genuine “state secrets”, and never red herrings. Such thought-streams enable the directives of NSC 10/2 for plausible deniability (and those like it which we do not know about) to be trivially impressed upon the public mind (see [Anatomy of Conspiracy](#)

Theory). These revelations of presumed “state-secrets” subsequently become the new unquestioned backdrops for both state policies and public discourses – the new “*doctrinal motivations*” – with copious help from the Mighty Wurlitzer's refined machinery.

This enables the successful deployment of pre-planned policy prescriptions which craftily impel the various incantations of hegemony forward in baby-steps. Both, domestically by incrementally clamping down hard on rising discontent in the name of “national security”, and internationally by continuing to wage unpopular wars of preemption upon the 'untermenschen'. The infernal enemy has now been (re)confirmed to exist (despite popular skepticism) since even empire's own henchmen in their secret documents also affirm that belief (sic!). Speak of self-servingly suffering from a incestuously self-reinforced “crippled epistemology”!

Zbigniew Brzezinski in his 1996 book *The Grand Chessboard*, un-abashedly argued the need for such invigorations of the public mind in the following majestic words, betraying his immense knowledge of Machiavellian statecraft's reliance on social engineering:

“Public opinion polls suggest that only a small minority (13 percent) of Americans favor the proposition that 'as the sole remaining superpower, the US should continue to be the preeminent world leader in solving international problems'. ... Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. ***That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.***” (page 211 and onwards, [PDF book](#))

The diabolical utility of planting of “*Public Assumptions' [that] Shape Views of History*” and therefore of current affairs, as the “*doctrinal motivation*” which can create “*intellectual commitment*”, and is rewarded by “*patriotic gratification*”, in this 'War on Terror' against the vile Militant Islam's torch bearers, the Islamofascists, cannot escape the careful reader's attention.

Predictably, with rising skepticism among the public on the utility of pursuing endless wars against illusive enemies that is making their own nation go bankrupt, more “harmful leaks” will occur, but understandably none which are actually substantial. Like, blowing the lid on 9/11 as

an inside job, directly naming the top beneficiaries who shorted the Airline stocks raking in billions, or revealing how BBC came to report the demolition of WTC-7 a full 20 minutes before it actually transpired, never mind lending confirmation to any of the forensic detective work by independent researchers from the debris of 9/11, etceteras. And the main leaker du jour, Mr. patsy Julian Assange, like Mr. patsy Lee Harvey Oswald before him, will be sacrificed, perhaps with a new 'lone gunmen' enactment, or perhaps juridically, to lend the hoopla even more public respectability. Also see Dismantling the Fiction of 'Former' and 'Ex' Intelligence – Zahir Ebrahim's Response to Philip Giraldi.

It's the exact same recipe as is used by all the other fabricated and controlled dissent assets of empire when they are not outright spinning patent lies, for spinning half-truths requires far more brilliance. One can already see the main dissent-chiefs of the West, like the venerable professor Noam Chomsky, anointed by the New York Times as *"arguably the most important intellectual alive"*, and the distinguished Daniel Ellsberg, excitedly supporting these Wikileaks exposés as if something ethereal was *"revealed in the Sinai"*.

It is not for nothing that James Jesus Angleton, Head of CIA Counter Intelligence 1954-1974, is quoted in the 1992 BBC-2 Documentary on Operation Gladio: **"Deception is a state of mind and the mind of the State"**. See: Angleton (1917 - 1987). Manufacturing Dissent with controlled opposition is an indispensable core construct of that very statecraft of deception. See: 'Manufacturing Dissent: Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science'.

With the preceding backdrop for overarching context, let's examine what I believe has been accomplished by Wikileaks in its service to empire's "War on Terrorism". Please see "What is War on Terror?" (<http://tinyurl.com/what-is-war-on-terror>) before proceeding further if you are only familiar with its rational insanity in empire's *Newspeak*. Meaning, the 'War on Terror' is not irrational. It is firmly rooted in the rational political science of *"imperial mobilization"*.

The core-lies retained in the Wikileaks' July 2010 disclosures – which I call 'the Afghanistan Papers' – is to once again reaffirm that there is a real nemesis called "Osama Bin Laden", that the "war on terror" is real, that it is being inflicted upon the West from Pakistan-Iran nexus, and to re-substantiate the handoff of former President George W. Bush's clairvoyance to the Obama Administration that *"If another September 11 style attack is being planned, it probably is being plotted in Pakistan, and not Afghanistan"*! That, when such a "planned" attack transpires, it *"will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison"*. See: **'Bin Laden': Key enabler of "imperial mobilization" and nuclear attack on Iran-Pakistan** ([The Poor-Man's Guide to Modernity](http://print-</p></div><div data-bbox=)

humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/04/binladen-keyenabler-nuclearattack.html).

The successful handoff of “*imperial mobilization*” to Pakistan and Iran, now further sprightly underscored by Wikileaks' documents, is once again demonstrated by President Obama's Secretary of State Hilary Clinton's July 2010 remark to the BBC as quoted by Reuters: “*There are still additional steps that we are asking and expecting the Pakistanis to take. But there is no doubt in anyone's mind that should an attack against the United States be traced to be Pakistani, it would (have) a very devastating impact on our relationship*”. And that is merely just another echo from the Obama Administration of what the Pakistanis themselves have been made to parrot the past 9 years, as demonstrated by its own Ambassador's remark in 2008:

[On] Wednesday, a media report quoted Pakistan's envoy to Washington as saying that US leaders had warned Islamabad that if the United States suffered an attack that was traced back to Pakistan Washington would retaliate. “Those (statements) have been made,” Ambassador Hussain Haqqani told editors and reporters at The Washington Post. “We want to make sure that it doesn't come to that.” -- DAWN, June 12, 2008

To show Pakistan's unflinching willingness to do as much more as was asked, the Ambassador of Pakistan had further stated in an interview to Reuters in 2008:

'Pakistan would attack Osama bin Laden the moment it had reliable intelligence on the Al Qaeda leader's whereabouts, Ambassador Husain Haqqani said on Wednesday. Haqqani also said he was confident Pakistan could help foil any Al Qaeda plans to attack the United States, although he did not know of any right now. “A cooperative effort between all the allies, and that includes Afghanistan, Pakistan and the United States and NATO – I think we can thwart any potential plans for an attack,” Haqqani said in an interview with Reuters.

He said Pakistani intelligence had helped defeat many of the “several dozen” Al Qaeda plots detected worldwide since the September 11, 2001, attacks, but government officials knew of no immediate threats to the United States. Haqqani said Pakistan would act on its own against Al Qaeda if necessary. “If Pakistan, Afghanistan or the United States had specific intelligence on the location of Osama bin Laden, they would have acted on it. No reservations would have come in the way of action on that, and none will even in the future,”

he said. *"If any of us had that actionable intelligence we would all act. We would act separately, we would act in tandem, we would act cooperatively – we would act."* -- DAWN, June 12, 2008

So, could these self-serving 'Afghanistan Papers' have been any more convenient as a casus belli, carrying forth the same core-lies now entering its tenth year? If Wikileaks' dramatization grabbing all the world's headlines isn't an officially sponsored **"modified limited hangout"** for exactly that purpose of reinforcing the core-lies, then the White House not even bothering to stop the New York Times – whose own motto is 'All the news that's fit to print' – from publishing it, even giving it **"all got gold stars"** as the Salon put it on July 26, 2010, is downright inexplicable:

"So, uh ... why was all of this information classified and top secret? If it's old news, and it just confirms what "everyone" already knows, what was the rationale for keeping it classified and calling WikiLeaks all sorts of mean names for publishing it?"

What would it matter afterwards, after Iran and Pakistan have been bombed, what were lies and what was truth? Did the bogus mea culpa by the 2005 Presidential Commission on intelligence failure, the Iraq Study Group's disingenuous conclusion: **"We conclude that the intelligence community was dead wrong in almost all of its prewar judgments about Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. This was a major intelligence failure,"** reverse the decimation of Iraq? Did the New York Times 2008 revelation of Pentagon's Message Machine after 'all the barbers in town already knew it', return back to its silos each and every cruise missile that was dropped upon the innocent civilians of Iraq?

That is the real import of the craftsmanship of the Mighty Wurlitzer! To engineer a fait accompli by manufacturing consent among the gullible masses and dissent among the rabble rousers, leaving future scholars, historians, and the odd malcontent to laudingly study the ashes left behind by *"history's actors"*. A diabolical modus operandi of democratic statecraft which the Mighty Wurlitzer's operators even brazenly brag about:

"We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will

be left to just study what we do.” (Ron Suskind, New York Times, Oct. 17, 2004)

It is now patently obvious with the Obama Administration officially declaring Osama Bin Laden killed in an American raid on May 1, 2011, why Wikileaks had to “leak” the officialdom's belief that he was still alive in July 2010! It is all too evident that some mileage is being derived by officially burying that nemesis at sea, a thousand miles from where they proclaim they killed him in an ambush in Abbottabad, Pakistan. Conveniently, it was in Pakistan and not Afghanistan that mankind's toughest and most resourceful nemesis was found and killed. The color coded threat alerts instantly went up worldwide. Pakistan Navy presumably already suffered a bizarre revenge attack on its naval base in Karachi from Ali Baba's elusive organization still intact, and now even more formidable than ever before. And its base of operation? Of course Pakistan!

Just as George W. Bush Jr., had intimated was the new Terror Central: ***“If another September 11 style attack is being planned, it probably is being plotted in Pakistan, and not Afghanistan”!***

Was the 43rd President of the United States, George W. Bush Jr., just inordinately insightful to predict such matters as he was preparing to hand the presidential charge to his successor on the “change” platform? Carefully dissecting the nature of such clairvoyance can perhaps also help the public to become clairvoyant in these often confusing matters on international relations, especially on what's likely to come as the next global terror threat in the aftermath of Osama Bin Laden. Let's briefly review how the terrorism of 9/11 was continually foretold by the masters of discourse themselves – for that will surely show how to treat their next bit of fortune telling.

Taking a Deeper Look into the Dynamics of Mantra Creation: Islamofascism

Let's begin at the very inception of the 'arc of crisis' which Zbigniew Brzezinski laid the groundwork for during his reign of terror upon the USSR as the National Security Advisor to the 38th President of the United States, Jimmy Carter. See Instrumenting Kosovo in the 'arc of crisis' and the 'global zone of percolating violence' (<http://tinyurl.com/arc-of-crisis>) for other details of the epoch and its connections to the present 'War on Terror'. It suffices to quote here

the following brilliantly clairvoyant statement attributed to Israeli Intelligence founder from the same epoch in 1979, a full two decades prior to 9/11:

'On Sept. 23, 1979, the founder of Israeli intelligence over dinner told me that America was developing a tolerance for terror. The gentleman's name was Isser Harel, the founder of Mossad Israeli intelligence-he ran it from 1947 to 1963. He told me that America had developed an alliance between two countries, Israel and Saudi Arabia, and that the alliance with Saudi Arabia was dangerous and would develop a tolerance for terror among Americans. He said if the tolerance continued that Islamic fundamentalists would ultimately strike America. I said "Where?" He said, ***"In Islamic theology, the phallic symbol is very important. Your biggest phallic symbol is New York City and your tallest building will be the phallic symbol they will hit."*** Isser Harel prophesied that the tallest building in New York would be the first building hit by Islamic fundamentalists 21 years ago.' [Source](#)

And Mossad again betrayed its brilliant clairvoyance 20 years later:

'The attacks on the World Trade Centre's twin towers and the Pentagon were humiliating blows to the intelligence services, which failed to foresee them, and to the defence forces of the most powerful nation in the world, which failed to deflect them. The Telegraph has learnt that two senior experts with Mossad, the Israeli military intelligence service, were sent to Washington in August to alert the CIA and FBI to the existence of a cell of as many of 200 terrorists said to be preparing a big operation. ***"They had no specific information about what was being planned but linked the plot to Osama bin Laden and told the Americans that there were strong grounds for suspecting Iraqi involvement,"*** said a senior Israeli security official.' --- [UK Telegraph, 16 Sep 2001](#)

Seeded by that "prophecy" from the stellar Israeli intelligence mind, British Zionist Svengali at Princeton University, Professor Bernard Lewis planted the **'The Roots of Muslim Rage'** in 1990 in the Council on Foreign Relations' prestigious magazine *Foreign Affairs*. An influential establishmentarian mouthpiece which is read around the world by those who believe that if you want to know what will happen ten years from now in any remote corner of the world, read *Foreign Affairs* of ten years ago:

'It should now be clear that we are facing a mood and a movement far transcending the level of issues and policies and the governments that pursue them. This is no less than a clash of civilizations – that perhaps irrational but surely historic reaction of an ancient rival against our Judeo-Christian heritage, our secular present, and the worldwide expansion of both. It is crucially important that we on our side should not be provoked into an equally historic but also equally irrational reaction against our rival.' --- Quoted in Samuel Huntington, *The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order*, 1996, pg. 213

That 'Muslim Rage' was subsequently transformed in 1996 into a full blown political ideology for governing International Relations of the sole superpower as the infamous 'Clash of Civilizations', by Bernard Lewis' confrere and fellow Zionist at Harvard University, Professor Samuel Huntington:

'The underlying problem for the West is not Islamic fundamentalism. It is Islam, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the superiority of their culture and are obsessed with the inferiority of their power. The problem for Islam is not the CIA or the US Department of Defense. It is the West, a different civilization whose people are convinced of the universality of their culture and believe that their superior, if declining, power imposes on them the obligation to extend that culture throughout the world. These are the basic ingredient that fuel conflict between Islam and the West.' --- Ibid. pg. 217

'Some Westerners, including [ex] President Bill Clinton, have argued that the West does not have problems with Islam but only with violent Islamist extremists. Fourteen hundred years of history demonstrate otherwise.... **Islam is the only civilization which has put the survival of the West in doubt, and it has done that at least twice...** The parallel concepts of 'jihad' and 'crusade' not only resemble each other...' --- Ibid. pg. 209

This systematic myth construction of 'Islamic Terror' was prime for harvesting as the global 'War on Terrorism' on September 11, 2001 by George W. Bush with the dialectical ultimatum to the world: ***"either you are with us, or with the terrorists"***! Within 15 minutes of the terrorism, the newsmedia had been awash naming the first terrorist: Osama Bin Laden! It is of

course repeated to this very day, the last time by President Obama himself while announcing the boogeyman's demise on May 1, 2011.

And all foretold by the clairvoyance of the Zionist Israeli Mossad founder, and reinforced by other Israeli Military Intelligence Mossad agents in the days just preceding 9/11, of the brilliant Islamic fundamentalists' successful attack on the West's most prominent **“phallic symbol”**.

Bernard Lewis subsequently justified George W. Bush's launching of the global 'War on Terrorism' in his phantasmic 2003 book *Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror*. First by reinforcing his earlier seeding of the mantra of 'the roots of the irrational Muslim rage', and extending those roots to Islam itself:

'But Islam, like other religions, has also known periods when it inspired in some of its followers a mood of hatred and violence. It is our misfortune that we have to confront part of the Muslim world while it is going through such a period, and when most – though by no means all – of that hatred is directed against us.' ---Crisis of Islam – Holy War and Unholy Terror, pg. 25

And then clairvoyantly predicting the following self-serving conclusions as his last word:

'If the fundamentalists are correct in their calculations and succeed in their war, then a dark future awaits the world, especially that part of it that embraces Islam.' --- Ibid. Chapter IX: The Rise of Terrorism, pg. 164

'If freedom fails and terror triumphs, the peoples of Islam will be the first and greatest victims. They will not be alone, and many others will suffer with them.' --- Ibid. Afterword, December 1, 2003, pg. 169

While Noam Chomsky called Bernard Lewis a *“vulgar propagandist”* in a very erudite interview on CBC at just about that time:

'... now, until Bernard Lewis tells us that, and that's only one piece of a long story, we know that he is just a vulgar propagandist and not a scholar. So yes, as long as we are supporting harsh brutal governments, blocking democracy and development, because of our interest in controlling the oil resources in the region, there will be a campaign of hatred against us!' ---

Interview to Evan Solomon, CBC, part-2, minute 5:50, [December 9, 2003](#),

it is instructional to observe the omissions and commissions in Chomsky's own dissent as *"arguably the most important intellectual alive"* (NYT). Noam Chomsky himself continued to echo from the very day of September 11, 2001, and still maintains so in this tenth year of 9/11, that Osama Bin Laden and Al Qaeda carried out that *day of infamy* upon which all matters 'War on Terror' hinge.

Thus, strangely enough, despite all his famous dissent, Noam Chomsky has exactly managed to echo Bernard Lewis', Samuel Huntington's, the Pentagon's, the White House's, and the Zionists' collective mantra of "Islamofascists" being the perpetrators of 9/11.

Noam Chomsky is of course, also the most outspoken champion of Wikileaks in his otherwise erudite disagreements with his opposite numbers in the establishment.

While dissent which retains the core-lies of empire while appearing to vehemently disagree with its practices is typical of all controlled dissent, in this case it would perhaps be more apropos to call it by the same epithet that Noam Chomsky himself anointed Bernard Lewis with.

Bernard Lewis and Noam Chomsky together, while cogent opposites, in fact represent the class of counterpoint tunes of the Mighty Wurlitzer which nicely bookend all public discourse between the artificial bifurcation of Right and Left, Conservative and Liberal, Establishmentarian and Rebel, Totalitarian and Anarchist, Consent and Dissent – just like the two antipodes of a fabricated Hegelian Dialectic to respectively engineer both consent and dissent in order to sustain "imperial mobilization". Noam Chomsky himself argues the veracity of this observation in his own erudite manner:

'The smart way to keep people passive and obedient is to strictly limit the spectrum of acceptable opinion, but allow very lively debate within that spectrum - even encourage the more critical and dissident views. That gives people the sense that there's free thinking going on, while all the time the presuppositions of the system are being reinforced by the limits put on the range of the debate.'

and yet, he just as willingly participates in it. Chomsky too echoes that there is a 'Muslim Rage', but instead of it being "irrational" like Bernard Lewis projects, Chomsky calls it justified

and rational rage, a blowback! As a Muslim however, unfortunately I don't see any such naturally percolating blowback rage in any significant tenor in any Muslim country except those militarily occupied by Israel and the United States. All I see are some manufactured "insurgents" expressing a calculated rage on demand. This is deconstructed in great detail in the two comprehensive reports Manufacturing Dissent and Insurgency vs. Counter-Insurgency (<http://tinyurl.com/what-is-insurgency>). Also see Between Imperial Mobilization and Islamofascism and Preface to Prisoners of the Cave for the role played by Muslim House Negroes in articulating the massa's propaganda, vs. the pathetic ground realities among the Muslim masses patiently *waiting for Allah* to bring them out of their misery, respectively.

But more pertinently, we already see the empirical results of the uncanny clairvoyance of America's and Israel's greatest establishmentarians concerning 'Islamic Terror'. The fate of Afghanistan, Iraq, Pakistan, the entire Middle East, all have something in common because of such amazing fortune telling by the masters of discourse years in advance: ***"the peoples of Islam will be the first and greatest victims."***

So, ought the public to take the superlative masters of discourse a tad more seriously rather than dismiss them simply as vulgar propagandists when they initially spew new absurdities enroute to successful mantra creation, and before its eventual harvesting under cataclysmic shock-effects makes ***"They will not be alone, and many others will suffer with them"*** the next fait accompli?

One of course already observes some of that Bernard Lewis' sponsored clairvoyant suffering of the innocent in America itself. While its own body-count is minuscule in comparison to Iraq, Afghanistan, Pakistan, others experiencing 'revolutions' and 'democracy' in the Middle East, not to mention PTSD suffered by its veterans which too pales in comparison to what the valiant have wrecked upon the 'untermenschen' (see Letter to Editor: PTSD and its Cure), one with eyes wide open substantially notes that:

- the American national debt is soaring because of its perpetual 'War on Terror' and the financial malfeasance of its financial elite;
- its bankruptcies and joblessness have shattered the 'American Dream' of its public;
- its de-industrialization by having off-shored all its manufacturing and production capacity is at an all time peak;

- the mighty superpower is now a police-state the likes of which was hitherto only presaged in fictional narratives like George Orwell's 1984;
- and the once mighty industrial nation may be merged into a larger supra-national regional entity similar to the EU as a consequence of all these crises conditions.

Can one therefore, perceptively not surmise that the deceased Ali Baba's replacement nemesis will be a Super Ali Baba Plus Plus to complete the job started by Zbigniew Brzezinski and Israeli Intelligence agents' clairvoyance? What can this new threat possibly be that it will even eclipse Osama Bin Laden in his magical prowess? Can the public shrewdly anticipate and prepare for the next boogeyman based on the tunes now being played by the Mighty Wurlitzer, rather than be shell-shocked into acquiescence by its phantasmic unveiling? Just as the world was, and still is, shell-shocked into acquiescence due to the Catastrophic Terrorism of 9/11 which, like the Pearl Harbor, inevitably divided our past and our future into 'before' and 'after'. One often hears it stated in the news and in the Western governments' increasingly draconian regulations to keep their public safe from terrorists, that 9/11 changed everything. Well, the super 9/11 of the Super Ali Baba Plus Plus so clairvoyantly predicted by George W. Bush, ***"will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison"*** (Bush White House, Feb. 13, 2008)!

Can one intelligently not data-mine propaganda itself, in the backdrop of the Mighty Wurlitzer's unhidden motivations and agendas, to accurately perceive and preempt what's up next?



Caption The Next Global Threat? A Super Ali Baba Plus Plus to Terrify Mankind With?
(The U.S. Air Force first began experimenting with flying saucers in the 1950s. Why - to deceive the former USSR, or, to induce global mass panic? See Hadley Cantril)

The following passage from the 2500 years old Art of War is pertinent backdrop to the aforementioned chutzpah of empire – a zeitgeist which evidently excels in the ex post facto narration by scholars and historians of what is already fait accompli, often to the thunderous applause of both, the instruments of the antagonists awarding them high honors, and amidst the fawning adulation of the protagonists having their 'ah hah' moments:

'8. To see victory only when it is within the ken of the common herd is not the acme of excellence.

9. Neither is it the acme of excellence if you fight and conquer and the whole Empire says, "Well done!"

10. To lift an autumn hair is no sign of great strength; to see the sun and moon is no sign of sharp sight; to hear the noise of thunder is no sign of a quick ear.'

Paying particular attention to item 10., one may conclude that to perceive in a timely manner that which is not obvious to others leads to many tactical as well as strategic advantages, both in the battle of war and secrecy, and in the battle for liberty. The public and their lauded dissent is kept busy in puppetshows when it is most essential that they be sighted and effective in derailing imperial mobilization.

**President Ronald Reagan Ponders
The Beneficial Consequences of an
Alien Threat from Another Planet,
Speaking at the UN General
Assembly, Sept. 21, 1987**



“If suddenly there was a threat to this world from some other species from another planet,” President Ronald Reagan had read out loud from his script at the United Nations General Assembly podium in 1987, ***“in our obsession with antagonisms of the moment, we often forget how much unites all the members of humanity. Perhaps we need some outside universal threat to make us recognize this common bond. I occasionally think how quickly our differences worldwide would vanish, if we were facing an alien threat from outside this world!”*** --- President Ronald Reagan, Speaking at the UN General Assembly, September 21, 1987

And when, or if, some among them ever wakeup to accept that it was indeed all a puppetshow, it is inevitably too late to do anything about the matter except to “study” it, as even coldly snickered to the so called watchdogs upon the corridors of power, the almighty press, by the “history's actors” themselves!

The same principle of temporal urgency in maintaining utmost deception and secrecy while “new realities” are being planned, orchestrated, and harvested, was articulated by Niccolò Machiavelli in The Prince. The modern day version of this statecraft being the National Security Council Directive NSC 10/2 for creating cover stories and red herrings alongside covert operations. See Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory (<http://tinyurl.com/cognitive-diversity>).

As part of that plan to deflect public attention, those attempting to see through its fog of deception when a fait accompli can still be averted – before missiles have left their silos, before pen has been put to tortuous legalisms – are variously labeled as 'kooks', 'conspiracy theorists', 'delusional', 'denier', etc., their efforts infiltrated (as in cointelpro) and energies defocussed by introducing what's cynically called “**beneficial cognitive diversity**”.

National Geographic Channel Show Ponders The Possibility of an Alien Attack



“It should be as statistical as human nature, for example, that there's going to be good guys and bad guys,” says Dr. Travis Taylor, who's with the U.S. Space and Missile Command Department and has worked with the Department of Defense and NASA for 20 years.

“What we would hope is that the good guys show up first, and that would be really nice. But the point of this wasn't to debate whether they are or they aren't, it's what happens if they did. Do we have a plan? What type of plan should we put together, and how would we defend the planet?” Taylor has also written the handbook for harrying aliens, An Introduction to Planetary Defense.

Lt. Col. Brian De Toy, director of defense and strategic studies program at West Point, doesn't buy the premise. “I am a skeptical believer in miracles. So a year ago right now I was in Iraq, and I'm more worried about Iraq and Afghanistan right now and the aliens that I'm dealing with there. And so right now, I'm pretty skeptical about the others.”--- National Geographic via Boston Herald May 17, 2011

So What's Next according to Project Humanbeingsfirst?

The Alien-UFO Agenda is one such future fait accompli in the works which can still be averted by the public becoming rationally informed about the demonic art of the Mighty Wurlitzer. The fact that:

- references to UFOs even made it into one of [Wikileaks whistleblowing disclosures](#);
- the fact that the FBI recently made available a 1950 [Roswell UFO memo](#) lending “UFOs” a legitimacy in the gullible mind by way of it being held as a supposed “state-secret” for these past sixty years;
- the fact that US military is even playing war-games to [interdict UFOs](#) (USAF couldn't interdict 9/11 airplanes and are therefore determined, one surmises, to not fail against an alien UFO technology that is advanced enough to visit earth from another galaxy);
- the fact that there is a pertinent office at the United Nations, [UNOOSA](#), with “*the plan to make Unooosa the co-ordinating body for dealing with alien encounters*”

The Mighty Wurlitzer Cultivating the Next Hegelian Mind-Fck: A Super Ali Baba++ to Seed the Clash of Planets



Caption Earth must prepare for close encounter with aliens, say scientists **UN should co-ordinate plans for dealing with extraterrestrials – and we can't guarantee that aliens will be friendly** Evolution on alien worlds is likely to be Darwinian, which may mean extraterrestrials share our tendencies for violence and exploitation. (Image via UK Guardian Photograph: Rex)

Fabricating a public discourse on an absurd fantasy as if it's something real by couching it in the veneer of science (or declassified State-Secrets/whistleblowing) and then reacting to that invention at supra-national levels from the United Nations to the Catholic Church, only legitimizes such discourse thus setting the stage for the later creation of a new insurmountable global threat, the Clash of Planets. If political scientists are to be believed on the utility of diabolical protocols for “*imperial mobilization*”, then, “*that exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.*” Its doctrinal seeds must be planted years in advance. This too will surely also be launched with “*either you are with us, or with the aliens*”! --- [The Agenda Behind Aliens and UFOs](#).

[which] will be debated by UN scientific advisory committees and should eventually reach the body's general assembly", and a special UN Ambassador has been "tasked with co-ordinating humanity's response if and when extraterrestrials make contact";

- and the uptick in other bogus and absurd conversations on Aliens and UFO even appearing in the mainstream media, not to mention its unrelenting repetition in the so called "alternate media" and on the internet;

all indicate that its unveiling time is likely approaching near. This too will surely also be launched with ***"either you are with us, or with the aliens"*** false dialectics!

If the public can preempt that propaganda by focussing on unraveling the many facets and scenarios on what they Machiavellianly plan to do BEFORE they enact them, the vile psy-ops can surely be defeated BEFORE it becomes the new established "facts" on the ground for the globalists' coup de grâce: the final restructuring of the planet into one-world government (<http://tinyurl.com/ftworldgov>).

In order to perceptively engage the sophisticated finesse behind all this psychological mind-fck before it becomes

Using absurdities For Myth Fabrication: Pope's astronomer says he would baptise an alien if it asked him

'Aliens might have souls and could choose to be baptised if humans ever met them, a Vatican scientist said today. The official also dismissed intelligent design as "bad theology" that had been "hijacked" by American creationist fundamentalists.

Guy Consolmagno, who is one of the pope's astronomers, said he would be "delighted" if intelligent life was found among the stars. "But the odds of us finding it, of it being intelligent and us being able to communicate with it – when you add them up it's probably not a practical question."

Speaking ahead of a talk at the British Science Festival in Birmingham tomorrow, he said that the traditional definition of a soul was to have intelligence, free will, freedom to love and freedom to make decisions. ***"Any entity – no matter how many tentacles it has – has a soul."*** Would he baptise an alien? ***"Only if they asked."*** --- UK Guardian 17 Sep 2010

UN 'to appoint space ambassador to greet alien visitors'

'Mazlan Othman, a Malaysian astrophysicist, is set to be tasked with co-ordinating humanity's response if and when extraterrestrials make contact. Aliens who landed on earth and asked: ***"Take me to your leader"*** would be directed to Mrs Othman.' --- UK Telegraph 26 Sep 2010

fait accompli, please see: [Letter to Kerry Cassidy on the Alien-UFO Agenda](#) and [The Agenda Behind Aliens and UFOs - A Hegelian Mind-Fck Part-II](#) (<http://tinyurl.com/Aliens-UFOs>).


The Psychology of Fear

In order to perceptively comprehend the psychological basis of such absurd and fabricated threats which instill existential fears, and

- whose import to enabling “*imperial mobilization*” was clearly envisaged by Zbigniew Brzezinski in 1996 in [*The Grand Chessboard*](#):

“It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation. Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. **But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being.** The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to

Using State-Secrets For Myth Fabrication



Caption 'Proof of (alien) life? A copy of the 1950 memo that recounts the discovery of flying saucers and aliens in New Mexico. The memo has been published on the FBI website' --- [UK Daily Mail 9th April 2011](#).

A more perceptive caption however would read: **'The Art of the Mighty Wurlitzer: How to fabricate Aliens and UFOs Myths using the ploy of leaking State-Secrets'**

democratic instincts. **Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.**" (pgs. 35-36);

- whose raison d'être as the primary method for advancing "*the national interest*" by means of *organized violence*", was taken as axiomatic in the 1963-64 secret study reported in the 1967 book *The Report From Iron Mountain*:

"It must be emphasized that the precedence of a society's war-making potential over its other characteristics is not the result of the "threat" presumed to exist at any one time from other societies. This is the reverse of the basic situation; **"threats" against the "national interest" are usually created or accelerated to meet the changing needs of the war system.** ... The military, or ostensible function of the war system requires no elaboration; it serves simply to defend or advance the "national interest" by means of organized violence. **It is often necessary for a national military establishment to create a need for its unique powers—to maintain the franchise, so to speak.** And a healthy military apparatus requires "exercise," by whatever rationale seems expedient, to prevent its atrophy." (pgs. 31,33);

PROPAGANDA REDUX

ASK YOURSELF TO TEST YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT YOU HAVE JUST LEARNED

Is it not strange that the US Military is openly playing "war games" for the absurd possibility of an Alien Attack within just 25 years of President Ronald Reagan expressing the *Beneficial Consequences of a Hypothetical Alien Threat From Outside This World*? Is it not absurd that they even appoint a UN Ambassador to greet the aliens with "souls" when they ask earthlings in English "Take me to your leader" (sic!)?

What motivation drives the Hard Road to World Order which continually requires crafting new fabled threats, new absurdities, new wars, new crises?

With Osama bin Laden officially retired as Enemy No 1 on May 1, 2011, does it require a Cassandra to foretell What's Next? Please see What's next after Osama Bin Laden?. Can we arm ourselves with perceptive wisdom such that we aren't fooled into 'United We Stand' with absurdities again and again? Please see Letter to Kerry Cassidy on the Alien-UFO Agenda.

If still unconvinced about the overarching motivation for all this Hegelian mind-fck – WHY do they convince you of fearful absurdities – please read Zahir Ebrahim: Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order.

- whose utility for effectively embarking on the “*military transformation*” required to achieve “*full spectrum dominance*” that wasn't “stillborn”, was openly declared in the Project for the New American Century (PNAC) report titled *Rebuilding America's Defenses*:

“Further, the process of transformation, even if it brings revolutionary change, is likely to be a long one, **absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor. ... Until the process of transformation is treated as an enduring military mission – worthy of constant allocation of dollars and forces – it will remain stillborn**” (pgs. 51,58);

- and whose necessity for rapidly transforming an entire society, nation, or the whole world, in the direction desired by the controlling oligarchy, was even discovered in the 1908 minute books of the Carnegie Endowment for Peace by the Congressional Reece Committee investigator Norman Dodd in 1954, and related by him in an interview before his death in 1982, *The Hidden Agenda of Tax Exempt Foundations for World Government*:

“We are now at the year 1908, which was the year that the Carnegie Foundation began operations. In that year, the trustees, meeting for the first time, raised a specific question, which they discussed throughout the balance of the year in a very learned fashion. **The question is: “Is there any means known more effective than war, assuming you wish to alter the life of an entire people?” And they conclude that no more effective means than war to that end is known to humanity.** So then, in 1909, they raised the second question and discussed it, namely: 'How do we involve the United States in a war?'”;

please see social engineering principles in Further Study of Operation Gladio, Edward Bernays and Human Resources. Also see *Myth of the Cave* in Plato's 2500 years old classic *The Republic*, Book VII, page 300 (book PDF).

There is a lot to learn here on deliberate Machiavellian social engineering that is not taught even in the best universities in America (and the West), nor ever makes an appearance on CNN Headline News (and Time or Newsweek)! What we are speaking of here, of engineering the consent of the masses to get them to love their own servitude (as Aldous Huxley had put

it), far transcends the corporate advertising and marketing techniques taught in MBA programs which were principally pioneered by Edward Bernays. These techniques of course also attempt to bypass the cognitive mind and target manipulating the subconscious mind directly to sell a product, a lifestyle, or an idea. However, while most recognize that advertising is a multi-billion dollar business and accept it as a matter of for-profit corporate modernity, few are aware of an order of magnitude more resources being perversely spent by tax-exempt foundations, and the tax-payers (quite unbeknownst to themselves of course), on far more diabolical aspects of social engineering to fabricate consent for political and 'United We Stand' purposes.

Advertising Age's 2008 Marketer of the Year award to President Obama for his election campaign of the "Change" mantra, and the Nobel committee's awarding him the 2009 Nobel Peace Prize testify to the empiricism of this observation. The American nation easily bought that fiction of "Change", which was once again underscored by President Obama bombing Libya on the same day in 2011 that his predecessor bombed Iraq in 2003!

This ignorance and gullibility among the college-educated modern public is despite the fact that Western academe is at the forefront of the vast majority of behavior control and social engineering research studies, often funded by, or in collaboration with, Western intelligence, military services, and private tax-exempt foundations such as the Rockefeller Foundation, Carnegie Endowment, Ford Foundation, etc. The highly compartmentalized nature of such research ensures that few students and professors in on the ground breaking studies into human behavior can ever glean the bigger picture towards which they work so diligently to respectively earn their Ph.D. and research funding! Those few who do comprehend are invariably already engaged with empire in the largely unhidden orchestration of social engineering, or, recruited into it as agents and assets of the Mighty Wurlitzer.

Today, fact and fiction have been merged to such an alarming degree with adept perception control that to be able to rationally separate them, to tell what is mere imagery of the Mighty Wurlitzer vs. the actual interconnected causal reality (cause and effect) of statecraft, can be as uncongenial to the cognitive mind as depicted by Plato for the prisoners bred in the underground cave since birth. The fact that the most brilliant minds remain unable to tell that 9/11 was an 'Operation Canned Goods' even ten years into its vile enactment while America descends into a police-state in presumed reaction to it, demonstrates that it is not the brain hardware which is malfunctioning, but the brain software!

The inculcation of belief in authority figures and "experts" has reached pandemic proportions in

the West. Indoctrination is today perhaps the greatest public plague afflicting the mightiest superpower on earth, a direct consequence of “dumbing down” the public in the name of higher education to craft self-obsessed economic widgets, narrow specialists, and superficial generalists for the Technetronic Era. The continued success of the Mighty Wurlitzer in “persuading” the public to accept absurdities to get them 'United We Stand' singularly relies on, and feeds off, this aspect of modernity. See The Ivy League Morons Syndrome. Also see the deconstruction of Bernard de Mandeville's “fable of the bees” in Response to 'Why I'm leaving Harvard' and in Preamble to Palestine: The Struggle Forward.

There is an undeniable categorical imperative before the Western peoples today. With the escalating belligerence towards Iran and the strategic dismantling of Pakistan palpably on the visible horizon, will the profoundly ***innocent of knowledge*** in the West allow themselves to be fooled once again into more criminal aggression upon civilian populations in the name of 'War on Terror' (<http://tinyurl.com/what-is-war-on-terror>)? See: Postscript 'War on Terror' is not about 'Islamofascism' – Please get with the real agenda you people! (<http://tinyurl.com/what-is-war-on-terror-NOT>).

Or, will the people choose to not be (willingly) deceived by the Mighty Wurlitzer's many ruses at every level which continually justify the core axioms of “imperial mobilization” by way of deception? See *Peoples' Guilt and America's Profound Shame* (<http://prisonersofthecave.blogspot.com/2007/04/preface.html#Americas-Profound-Shame>).

It is precisely to invoke that *acquiescence* to premeditated fait accompli that Zbigniew Brzezinski self-servingly quoted in the opening pages of his seminal 1970 book *Between Two Ages – America's Role in the Technetronic Era*, the following specious rationalization for the turmoil to be purposely inflicted upon the 'lesser' humanity. The diabolically fabricated Hegelian Dialectic as the means to usher in one-world government, and attributing that manufactured zeitgeist to just the nature of transformation between two ages (for which nothing could be done about since the human misery which it entailed was natural and inevitable):

"Human life is reduced to real suffering, to hell, only when two ages, two cultures and religions overlap. . . . There are times when a whole generation is caught in this way between two ages, two modes of life, with the consequence that it loses all power to understand itself and has no standard, no security, no simple acquiescence." —HERMANN HESSE, Steppenwolf (pg. 7, book PDF).

As an establishmentarian hectoring hegemon, Brzezinski again invoked the same sort of self-serving rationalization to perpetuate *American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives*. He began his subsequent 1996 book *The Grand Chessboard* with the chauvinist sentence: ***“Hegemony is as old as mankind.”*** Thus, by the logic of it, making the rest of the book a recipe for the exercise of America's uniquely unchallenged global power, and ***“especially its capacity for military intimidation”***, as the most natural human legacy for any supremacist nation to pursue. Nothing could, or ought, to be done about that predatory instinct for organized violence since *“Hegemony is as old as mankind.”* And therefore, Brzezinski naturally proffered in his chauvinist conclusion, ***“In brief, the U.S. Policy goals must be unapologetically twofold: to perpetuate America’s own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer,...”*** (Ibid., pg. 215; see Prisoners of the Cave, Chapter 1).

The report Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order, examines how that specious recipe book for America's predatory primacy, as syntactically sugared war-mongering as it is, is itself only half the truth. As the Jewish proverb suggests, *'a half truth is a full lie'*. And as the Mighty Wurlitzer knows, in order to be effective, **the lie is different at every level.**

Contrary to Zbigniew Brzezinski's erudite prose which underlies the many compositions of the Mighty Wurlitzer, as the generation caught between two ages on the Grand Chessboard, we, the bearers of *Human life*, have neither lost the power to *understand*, nor lost the power to overturn the advocated *simple acquiescence* to artificially induced transition period of *real suffering*. That understanding, and overturning, is the *raison d'être* for this document.

Further Study

For further study please refer to the Further Study Section in Note on Mighty Wurlitzer (<http://tinyurl.com/mightywurlitzer>).

Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/05/architecture-of-modern-propaganda.html>

Mirror URL: <http://bloghumanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/2011/05/28/architecture-of-modern-propaganda-by-zahir-ebrahim/>

Source PDF: <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2011/06/architecture-of-modern-propaganda-june062011.pdf>

Source URL Full Version: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/05/note-on-mighty-wurlitzer.html>

Last updated 06/06/2011 10:00:35 10881

Chapter 3 World Order

Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order



The myriad manufactured crises which afflict humanity today, from the riveting Wikileaks intrigues to the perpetual 'War on Terror', from the Financial Crisis and Pandemics to Global Warming and the Carbon Credit scams, and perhaps even Alien

landings/sightings and/or intergalactic catastrophes soon if Project Camelot has been accurately primed, are merely the successive Hegelian mind-fcks, ahem the “acts” and “deeds”, of making current affairs “look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality,”. Each new ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ provides the new enabling pretext for inching the world one baby-step closer towards the Global Governance of the Planet.

Gideon Rachman of the Financial Times wrote in his oped almost two years ago:

'I have never believed that there is a secret United Nations plot to take over the US. I have never seen black helicopters hovering in the sky above Montana. But, for the first time in my life, I think the formation of some sort of world government is plausible. A “world government” would involve much more than co-operation between nations. It would be an entity with state-like characteristics, backed by a body of laws. The European Union has already set up a continental government for 27 countries, which could be a model. The EU has a supreme court, a currency, thousands of pages of law, a large civil service and the ability to deploy military force. So could the European model go global? There are three reasons for thinking that it might. First, it is increasingly

clear that the most difficult issues facing national governments are international in nature: there is global warming, a global financial crisis and a “global war on terror”.’ (Gideon Rachman, And now for a world government, Financial Times, December 8 2008)

Mr. Rachman accurately reflected the immense momentum today towards world government which many a globalist had been working toward across generations rather openly, often boldly proclaiming that:

‘We shall have World Government, whether or not we like it. The only question is whether World Government will be achieved by conquest or consent.’ (James Warburg in 1950 to the US Senate, cited in Project Humanbeingsfirst’s Monetary Reform Bibliography)

The EU Council President, Herman Van Rompuy, only 59 years later on November 19, 2009, openly admitted in his first press conference in Brussels after being appointed president, that finally, **“2009 is also the first year of Global Governance”**:

‘We are living through exceptionally difficult times. Financial crisis and its dramatic impact on employment and budgets, the climate crisis which threatens our very survival --- a period of anxiety, uncertainty, and lack of confidence. Yet these problems can be overcome, by a joint effort, in and between our countries. 2009 is also the first year of Global Governance with the establishment of the G-20 in the middle of financial crisis. The climate conference in Copenhagen is another step towards the Global Management of our Planet. Our mission, our presidency is one of hope, supported by acts, and by deeds.’ (press conference November 19, 2009 <http://youtube.com/watch?v=QEqFtVrAgSo>)

Mr. Van Rompuy too was accurate in his message of hope that Global Governance is *“supported by acts and by deeds”*.

But just what might these be?

A Council on Foreign Relations author had rather holistically outlined the underlying character of these supporting *“acts”* and *“deeds”* way back in the middle-stages of their planning-execution cycle in April 1974 as follows:

'In short, the 'house of world order' will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great 'booming, buzzing confusion' to use William James' famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.

Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the central institutions of the U.N. system, but the main thing is that the essential functions be performed.

The question is whether this more modest approach can do the job. Can it really bring mankind into the twenty-first century with reasonable prospects for peace, welfare and human dignity? The argument thus far suggests it better had, for there seems to be no alternative. But the evidence also suggests some grounds for cautious optimism.' (Richard N. Gardner, *The Hard Road To World Order*, Foreign Affairs April 1974 issue, pages 558-559)

Herman Van Rompuy's message of hope at the completion stages decades later was merely the cross-generational echo of Richard N. Gardner's "*prospects for peace, welfare and human dignity*" that had been long sewn "*bottom up, rather than from the top down*" such that to the uninformed public, it would always "*look like a great 'booming, buzzing confusion' to use William James' famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.*"

The blood-drenched transformation stage that we find ourselves in today – the wreckage of civilizations – is truly "*Between Two Ages*". That brilliant description is not mine, but the title of Zbigniew Brzezinski's seminally self-serving 1970 book which [presumably] got him appointed as the Executive Director of David Rockefeller's Trilateral Commission. There are more than a dozen Trilateralists and CFRs in President Obama's Administration too, pushing the banksters' globalist agendas finally to fruition across multiple fronts simultaneously. The money behind them, at least in the United States, is primarily the Rockefellers' who own the majority stake in the New York Fed, which in turn largely controls the Federal Reserve System.

In Europe, the money is primarily the Rothschilds' who control all the world's private central banks (including America's Federal Reserve and international lending-policing agencies such as the World Bank IMF tag-team and the WTO) with complex interlocking relationships among

a closed-knit tiny fraternity who exercise their will upon international banking and global finance and thus upon all nations of the world, through their largely unknown Bank for International Settlements (<http://BIS.org>) located in Basle, Switzerland.

Entirely coincidentally of course, BIS is located in the same secretive banking capital where Theodor Herzl had earlier made his notorious Jewish manifesto, *Der Judenstaat* public in the First World Zionist Congress in 1897 to set the public stage for the creation of the exclusively Jewish state of Israel in 1948. Also entirely coincidentally, the British Empire had gratuitously issued its famous 1917 Balfour Declaration in the name of Lord Rothschild, the principal owner and founder of the international financial system who had controlled the Bank of England since Waterloo.

And again entirely coincidentally, America's entry into World War I was facilitated after the founding of its own 'Bank of England', i.e., the Federal Reserve System principally by Paul Warburg, the banking fraternal twin of Lord Rothschild in whose palace the Treaty of Versailles was signed after World War I to enable the British Mandate over the lands of historic Palestine.

These remarkable coincidences have today made the Rothschilds the most revered family name in Israel. Some call them the King of the Jews – and to live up to that Solomon-ly title, the Rothschilds have architected, financed and built the Jewish state's principal hall of Justice, the Israeli Supreme Court in Jerusalem. The Jewish State today enjoys the unparalleled privilege of an "Iron Wall" that none can breach. The Rothschild's frankenstein can with brazen impunity exterminate, assassinate, and bomb, to the applause of the world leaders (see 'Pamphlet: How to Return to Palestine').

And yet, strangely, the Rothschild's role in seeding and orchestrating the affairs of the modern world is consistently downplayed almost universally. No media, no academic, no scholar, no historian, no dissent-chief, no corporate executive, no billionaire on Forbes list, the Forbes list itself, and of course no politician and world statesman, dare utter that name publicly – and so long as they don't, they can say anything else they want. Elusive power such as this is not a figment of someone's imagination.

Prof. Carroll Quigley was permitted to openly state the following in his 1966 book *Tragedy and Hope*, and his controlled revelations which continued that tradition of downplaying the name of the Rothschilds, only came on the heels of the free-wheeling Eustace Mullins' well-documented exposé of how the Federal Reserve System in the United States was conspiringly

created by forces representing the same globalist banking elite, and he had not spared the Rothschild name; this was followed by a series of books and documentary films in the 1970s by many others including Gary Allen, W. Cleon Skousen, G. Edward Griffin, Antony Sutton et. al. These passages from Quigley's 1200 page ode to the International bankers underscores the base axiomatic reality upon which the entire web of control of the globalists is fabricated:

'The powers of financial capitalism had (a) far-reaching aim, nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the world acting in concert, by secret agreements arrived at in frequent meetings and conferences. The apex of the systems was to be the Bank for International Settlements in Basel, Switzerland; a private bank owned and controlled by the world's central banks which were themselves private corporations. Each central bank, in the hands of men like Montagu Norman of the Bank of England, Benjamin Strong of the New York Federal Reserve Bank, Charles Rist of the Bank of France, and Hjalmar Schacht of the Reichsbank, sought to dominate its government by its ability to control Treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards in the business world.' (Carroll Quigley, *Tragedy and Hope*, 1966, Chapter 20, page 324)

'It must not be felt that these heads of the world's chief central banks were themselves substantive powers in world finance. They were not. Rather, they were the technicians and agents of the dominant investment bankers of their own countries, who had raised them up and were perfectly capable of throwing them down. The substantive financial powers of the world were in the hands of these investment bankers (also called "international" or "merchant" bankers) who remained largely behind the scenes in their own unincorporated private banks. These formed a system of international cooperation and national dominance which was more private, more powerful, and more secret than that of their agents in the central banks. This dominance of investment bankers was based on their control over the flows of credit and investment funds in their own countries and throughout the world.' (Carroll Quigley, *Tragedy and Hope*, 1966, Chapter 20, page 326)

The following observations made in 1970 by W. Cleon Skousen in his extensive commentary on *Tragedy and Hope* is entirely empirical today:

'The real value of *Tragedy and Hope* ... [is the] bold and boastful admission by Dr. Quigley that there actually exists a relatively small but powerful group which has succeeded in acquiring a choke-hold on the affairs of practically the entire human race. Of course we should be quick to recognize that no small group could wield such gigantic power unless millions of people in all walks of life were "in on the take" and were willing to knuckle down to the iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes. As we shall see, the network has succeeded in building its power structure by using tremendous quantities of money (together with the vast influence it buys) to manipulate, intimidate, or corrupt millions of men and women and their institutions on a world-wide basis.'

(W. Cleon Skousen, *The Naked Capitalist*, pg. 6)

Gary Allen argued matters in such an elegant style in 1971 in his short book *None Dare Call It Conspiracy*, that the logic of the highlighted sentences in the passages quoted below even elicited a long chuckle from a most cynical MIT trained Silicon Valley engineer friend of mine who has designed dozens of microchips and is not easily given to levity on current affairs:

'Most of us have had the experience, either as parents or youngsters, of trying to discover the "hidden picture" within another picture in a children's magazine. Usually you are shown a landscape with trees, bushes, flowers and other bits of nature. The caption reads something like this: "Concealed somewhere in this picture is a donkey pulling a cart with a boy in it. Can you find them?" Try as you might, usually you could not find the hidden picture until you turned to a page farther back in the magazine which would reveal how cleverly the artist had hidden it from us. If we study the landscape we realize that the whole picture was painted in such a way as to conceal the real picture within, and once we see the "real picture," it stands out like the proverbial painful digit.

We believe the picture painters of the mass media are artfully creating landscapes for us which deliberately hide the real picture. In this book we will show you how to discover the "hidden picture" in the landscapes presented to us daily through newspapers, radio and television. Once you can see through the camouflage, you will see the donkey, the cart and the boy who have been

there all along. Millions of Americans are concerned and frustrated over mishappenings in our nation. They feel that something is wrong, drastically wrong, but because of the picture painters they can't quite put their fingers on it.

Maybe you are one of those persons. Something is bugging you, but you aren't sure what. We keep electing new Presidents who seemingly promise faithfully to halt the world-wide Communist advance, put the blocks to extravagant government spending, douse the fires of inflation, put the economy on an even keel, reverse the trend which is turning the country into a moral sewer, and toss the criminals into the hoosegow where they belong. Yet, despite high hopes and glittering campaign promises, these problems continue to worsen no matter who is in office.

Each new administration, whether it be Republican or Democrat, continues the same basic policies of the previous administration which it had so thoroughly denounced during the election campaign. It is considered poor form to mention this, but it is true nonetheless. Is there a plausible reason to explain why this happens? We are not supposed to think so. We are supposed to think it is all accidental and coincidental and that therefore there is nothing we can do about it.

FDR once said "In politics, nothing happens by accident. If it happens, you can bet it was planned that way." He was in a good position to know. We believe that many of the major world events that are shaping our destinies occur because somebody or somebodies have planned them that way. **If we were merely dealing with the law of averages, half of the events affecting our nation's well-being should be good for America. If we were dealing with mere incompetence, our leaders should occasionally make a mistake in our favor.**

We shall attempt to prove that we are not really dealing with coincidence or stupidity, but with planning and brilliance. This small book deals with that planning and brilliance and how it has shaped the foreign and domestic policies of the last six administrations. We hope it will explain matters which have up to now seemed inexplicable; that it will bring into sharp focus images which have been obscured by the landscape painters of the mass media.

Those who believe that major world events result from planning are laughed at for believing in the "conspiracy theory of history." Of course, no one in this modern day and age really believes in the conspiracy theory of history -except those who have taken the time to study the subject. When you think about it, there are really only two theories of history.

Either things happen by accident neither planned nor caused by anybody, or they happen because they are planned and somebody causes them to happen. In reality, it is the "accidental theory of history" preached in the unhallowed Halls of Ivy which should be ridiculed. Otherwise, why does every recent administration make the same mistakes as the previous ones? Why do they repeat the errors of the past which produce inflation, depressions and war? Why does our State Department "stumble" from one Communist-aiding "blunder" to another?

If you believe it is all an accident or the result of mysterious and unexplainable tides of history, you will be regarded as an "intellectual" who understands that we live in a complex world. **If you believe that something like 32,496 consecutive coincidences over the past forty years stretches the law of averages a bit, you are a kook!** (Gary Allen, None Dare Call it Conspiracy, 1971, Chapter 1)

Can today's handful of rabble-rousing moral activists with their chest-thumping internet-jihad and the occasional street-dance protesting with loud drum-beating, fight such a nemesis that is not only legally endowed with an infinite supply of money conjured out of thin-air, but whose controlling power pervades all public and private institutions from universities to businesses to governments to non-profit supra-organizations like the United Nations and none dare talk about it without being called a 'kook'? To genuinely reverse this unstoppable impetus towards global management surely requires an order of magnitude different strategies and tactics other than blaring into bull-horns and publishing books and eloquent websites don't you think?

To an engineer's eye attuned to building real systems rather than merely talking about their future possibility in glossy brochures, it requires mass mobilizations and the common man's commandeering of structures of power worldwide to shut down the world. No food on the store shelves, no garbage picked up, no containers unloaded, all civic services stopped, etc. A global strike that demands the juridical hanging of the oligarchy, the nationalization of their

amassed wealth, and the un-privatization of usurped public commons worldwide.

Apart from the fact that all such effective mobilization requires money, global organizations, time to build them up, labor unions and political institutions which can mobilize the rank and file for common cause, unfettered access to media to carry the message, and intellectual strategies and tactics which can launch a thousand cuts of no less overwhelming convolution than what the oligarchy conjures up to overwhelm the public senses, there are also no masses to mobilize. More importantly, there are no un-compromising leaders to lead them.

With no resources outside of the institutional parameters of the status quo for any emerging leadership to be effective in rebelling against those very institutions, and all legalisms and security apparatuses calculatingly stacked in favor of the establishment's own ruling paradigms – the unfettered promulgation of hegemony of the oligarchy fronted by the 'national security state' with its colossus military-industrial-academe-media-congressional-juridical-executive complex – what can even courageous leaders do when even the brains of the President of United States, the mightiest superpower on earth, is not safe from being blown to smithereens when it becomes a threat to the status quo? (See 'The Eight Bay of Pigs of JFK' in Jim Douglass' November 2009 talk *"JFK and the Unspeakable"* at COPA, Dallas, based on his book)

Random public riots in the streets out of individual desperation does not, and will not, cut it. Ineffectual rowdiness is in fact, the calculated tactical plan of the globalists themselves. Because, destructive riots enable them to play their final fait accompli inducing trump card – martial law! And the FEMA detention camps on military sites have already been made ready to welcome many an unwise malcontent! (See 'Why bluff martial law')

Those attempting to uncontrollably rile up the public anger with bull-horns in the style of Television Network's *Mad Prophet of the Airwaves* (Network, 1976 movie):

'Well, I am not gonna leave you alone. I want you to get mad. I don't want you to protest, I don't want you to write to your congressman because I wouldn't know what to tell you to write. I don't know what to do about the depression and the inflation and the Islamofascists and the crime in the street. All I know is that first, you've got to get mad. You've got to say *"I am a human being god dammit, my life has value"*. So, I want you to get up now, and go to the window, open it, and stick your head out and yell: ***"I am mad as hell and I am not gonna take this anymore"***,

cannot not know this.

While it has today become next to impossible to tell fabricated dissent from manufactured consent, and with reformed cats piously trying to represent the silly mice, the underlying political science basis of the ubiquitous social engineering which employs this “*cognitive infiltration*” is documented in the two reports 'Manufacturing Dissent: The Master Social Science', and 'Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory'. Also see: 'Did David Ray Griffin and Steve Lendman miss the real purpose of Cass Sunstein's “Conspiracy Theories”?’

As one can glean in these aforementioned analyses, there is effectively no dissent today that can impact the status quo. And establishment's systems are already in place to ensure that it does not happen either. Only narratives are permitted to exist. And the narrators are often generously rewarded too, with many even openly seeking and accepting their harvest of peace prizes and other glamorous accolades, lucrative appointments and tenures, from the same empire which they ostensibly oppose in their prominent dissent! I am told that there is a very generous single Biblical word for them: hypocrite. Not being divinely inspired, mine are of course considerably less generous.

Never mind waking up the sheeples. The genuine “ostrich” activists, the “*quite gallant and graceful-looking people*” as H. G. Wells described the lot (see quote below), themselves need to wake up to the grotesque reality first, and take accurate cognizance of the battlefield the way it really is.

The way things stand today – see the reality-check in 'Why Not Be An Ostrich?' – without birth-panging radical transformations to dissent-space and the concomitant emergence of a focussed global resistance, Global Governance of the oligarchy is fait accompli. That is simply a factual statement with no emotional syntactic sugaring applied.

The myriad manufactured crises which afflict humanity today, from the riveting Wikileaks intrigues to the perpetual 'War on Terror', from the Financial Crisis to Global Warming and the Carbon Credit scams, and perhaps even Alien landings/sightings and/or intergalactic catastrophes soon if Project Camelot has been accurately primed, are merely the successive Hegelian mind-fcks, ahem the “*acts*” and “*deeds*”, of making current affairs “*look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality,*”.

Each new ‘*booming, buzzing confusion*’ provides the new enabling pretext for inching the world one baby-step closer towards the Global Governance of the Planet. (See Project

Humanbeingsfirst Publications Index for reports on each one of these “acts” and “deeds” to verify for yourself how every crisis has been harvested to legislate new laws towards that end)

And all that the “malcontent” and “graceful-looking people” can do, just like the “history’s actors” accurately predicted that we shall do, is study it:

'We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality — judiciously, as you will — we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.' (Ron Suskind, New York Times, Oct. 17, 2004)

More tragically, our tortuous zeitgeist was also accurately presaged even before most of us were born:

'When the struggle seems to be drifting defiantly towards a world social democracy there may still be very great delays and disappointments before it becomes an efficient and beneficent world system. Countless people – will hate the New World Order – and will die protesting against it. When we attempt to evaluate its promise, we have to bear in mind the distress of a generation or so of malcontents, many of them quite gallant and graceful-looking people.' (H. G. Wells, The New World Order, page 127)

Isn't that where all the world's rebels precisely stand today, blindly and ineffectively resisting a fait accompli in false hopes? With most of the world's 'untermenschen' happy-happy in hope and voluntary servitude waiting for a savior? (See 'Happy-Happy in Hope and Voluntary Servitude')

But perhaps it is not because of false hopes. That is only for ostriches.

Perhaps it is really that elusive spirit of the swashbuckling rebel, Captain Rhett Butler of **Gone with the Wind** which inspires this lot. At least in so far as his penchant for supporting lost causes after they were truly lost was concerned. “**Why?**”, said Captain Butler to Mrs. Hamilton as he gallantly abandoned his unrequited love in the middle of the road to go join the Confederate Army after Atlanta had been completely burned to the ground by Sherman and his northern soldiers, “**maybe it's because I have always had a weakness for lost causes once they are really lost.**”

Admirable, perhaps even heroic by grandmotherly standards. But hardly any cause for indigestion for the henchmen at the CFR and the EU Council who, under the *“iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes”*, are striving to bring us *“reasonable prospects for peace, welfare and human dignity”* in the twenty-first century.

Nevertheless, rebels don't necessarily always measure their categorical imperatives in the same way. For many among the 'untermenschen', to simply exist is to resist. And obviously no self-delusion is involved there. For others, to merely survive the daily oppression and daily burials of their loved ones with their dignity and mental faculties intact, is unsurpassed heroism. Such earthly struggles when wholly circumscribed by moral dimensions, even when motivated by narrow existential self-interests such as the self-defense of one's own loved ones, or the safeguarding of one's own sanity, is perhaps best captured by the pithy wisdom from the Islamic tradition narrated in my 'Muslim's Voice: Why we endeavor even when it appears futile!':

'When the Prophet Abraham (in the Orientalist's spelling) was being thrown in the fire by the tyrannical ruler Nimrod, all creation was in tremendous angst. Even the stones spoke out against the tyrant. Every moral creature endeavored to the rescue of Prophet Ibraheem (AS) to put out the fire. To the extent that a tiny bird picked a droplet of water in its minuscule beak and started to fly over the fire.

An Angel of God asked the little bird: *“Surely you are not going to put out the fire with that droplet(!), and surely the high flames will consume you! – what do you think you are doing?”*

The tiny bird replied: *“yes, you are right, and I know that my tiny droplet will not save the Ulul-Azam (Great Prophet) of God. But I bring to the endeavor of standing up to this evil tyrant whatever I am capable of, and this tiny droplet is all I am capable of.”*

The rebel is not an ostrich. He and she is that tiny bird with the tiny droplet in its beak.

References: Facts not cited are either too well known or amply cited in the many works of Project Humanbeingsfirst.org. All report titles in the text not explicitly cited are the author's own work – please see pubs index: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/p/pubs-index.html>.

Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/12/of-ostriches-and-rebels-zahirebrahim.html>

Source PDF: <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2010/12/of-ostriches-and-rebels-by-zahir-ebrahim-2.pdf>

Source Mirror: <http://bloghumanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/2010/12/05/of-ostriches-and-rebels-by-zahir-ebrahim/>

Last updated 12/11/2010 12:00:05 4806

Chapter 4 Arc of Crisis

Viewpoint: Instrumenting Kosovo in the 'arc of crisis' and the 'global zone of percolating violence'

Part1: Unlayering the Middle East War Agenda: Making Sense of Absurdities Jan 23, 2011

Part-2: Viewpoint: Egypt and Tunisia – The 'arc of crisis' being radicalized! Jan. 30, 2011

Part-3: Observation in Letter to BRussells Tribunal: Introducing NATO Peace Keeping Forces March 02, 2011

Part-4: Viewpoint: Instrumenting Kosovo in the 'arc of crisis' along the 'global zone of percolating violence' March 05, 2011

Part-5: Bush bombed Iraq March 19, 2003 – Obama bombs Libya March 19, 2011: Muslim blood still spills red - no change even in color

Part-6: Letter: Understanding the 'arc of crisis' with minimal work By Zahir Ebrahim March 23, 2011



Fool us once shame on you; fool us twice shame on us; fool us repeatedly, curse on us!

The pertinent news headlines in just this first week of March 2011 point to one of the obvious covert agendas behind this sudden "revolution" bubble throughout the Middle East:

- **'US warships enter Egypt's Suez Canal'** ([Press TV](#), [Fox News](#) and [AP](#)) ;
- **'Obama signals willingness to intervene militarily in Libya if crisis worsens'** ([Washington Post](#), [Daily Mail](#)) ;
- **'Obama administration prepares for possibility of new post-revolt Islamist regimes'** ([Washington Post](#)), etc.

In the absence of any genuine political leadership in our Middle Eastern nations due to decades of oppressive autocratic rules by various praetorian guards from among the Muslims, i.e., House Negroes of empire, the vacuum has to be filled when these are suddenly removed.

I had already observed some *rocket science* (sic!) in [part-2](#) in anticipation of the third headline noted above:

'This theme repeats over and over again with variations. Sometimes, the preferred military dictator brought about with a coup, is replaced by a hand-picked malleable corrupt bastard under the facade of elections, leaving a wake of public discontent in either case, and then musical chairs begin again as the society is led by its nose towards more orchestrated chaos and insecurity. The same deadly “music” is perhaps being played in Egypt and Tunisia. With “militant” Islam poised to take hold of both nations – as per the natural aspirations of the peoples after decades of oppressive secular rule – it is rather transparent that the *'arc of crisis'* is being primed for radicalization.'

Therefore, apart from new stooges appointed/selected/elected to lead our nations, either as protagonists, or as antagonists (as per the Hegelian Dialectic already explained elsewhere), from the other headlines in establishment's own newspapers, it should now be apparent to even the most dunce *non rocket scientists* that the introduction of international “peace keeping” forces is part of the agenda behind creating this sudden vacuum in power in the Middle East. As reported in the first headline noted above by [Press TV](#):

'The US, along with Britain and France, has also sent hundreds of Special Forces to Libya's east. The forces are setting up bases in the cities of Benghazi and Tobruk. The move comes against the backdrop of heated discussions over the possibility of imposing a no-fly zone on Libya, and a NATO-backed military intervention.

The US Senate on Tuesday unanimously passed a resolution urging the world to consider imposing a no-fly zone over Libya and condemning Gaddafi's bloody crackdown on Libyan civilians. Lawmakers approved the measure, which "applauds" demonstrators demanding democratic reforms and "strongly condemns" Gaddafi's response.'

To fully comprehend this agenda, one has to penetratingly understand what transpired in Kosovo in the 1990s, and what was the end result. The internationalization of the United Nations and NATO "peace keeping" forces in order to maintain peace and stability among a fractious people unable to govern themselves like civilized human beings. Kosovo set the legal, and UN sanctioned precedent for how the World Order of one-world government is to be principally governed. That agenda is not new. It has been amply discussed by others. It is also frequently referenced in the many publicly available strategy documents and books of empire.

That very end result in the case of Middle East, throughout the 'arc of crisis' in the 'global zone of percolating violence', is evidently being sought through these manufactured "revolutions" which only seed chaos, civil war, Muslim on Muslim ethnic/religious/political violence, all leading to the demoralization and disintegration of the cohesive social fabric under the auspices of 4th, 5th, 6th, ... generation warfare methods designed to destroy nations and societies from within. Just as was done to Serbia. And just as has been implemented successfully in Iraq. By taking the public through calculated extremes of stress-relief cycles, by means of war, or civil war, the indigenous masses are systematically primed to eventually accept whatever solution is imposed upon them in the brief relief periods, as their existential necessities of survival. It matters little if such acceptances are contrived through "elected parliaments", enforced by invasion forces by the fiat of conquest, or by "peace keeping" forces.

The end result is that the people end up losing all their national sovereignty, in anything and everything which is meaningful to being a nation rather than an administrative colony. Our nations have already lost most everything at the altar of the World Bank and the IMF. Our armed forces were already working over time in carrying *the white man's burden*. Now, even that veneer is to be stripped for complete capitulation, to the vaunted International Peace Keepers being introduced, ostensibly, to support/protect (and arm and train) the rebels as was reported by Fox News and AP in the usual camouflaged language of deception: "*And while he [Defense Secretary Robert Gates] did not rule out other options, such as providing air cover for Libyan rebels, he made clear he has little enthusiasm for direct military intervention.*" Initially, fomenting an armed civil war will do just fine to soften up the target from within, as the

news headlines in the [UK Independent](#) screamed on March 07, 2011: ***'Obama asks Saudis to airlift weapons into Benghazi - America's secret plan to arm Libya's rebels'***. Setting the Muslim patsies up in Libya just like we did for years in Iraq would facilitate further justifiable intervention, announced the [UK Telegraph](#) on March 08, 2011: ***'David Cameron and President Barack Obama have announced a 'full spectrum' of action on Libya'***.

The brilliant *'full spectrum' of action on Libya* is to be drawn directly from the very successful Iraqi template, since the desperate Muslim polity in the Middle East evidently still don't seem to have a damn clue what we are up to:

'A joint British and US statement said a plan for a no-fly zone, as requested by many of the rebels, was among the ideas being discussed. Action would also include surveillance and enforcement of the arms embargo against Libya. ...

The significance of control over Libya's oil facilities was emphasised when William Hague, the foreign secretary, told MPs he was considering whether to push for international action to take billions of dollars of Libyan oil revenues away from the Gaddafi regime and put them under United Nations control.

The plan, inspired by the oil-for-food system imposed on Saddam Hussein's Iraq, would require a decision by the United Nations Security Council, which is already under pressure to impose a no-fly zone over Libya in light of Col Gaddafi's use of air power to try to crush the rebellion against his 41-year-rule.'

When will the Muslim fools learn?

This is the meaning of the prescience of the *'arc of crisis'* in the *'global zone of percolating violence'*. How else does such remarkable foresight come about, decades before the percolating violence, unless it is orchestrated with long term planning and social engineering? The agenda for world government has been in systematic piece meal enactment for over a hundred years. See: [Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order](#).

In every nation as it exists today, among every peoples, eventually, only veneers and facades will be left behind, some respectable, and some antagonistic in order to be able to escalate the white man's "threat level" for its own domestic consumption to Defcon 2 as needed. Pakistan is a shining example of continuing exactly the same policies as were in place under the decade long dictatorial reign of General Musharaf – all in support of the "massa". And today, Pakistan

and Iran, the beneficiary of the *Iranian mob-revolution*, constitute the core pretext for ever going to Defcon 2!

The *uber learned* and other brilliant savants affiliated with the conquered societies always seem to dutifully rise to the occasion of heralding “change” that is brought to their shores – often by getting the people to ask for “change” themselves.

From America's “change” president, to Pakistan's “change” president, to all the “*regime changes*” planned in the ‘*global zone of percolating violence*’, can anyone identify specific changes which have been beneficial to the independence of those nations? No.

And these same savants always also seem to be the ones to justify the solutions brought by the *white man* when it is most necessary to genuinely and effectively oppose them with efficacy. Carrying the *white man's burden* is evidently back in full vogue. But to any half astute observer of geopolitics, it would appear to actually never ever have gone out of fashion since time immemorial, despite Rudyard Kipling's poetic laments in 1899 that the West wasn't carrying its fair share of *the white man's burden* in the Philippines. These savants with lofty titles and marketable associations, either knowingly as mercenaries and stooges, or unwittingly as *useful idiots*, outright refuse to preemptively see the subversive game plan of conquest through deception, when it can actually be defeated by understanding the agenda of the enemy. Then, meaning now when breaking events in the Middle East are overtaking the commonsense of most intelligentsia, when it is utmost essential to be able to distinguish between cause and effects with forensic clarity, when it is urgently necessary to not be carried away by the visible dramas being enacted among the public, and when it is an existential imperative to comprehend the invisible subversive forces at play in order to defeat them, these brilliant minds suddenly become parrots of empire echoing its narratives!

Later, ex post facto, once the fait accompli is sewn tight, they will suddenly transform into the *useful rebels* of empire shouting **war crimes tribunals** – and for whom? For the previous crop of errand boys and girls of empire which has absolutely no relevance to the new atrocities being created on the ground by their replacements with the same, and sometimes more, impunity. My website documents my many letters to *useful idiots* and *useful rebels*, and I am quite unable to distinguish among them, whether they are just “controlled dissent” working for empire, or just being plain stupid. It does puzzle me that how is it that stupid people have become leading opinion-makers in almost every nation? Is it that their opinions have some inherent wisdom? Or is it that the empire promotes them as *useful idiots*?

Our suffering brethren in Iraq, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Palestine, Lebanon, Iran, Egypt, Syria, Libya, Bahrain, the entire '*arc of crisis*' in the '*global zone of percolating violence*' need genuine un co-opted revolutionaries – not mob “revolutions” – who can pose even half a challenge to the intellectual warfare that is the primal first cause of our continuous defeats at the hands of *hectoring hegemons*. Using the intellect is the first line of defense against those who *wage wars by way of deception!* While many repeatedly echo that maxim like parrots, few betray the timely recognition of that maxim when it can be most effective in defeating such warfare.

What can be done?

If you know who the enemy is, deeply understand its modalities of conquest, and know yourself, you can take measures to do something about it. That enemy, the first enemy, is within our own nations.

Unless the fifth columnists in every nation and among every people – those who work against their own peoples, both in and out of power, those who set up puppet regimes with military might and then tear it down with manufactured mob power, those who engineer consent among the gullible public and those who play false oppositions to head of genuine rebellion, and those who remain behind the errand boys occupying presidencies who come and go, but they go on forever behind the scenes – all of them, are juridically euthanized first in the greater interest of the *huddled masses*, the battle against colonization is lost even before it is begun.

As was narrated by Sun Tzu in The Art of War, the oldest and still most effective military treatise in the world which principally underlies all significant political planning in the “temples”, i.e., think-tanks, of modernity:

- All warfare is based on deception;
- Now the general who wins a battle makes many calculations in his temple [before] the battle is fought. The general who loses a battle makes but few calculations beforehand. Thus do many calculations lead to victory, and few calculations to defeat: how much more no calculation at all! It is by attention to this point that I can foresee who is likely to win or lose;
- Thus it is that in war the victorious strategist only seeks battle after the victory has been won, whereas he who is destined to defeat first fights and afterwards looks for victory.

That is a lesson plan the modern *hectoring hegemons* – those who through many calculations, seed wars, pestilences, calamities, debt slavery, those who instigate nations to fight, those who turn brother against brother by incubating traitors, stooges, fools and useful idiots among them – have deftly followed over the past two centuries. So long as these traitors of humanity exist among us – and I don't see how the Mir Jafar/Mir Sadiq among every people can ever be fully eliminated – the battle will always go to those who remain superior in the *Art of War*.

Plebeians know nothing about the *Art of War* – and so we are easily mobilized into the streets, sometimes for pecuniary gain, sometimes as the method of last resort to vent steam against tyranny. Such social engineering principles are well understood by those who plan these outcomes and harness the predictable mass behavior for their own purposes. Their effective counter can only come by combining shrewd political intellect with un co-opted political power which can defend against these incessant onslaughts upon the powerless and rather predictable masses of human beings.

Neither does such a political intellect, nor such a political power, visibly exist today in the entire '*arc of crisis*', the '*global zone of percolating violence*', both self-serving characterizations of Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski, the diabolical author as well as the covert architect of *The Grand Chessboard*. The reason it has been eviscerated is not merely by happenstance, or because the 'lesser peoples' just love to live under autocratic rule. But by calculated design of the *hectoring hegemons* themselves who have continually brought to power, aided and abetted, in many cases entirely financed, in other cases militarily and politically supported, the many vile dictatorships and superficial egotistical kingdoms (spanning the gamut from benign as in Bahrain to draconian as in Saudi Arabia) in these very nations in which they today raise the banner of "revolution" for "democracy". Is this such a surprise that they now fully effectuate this banner? The Project for the New American Century (PNAC) even openly advertised instigating "regime change" being the new foreign policy calculus of the lone superpower for the twenty first century, violently replacing the *molding stability* of its own previously favored tin-pot dictatorships with the *vibrant instability* of "*revolutionary times*" – the singular catalyst of all transformations.

As already quoted above, comprehending Machiavellianly poisoned apples presented to *Snow White*: '*Lawmakers approved the measure, which "applauds" demonstrators demanding democratic reforms and "strongly condemns" Gaddafi's response.*', is really not *rocket science* – unless one is a complete imbecile, or superlatively naïve. The remaining logical conclusion therefore, when one pretends to not comprehend, is that one is among the fifth columnists!

This immediately helps us identify and “bin” our first enemies rather straightforwardly into the useful categories of traitors and fools, to separate them from the *huddled masses*. Hang the traitors and harness the fools to mobilize them productively for our own cause – easier said than done without genuine political power and intellectual prowess to bring it about. This is evidently as true in Brzezinski's '*global zone of percolating violence*' as in Brzezinski's '*sole remaining superpower*' which is entirely overrun by traitors and fools together screwing their own nation. As is self-evident from my [Message to the US Congress in 2008](#) at the time of their willing passage of the Bankster Bailout Bill, failure to lead and act propitiously, trivially identifies who works for whom. It is not entirely obvious how any self-respecting nation, peoples, and even superpower, can survive either its traitors or its fools. Which is why none do!

Which is also why nothing can be done without getting rid of the fifth columnists first.

Hence the self-evident import of the remarkable truth in the saying that is quoted in the 2500 years old manual for waging both successful wars and successful self-defense: '*If you know the enemy and know yourself, you need not fear the result of a hundred battles. If you know yourself but not the enemy, for every victory gained you will also suffer a defeat. If you know neither the enemy nor yourself, you will succumb in every battle.*'

Therefore, in conclusion, if a genuine revolutionary acumen which can effectively bring to bear the above nugget of political wisdom from *The Art of War* is secretly undergoing some zealous birth-pangs just beneath the surface, it is high time these boldly germinated while the soil is still fertile. Just as the *hectoring hegemons* need “*revolutionary times*” to seed their own agendas, the same “*revolutionary times*” really become a double edged sword in the art of war. Why? Because it is also only in these “*revolutionary times*” that the enemy can also be conceivably defeated: “*what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times*”! As history testifies, it is only in “*revolutionary times*” that the fifth columnists reveal themselves in order to play their assigned hand. And it is only in “*revolutionary times*” that the entire house can be cleansed in a genuine revolution. Since the hectoring hegemons well understand this – empiricism indicates that they are also far better attuned to continually preempting it in ongoing game theory enactment with massive deployment of resources and newer Trojan Horses. To wage an effective war against such nemeses fundamentally require engaging *Mens et Manus* – both *mind and hand* together!

Zahir Ebrahim | ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org

Update: March 19: Bush bombed Iraq 2003 - Obama bombs Libya 2011

Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/03/kosovo-in-arc-of-crisis-by-zahirebrahim.html>

Mirror URL: <http://bloghumanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/2011/03/05/viewpoint-instrumenting-kosovo-in-the-arc-of-crisis-and-the-global-zone-of-percolating-violence-by-zahir-ebrahim/>

PDF URL: <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2011/03/instrumenting-kosovo-in-arc-of-crisis-by-zahirebrahim-4.pdf>

Last updated 03/19/2011 12:00:04 3273


Chapter 5 Conspiracy Theory

Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory

Zahir Ebrahim | ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org



Caption Conspiracy theories exist in the realm of myth, where imaginations run wild, fears trump facts, and evidence is ignored. As a superpower, the United States is often cast as a villain in these dramas.



Caption NSC 10/2 directed CIA to conduct “covert” rather than merely “psychological” operations, defining them as all activities “which are conducted or sponsored by this Government against hostile foreign states or groups or in support of friendly foreign states or groups but which are so planned and executed that any US Government responsibility for them is not evident to unauthorized persons and that if uncovered the US Government can plausibly disclaim any responsibility for them.” – Furthermore, in order to preempt such uncovering, to continually seed red herrings and false theories; to delegitimize their unraveling by labeling them as kookish “conspiracy theories”; to defocus public energies by introducing “beneficial cognitive diversity”, fabricated leaks of half-truths which retain core-lies, and “Limited Hangout” mea culpae, all fed through the “Mighty Wurlitzer” messaging machinery; to brand the few daring and persistent unravellers of “truth’s protective layers” as deniers of reality suffering from “emotional or mental illness”, an “oppositional defiant disorder” exhibiting a pattern of “negativistic, defiant, disobedient and hostile behavior toward authority figures” and

therefore a threat to themselves and to society; and when all else fails, to eliminate these 'malcontents' who refuse to tow the official line by branding them “*domestic terrorists*”.

Some may rationally ponder that how is it, that such a long running global conspiracy for world government as outlined in Project Humanbeingsfirst's report “**The Enduring Capitalist Conspiracy for World Government**”, can be kept alive across centuries and across geographies. This brief paper examines that question.

Noam Chomsky had once observed an insightful nature of such “conspiracies”, as the open shared natural goals stemming from the very nature of its definition, which could therefore, no more be termed a conspiracy than both GM and Ford endeavoring to maximize their profits at all cost be termed a 'global corporate conspiracy'.

I have always added to that, the equally un-remarkable observation that a hungry lion anywhere in the world pouncing upon a lamb is similarly no global conspiracy by the world's lions to eat up all the lambs on the planet. That is just the nature of the bestial predators when its “might defines right”. The higher cerebral concepts of “right”, “wrong”, “moral”, immoral”, etc., do not even exist among any primal predators, for these only behave according to their nature. Pious platitudes, if they could be argued by the lion or the snake for instance, would in fact only be disseminated to the lambs and the mice to make them an even easier morsel to acquire!

The only thing that occasionally deters such exercise of primacy is a collective natural response like the one observed in the “Battle at Kruger” park. Indeed, the quest for the holy grail of extracting voluntary servitude from the masses of mankind is the key idea of cultivating a willingly compliant public in order for the illuminated ones becoming their stewards for life. In Bertrand Russell's' timeless characterization, to extract voluntary servitude such that: “***a revolt of the plebs will become as unthinkable as an organised insurrection of sheep against the practice of eating mutton.***”

Thus we observe that from Plato to Nietzsche, from the philosopher-king to the 'ubermensch', all have argued the necessity of ruling upon the sheepish masses as the 'divine' imperative of the “enlightened ones”. Indeed, Zbigniew Brzezinski even sub-titled his seminal book “*The Grand Chessboard*” with its egotistical subtitle “*American Primacy and Its Geostrategic*

Imperatives”, merely extending that idea of 'ubermensch' rule from the most “enlightened ones”, to the most powerful sole-superpower!

The same theme exists among the “Chosen Peoples”, to deem their primacy upon the goyim their inherent nature, their divine destiny. The 'ubermensch' are suckled these lessons in their mothers' milk to acquire those imperatives across generations in perhaps the same way as the generations of corporate executives and CEOs who inherently know that they need to continually enhance the valuation of their company's stock performance in a capitalist system.

So, when these 'divine' ubermensch creatures who are beyond good and evil, self-servingly behave in their primal predatory natural manner across time and space, across evolution or creation, are they being “**conspiratorial**”?

In the Chomsky-Ebrahim nomenclature, perhaps not.

In the Ron Paul nomenclature, it is merely a shared “*Conspiracy of Ideas*” in which “*CFR exists, the Trilateral Commission exists*”, and that, it is only “*an ideological battle*” wherein:

“some people believe in Globalism, and others of us believe in national sovereignty; and there is a move on toward a North American Union just like early on there was a move on for a European Union and it eventually ended up. ...

These are real things, it's not somebody made these up, it's not a conspiracy, they don't talk about it, and they might not admit about it, but there has been money spent on it ...

So it's not so much a secretive conspiracy, it's a contest between ideologies; whether we believe in our institutions here, our national sovereignty, our Constitution, or are we going to further move in the direction of international government, more UN. You know, this country goes to war under UN Resolutions. I don't like big government in Washington. So I don't like this trend towards international government ...

But it's not so much it's a sinister conspiracy, it's just knowledge is out there, if we look for it, you'll realize our national sovereignty is under threat!”

In the United States' legalese nomenclature, breaking of a *"federal statute"* by at least two or more persons working in collusion (and when caught), is defined as *"criminal conspiracy"* and *"federal crime"*. According to the Columbia Encyclopedia, it is criminal whether or not Congress imposed criminal sanctions on the activity itself. A conspiracy need only be proved by *"circumstantial evidence"* even *"if it violates the rules against hearsay evidence"*:

Conspiracy: "in law, agreement of two or more persons to commit a criminal or otherwise unlawful act. At common law, the crime of conspiracy was committed with the making of the agreement, but present-day statutes require an overt step by a conspirator to further the conspiracy. Other controversial aspects of conspiracy laws include the modification of the rules of evidence and the potential for a dragnet. A statement of a conspirator in furtherance of the conspiracy is admissible against all conspirators, even if the statement includes damaging references to another conspirator, and often even if it violates the rules against hearsay evidence. The conspiracy can be proved by circumstantial evidence. Any conspirator is guilty of any substantive crime committed by any other conspirator in furtherance of the enterprise. It is a federal crime to conspire to commit any activity prohibited by federal statute, whether or not Congress imposed criminal sanctions on the activity itself."

According to such legalism, smart conspirators, if powerful enough, could affect the enactment of conducive federal statutes, or prevent the enactment of adverse ones, that would enable them to get away with many morally reprehensible systems and acts. The Federal Reserve System for instance, falls into this category. A legalized extortion racket to enslave the public in perpetual debt for the issue and supply of their own national currency. Similarly, bootlegging is a federal crime one decade, a respectable business the next! And internationally, it is the enactment of laws under WTO which defines what is criminal and what isn't – not the raping and harvesting of developing nations that goes on under its conspiratorial rubric!

Thus suffice it to say, the word "conspiracy" even has legal semantics, albeit rather limited. It is limited because it is easy to circumvent an abhorrence and call it legal when the king makes the laws.

But the multitudinous connotations of this word do not stop there. It also has a 'tin-hatted' or 'kookish' implication in furtherance of the devilish art of political science based state-craft. This

was indeed implied by Congressman Ron Paul in his afore-quoted speech when he stated regarding the North American Union: ***“These are real things, it's not somebody made these up, it's not a conspiracy, ... So it's not so much a secretive conspiracy, ...”***.

In fact, some of the best cloaking devices for clandestine covert-operations and hidden agendas have been invented by the most brilliant minds – here is one exposition for instance from Ezra Pound: ***“invent two lies and have the public keep arguing which one of them might be true”***. Another is by Leo Strauss – the erudite teacher of the majority of the neo-cons – called ***“Noble Lies”***. A third by the White House, often referred to as ***“plausible deniability”***, okay may be it was invented by the DIA, the grand-daddy of all intelligence agencies. This thinly-veiled euphemism for deception to protect the leadership if things go badly in covert-operations became public knowledge during the Iran-Contra scandal, the televised coverage of which had gripped the American nation for months, including myself. And this wasn't just a rogue operation with ad hoc deniability cover by patriotic agents as most in the public are led to believe. Deniability is official government policy vis a vis any covert operation dating back to President Truman's signing of NSC 10/2. That directive made the introduction of “plausible deniability” a requirement for CIA's clandestine operations in case they were ever blown while still active. Below is an excerpt from ***“Note on U.S. Covert Action Programs”***:

'Management of Covert Actions in the Truman Presidency

The Truman administration's concern over Soviet “psychological warfare” prompted the new National Security Council to authorize, in NSC 4-A of December 1947, the launching of peacetime covert action operations. NSC 4-A made the Director of Central Intelligence responsible for psychological warfare, establishing at the same time the principle that covert action was an exclusively Executive Branch function. The Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) certainly was a natural choice but it was assigned this function at least in part because the Agency controlled unvouchered funds, by which operations could be funded with minimal risk of exposure in Washington.¹

CIA's early use of its new covert action mandate dissatisfied officials at the Departments of State and Defense. The Department of State, believing this role too important to be left to the CIA alone and concerned that the

military might create a new rival covert action office in the Pentagon, pressed to reopen the issue of where responsibility for covert action activities should reside. Consequently, on June 18, 1948, a new NSC directive, NSC 10/2, superseded NSC 4-A.

NSC 10/2 directed CIA to conduct “covert” rather than merely “psychological” operations, defining them as all activities “which are conducted or sponsored by this Government against hostile foreign states or groups or in support of friendly foreign states or groups but which are so planned and executed that any US Government responsibility for them is not evident to unauthorized persons and that if uncovered the US Government can plausibly disclaim any responsibility for them.”

... The Special Group and the 303 Committee approved 163 covert actions during the Kennedy administration and 142 during the Johnson administration through February 1967. The 1976 Final Report of the Church Committee, however, estimated that of the several thousand projects undertaken by the CIA since 1961, only 14 percent were considered on a case-by-case basis by the 303 Committee and its predecessors (and successors). Those not reviewed by the 303 Committee were low-risk and low-cost operations. The Final Report also cited a February 1967 CIA memorandum that included a description of the mode of policy arbitration of decisions on covert actions within the 303 Committee system. CIA presentations were questioned, amended, and even on occasion denied, despite protests from the DCI. Department of State objections modified or nullified proposed operations, and the 303 Committee sometimes decided that some agency other than CIA should undertake an operation or that CIA actions requested by Ambassadors on the scene should be rejected.'

Lastly, among the already well-known tools of Management of Covert Actions for statecraft, we also have the *“limited hangout”* and *“modified limited hangout”* conspiracies to mislead the public in case “plausible deniability” for governmental wrong-doing doesn't work. This modus operandi of accepting partial mea culpa for something less consequential in order to mask the more egregious crimes was amply demonstrated by Richard Nixon during the waning

years of his presidency. A good description of it with excerpts from the Nixon tapes planning the red herrings is on Wikipedia.

Calculated omission is perhaps the most crafty tool of public persuasion in the general statecraft of deception as it naturally relies on ignorance – for who can have complete knowledge of every subject? Aldous Huxley aptly recalled the Churchillian term for creating such a chasm between facts and fiction as lowering an “*iron curtain*” around the public's sense of perception:

'The greatest triumphs of propaganda have been accomplished, not by doing something, but by refraining from doing. Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals.' (Aldous Huxley, 1946 Preface to *Brave New World*, 1931)

Keeping thinking peoples plausibly occupied lest they discover the real levers of power is much more complicated than mere manufacturing consent among the masses. The latter are generally quite amenable to simple propaganda, to the big lie, and appeal to the irrational mind and subconscious fears (or needs), with repetitious reinforcement. That diabolical science of mass persuasion is already well understood, thanks to the pioneering work of Edward Bernays and the Mighty Wurlitzer, not to overlook Goebbels and Hitler, as the engineering of consent among the majority. Hitler categorized them into type-1, **“those who believe everything they read; ... when the voting papers of the masses are the deciding factor; the decision lies in the hands of the numerically strongest group; that is to say the first group, the crowd of simpletons and the credulous.”**

The minority of thoughtful peoples however, also often the people of conscience, pose a different problem. According to Hitler, the type-3, **“those who critically examine what they read and form their judgments accordingly. ... Hence the trash that newspapers are capable of serving up is of little danger--much less of importance--to the members of the third group of readers. In the majority of cases these readers have learnt to regard every journalist as fundamentally a rogue who sometimes speaks the truth. Most**

unfortunately, the value of these readers lies in their intelligence and not in their numerical strength, an unhappy state of affairs in a period where wisdom counts for nothing and majorities for everything.” If not craftily waylaid, this third group of people stand to acquire some real comprehension of the otherwise carefully hidden from the masses in plain sight, conspiratorial forces which actually shape their world.

These rebels – referred to as the 'malcontents' by H. G. Wells in his famous book “New World Order” – can also figure out that the visible rulers whom the public elects with such gravitas every so often to run their country on their behalf in a momentous celebration of 'democracy' which in reality only affords the demos the choice between twiddledee and twiddledum in a carefully choreographed Hegelian Dialectic, are actually not their public servants. To hide the fact that these elected psychopaths are really still only the errand boys and girls of an invisible ruling-oligarchy, manufacturing dissent to mislead the energies of the handful of thinking and conscionable peoples has become a necessary instrument of statecraft.

This deception typically entails manufacturing dissent chiefs who can craftily take their respective flock, by a circuitous route, to the same pastures as the mainstream public. I.e., the net impact of their celebrated dissent in derailing the manufactured consent among the masses being exactly zero. These crafty dissent-chiefs, fortunately enough, are also rather trivial to identify. **Their main modus operandi appears to be to oppose power while still echoing its core message!**

These traffickers in 'truth' – i.e., absurdities – will often truthfully describe the visible puppetshows and bravely challenge empire on what is already known and overt, such as its criminal bombings and maiming of other nations. But they will also, for instance as in this war on terror, also echo one or more of the primemover axioms of empire which ab initio enables empire's “imperial mobilization”! Namely, that some 'Ali Baba' magically pulled off 911 from his almighty perch in the Hindu Kush, that Islamofascism is a genuine threat to mankind, that Al Qaeda is out to impose its will on the West and is being aided and abetted from Pakistan-Iran nexus, etc. Because these dissent-artists hector empire in its killing of innocent civilians, they often attract big audiences among the type-2 category people identified by Hitler, **“those who no longer believe anything;”**. According to Hitler, these were formerly type-1 who changed their allegiances from unquestioningly believing establishment's dogmas, to blindly opposing them. They now unquestioningly follow their new leaders, the artfully fabricated dissent-chiefs.

But they also attract some type-3, fortunately only temporarily, since fabricated dissent is

trivially exposed to those unencumbered by blind-faith in power and its many incantations. See Weapons of Mass Deception for more in depth deconstruction of the diabolical Manufacturing of Dissent.

And for the most recalcitrant among the 'malcontent' unwilling to subjugate their own common and political sense to the devil's science, exclusively the type-3 of Hitler's classification whose value **“lies in their intelligence and not in their numerical strength”**, new modalities to discredit them are continually constructed. The latest being mental illness.

As reported in the Washington Post, if “there might be a legal entitlement to be a jerk”, most assuredly there will be legal entitlement to be a non-conformist, i.e., an independent thinker:

'Today's DSM defines “oppositional defiant disorder” as a pattern of “negativistic, defiant, disobedient and hostile behavior toward authority figures.” Symptoms include “often loses temper,” “often deliberately annoys people” or “is often touchy.” DSM omits this symptom: “is a teenager.”

This DSM defines as “personality disorders” attributes that once were considered character flaws. “Antisocial personality disorder” is “a pervasive pattern of disregard for . . . the rights of others . . . callous, cynical . . . an inflated and arrogant self-appraisal.” “Histrionic personality disorder” is “excessive emotionality and attention-seeking.” “Narcissistic personality disorder” involves “grandiosity, need for admiration . . . boastful and pretentious.” And so on.

If every character blemish or emotional turbulence is a “disorder” akin to a physical disability, legal accommodations are mandatory. Under federal law, “disabilities” include any “mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities”; “mental impairments” include “emotional or mental illness.” So there might be a legal entitlement to be a jerk. (See above, “antisocial personality disorder.”)’ -- George F. Will, The Washington Post, February 28, 2010, **Handbook suggests that deviations from 'normality' are disorders**

It should now be self-evident that while a conspiracy is still active, or while mileage is being extracted from a clandestine operation that is on-going or an agenda that is being secretly pursued, or to hide or spin some other egregious wrong-doing, all analytical and deconstructive references to its unraveling must be squashed or dismissed. Inter alia, by

defocussing attention from them, by introducing clever red herrings and side shows, and by labeling such detective work by the public as kookish “*conspiracy theories*”.

Its advocates, the few daring and persistent unravellers of “*truth's protective layers*”, to be marginalized by labeling them as fools, idiots, deniers of reality suffering from “*emotional or mental illness*”, an “*oppositional defiant disorder*” exhibiting a pattern of “*negativistic, defiant, disobedient and hostile behavior toward authority figures*” and therefore a threat to themselves and to society! Those resorting to any active opposition against the government's lies and deceit, such as through civil disobedience, to be designated as “*domestic terrorists*”.

And when the conspiracies are prematurely blown despite all the Machiavellian preemption efforts at masking them, to have the multiple cover stories ready for “*plausible deniability*”, including some version of “*limited hangout*”, using the ubiquitous Mighty Wurlitzer's message machine.

Is all this a fairy tale? The rabbit hole runs deep and permeates not just the news media and the privately funded think-tanks, but also the academe which is routinely tapped for statecraft.

Cass R. Sunstein of Harvard Law School, the man who is today President Obama's Information Czar in the White House, in his 2008 paper titled “**Conspiracy Theories**”, called this process of the creation of diabolical red herrings, introducing “**beneficial cognitive diversity**” through “**cognitive infiltration**”. The paper has to be read in its entirety in order to be appreciated for its brazen and open appeal to Machiavelli for conducting statecraft when thinking people remain unencumbered by its mere propaganda spin.

What are these deceptions of statecraft if not real conspiracies by the establishment to mask their real clandestine covert-operations, untenable agendas, and wrong-doings?

Thus, if it is axiomatically asserted that there is no such thing as a real conspiracy, then that really works wonderfully in the interest of the cloak-makers because it makes one forget the perspectives of history.

And this complex Machiavellian deception game behind alleging 'kookishness' bears exposing fully: invent two or more lies, not just one, and keep the good hearted well meaning peoples in the “populist democracy” occupied debating which one of them might be true, for it would hardly matter what conclusions they reached. And wherever they ended up, to perhaps yank one of the lies from underneath them by conclusively showing it to be false thus conveniently

demonstrating a baseless “conspiracy theory” in order to keep that notion alive in the public imagination. This consequently delegitimizes in the public mind serious researchers' efforts in uncovering any covert-operation while its secrecy is of paramount necessity. Afterwards, after faits accomplis, after statute of limitations expiring, it makes little difference if historians and confession artists make a pecuniary gain peddling what is inconsequential history to the newer evolving realpolitik du jour. The recognition of this self-evident truth of the matter and its utility to Machiavellian statecraft was boldly narrated even in the New York Times, quoting a senior advisor to the president of the United States at the time, George W. Bush:

“That's not the way the world really works anymore,” he continued. “We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.” (Ron Suskind, NYT, Oct. 17, 2004)

So many complex semantics for the simple term “*conspiracy theory*” – it's not just mere nomenclature – that this overview of its usefulness to statecraft was necessary in order to situate anything with such a bombastic title as “*The Capitalist Conspiracy*”, in its proper social-political-legal-conspiratorial context.

And an equally insightful and rational response to this question of long enduring conspiracy for world domination, is added to the motivational mix by G. Edward Griffin in the video below:

“After a man has far more money than he possibly can spend for pleasures, what is left to excite him? For those with the ruling class mentality, the answer is power – raw power over other human beings. Money can buy such power only to a point, beyond that, politics is the sport, and world politics is the ultimate game.”

Thus, Griffin aptly noted: “***The New World Order Is Not New***”, but a common objective borne of natural inclination to primacy which apparently transcends time, space, geography and race. It naturally increases in its scope in proportion to the vistas of power it acquires. And it automatically attracts to its cause the coterie of sycophants and useful idiots essential in realizing its overarching agendas. It is helped along, as W. Cleon Skousen uncannily observed in his commentary in “***The Naked Capitalist***”:

'The real value of Tragedy and Hope ... [is the] bold and boastful admission by Dr. Quigley that there actually exists a relatively small but powerful group which has succeeded in acquiring a choke-hold on the affairs of practically the entire human race. Of course we should be quick to recognize that no small group could wield such gigantic power unless millions of people in all walks of life were "in on the take" and were willing to knuckle down to the iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes. As we shall see, the network has succeeded in building its power structure by using tremendous quantities of money (together with the vast influence it buys) to manipulate, intimidate, or corrupt millions of men and women and their institutions on a world-wide basis.' (pg. 6)

Subsequent manipulation of global events through statecraft machinations become trivial when one has already taken over the state's machinery through its many essential instruments of policy-making. The same instruments today are behind the formulation of coercive policies for ostensibly addressing the myriad global crises plaguing mankind today – from Global War on Terror to Global Financial Collapse to Global Epidemics to Global Warming to Global Food Shortage to Global Water Shortage etceteras – and for which, the solution posited, is of course Global Governance:

'I have never believed that there is a secret United Nations plot to take over the US. I have never seen black helicopters hovering in the sky above Montana. But, for the first time in my life, I think the formation of some sort of world government is plausible. A "world government" would involve much more than co-operation between nations. It would be an entity with state-like characteristics, backed by a body of laws. The European Union has already set up a continental government for 27 countries, which could be a model. The EU has a supreme court, a currency, thousands of pages of law, a large civil service and the ability to deploy military force. So could the European model go global? There are three reasons for thinking that it might. First, it is increasingly clear that the most difficult issues facing national governments are international in nature: there is global warming, a global financial crisis and a "global war on terror".' (Gideon Rachman, And now for a world government, Financial Times, December 8, 2008)

Right out of the box of user manuals for the ***“end run around national sovereignty”*** made available to the world through the benevolence of the Council on Foreign Relations:

“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.

Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the central institutions of the U.N. system, but the main thing is that the essential functions be performed.

The question is whether this more modest approach can do the job. Can it really bring mankind into the twenty-first century with reasonable prospects for peace, welfare and human dignity? The argument thus far suggests it better had, for there seems to be no alternative. But the evidence also suggests some grounds for cautious optimism.” (Richard N. Gardner, *The Hard Road To World Order*, Foreign Affairs, April 1974, 558-559)

In summary, the upshot of it all is that it becomes a moot point what label one might give to this empirical predatory behavior wrapped in deception. Zbigniew Brzezinski even openly proclaimed its pertinence to statecraft in the very first sentence of his book mentioned earlier: ***“Hegemony is as old as mankind”***. And Nicolò Machiavelli very perceptively outlined its recipe in *The Prince* whose modern reincarnation in murderous play on the Grand Chessboard has been to convince people of absurdities to get them to acquiesce to the atrocities and spendings of hegemony.

The undeniable fact remains that world-government has been a long historical passion of oligarchs! The quest for the hegemony of the entire world has been their natural enduring conspiracy for world government. Its new religion is secular humanism. Its new imperative is population reduction. Its primal modus operandi is deception, which, in its harbingers' own words, is to create ***“an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece [by making it] look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’”***. And it is finally coming to its grand fruition in our own time with continuous newer myths and crises creation which span the gamut from 'Global Warming' to 'Global War on Terror', and more recently from 'Global Swine Flu

Pandemic' to 'Global environmental disaster due to oil spill', each new crisis and myth leading to enacting new legalisms for incrementally eroding more national sovereignty while ushering in more police-state. In keeping with the “conspiracy theory” paradigm of statecraft, most useful idiots are kept busy mindlessly chattering on about “conspiracy theories” and “Islamofascism” in perfect echo with the Machiavellis. Enjoy Ed Griffin's narration in *The Capitalist Conspiracy* video, made in 1972!

The Capitalist Conspiracy

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=6260646431723948415>



Further Study References:

- [1] <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/09/capitalist-conspiracy-world-government.html>
- [2] <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/monetary-reform-bibliography.html>
- [3] <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/12/responseto-ft-gideon-rachman-worldgov.html>
- [4] <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/04/some-dare-call-it-conspiracy-garyallen.html>

[5] <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/12/brilliant-world-order-bedtime-story.html>

[6] <http://fas.org/sgp/advisory/state/covert.html>

[7] http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1084585

[8] <http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=8605813744843314322>

[9] <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/04/enduring-power-of-machiavellian-polysci.html>

[10] <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/05/note-on-mighty-wurlitzer.html>

[11] <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/06/wmd-master-social-science.html>

[12] <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/12/ali-baba-in-mumbai-eid2008-reflections.html>

[13] <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/03/war-on-terror-not-about-islamofascism.html>

Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/03/anatomy-of-conspiracy-theory.html>

Source PDF: <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2010/08/anatomy-of-conspiracy-theory-updated-aug312010.pdf>

Last updated 08/31/2010 19:00:06 5231

Chapter 6 Science and Empire

Letter to a 'co-conspiracy theorist': Reflections on Modernity, Climategate, Pandemic, Peer Review, and Science in the Service of Empire

November 30, 2009




Dear 'co-conspiracy theorist' M – Hi.

What Dr. Tim Ball stated in his concluding remarks in the following climategate video also captures my sentiments:

“... but you know what,

finding out that what I was saying was true there is no pleasure in that whatsoever. No pleasure in 'I told you so' because this is a deeply troubling time not only for climate-science, but Science in general.” --

Minute 9:30, Climategate: Dr. Tim Ball on the hacked CRU emails, November 21, 2009 on [corbettreport http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ydo2Mwnwpac](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ydo2Mwnwpac)



And as sweeping as that **“deeply troubling time”** statement is, I would say Dr. Tim Ball still didn't go far enough. He did not unravel the overarching agenda and the galactic extent it permeates its corrupting tentacles as noted in this Letter to Editor: Understanding the Political Science behind Global Warming February 07, 2009, and in this Response to Financial Times Gideon Rachman's 'And now for a world government' December 11, 2008.

And neither did Senator Inhofe examine the 'WHY' of **“cooking that science”** in this exchange on Fox News back in June 2009:

Fox News Anchor: **“Does it appear to you that the EPA buried evidence that would have made the President's climate change bill unnecessary”?**


Sen. Inhofe: **“Oh absolutely Greg. They have been cooking that science since 1998. ...”**

Fox News Anchor: **“And here is what Alan Carlin said [Author of EPA 98-page study on climate change]: 'My view is...there is not currently any reason to regulate carbon dioxide. Global temperatures are roughly where they were in mid-20th century. They're not going up. If anything, they're going down.' In other words, if there is no endangerment, there is no need for a Bill.”**


Sen. Inhofe: **“The thing is phony. I feel so good about being redeemed after all these years... all of those scientists that Al Gore had lined up... all of them used to be on his side, they all said wait a minute, this science isn't right, and that's exactly what Alan Carlin said...”** -- Minute 0:30, Sen. Inhofe On Global Warming: 'This Thing Is Phony', Fox News, June 29, 2009 <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Skf8bpl8WSg>



The following is an interesting map of global temperatures – I am not sure of the source or accuracy of the specifics of the data from which it is constructed, but the planetary level temperature cycles are quite empirical:



Whereas, not unlike the many previous diabolical mantras deployed by the ruling establishment as pretexts for different facets of its “*imperial mobilization*” agenda, the following is the famous “hockey-stick” science graph used for promoting the mantra of Global Warming:



Canadian Professors Ross McKittrick and Christopher Essex deconstructed that hockey-stick science along with their notable non-conformist collaborator and businessman from Toronto, Steve McIntyre, in their 2003 book *Taken by Storm*, long before climategate. But Prof. Ross too, circumspectly, only called it **“bad science”**, judiciously refraining from calling it **Science in the Service of Empire** which it is:

'Michael Coren: **“What's all this about a hockey-stick?”**

Ross McKittrick: “Well, the hockey-stick graph. This was back in 2001, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [IPCC], it's a UN body that every five years puts out a big assessment of the science. And they are especially alert to any evidence that really promotes the Global Warming story and they give it lots of promotion. And in 2001, they latched onto this result that was fairly fresh in the literature, that had to do with what's called paleoclimatology. The study of the behavior of the climate from way back before we had thermometers.

Now, for decades the standard view has been that over the past thousand years, there is a Medieval era, which is very warm compared to the present. You know, the Greenland, the Vikings were able to have farms in Greenland. All over the world there is evidence that it was warmer, and basically better for people. And then things got cold for about 500 years, up to the 1800s, and then we were in a warming phase coming out of the little ice-age as it was called.

In the 2001 IPCC report, they changed all that and presented a graph that looks like a hockey stick lying on its side. So the mean state of the climate is almost constant, up until the year 1900, and then suddenly the temperatures started

rising rapidly.

And this was very dramatic. It was an extremely effective graphics for getting people worried about global warming.

And it featured prominently in the debates over Kyoto, the government of Canada had it on its website. Actually the government of Canada quoted from it in a pamphlet they sent out to households across the country, and governments around the world did the same thing. Al Gore features it in his movie.” -- Minutes 0:23 to 2:20

Michael Coren: “... **Hold on, you are being very generous here.** The hockey stick was used time and time again, and in fact, it became almost iconic within the Global Warming movement. As you say, movies, and pamphlets sent out to people across Canada. **And you are not saying to me, it was never genuine, either because there was weak research, or even dishonest research, this is kafkaesque.**”

Ross McKittrick: “Well, what we found along the way was there was statistical errors, but one of the big problems was they'd used a contaminated dataset. They had about 400 input data series of these temperature proxies, but the way they were analyzing them was most of the data was thrown out, and there is one little segment of the dataset that all the results depended on, and they are called bristle cone pine series. It's a funny looking tree that grows mostly in Western United States and they grown very old. Thousand years old.

But, people have long known, and the National Academy of Science has repeated this warning: you shouldn't use them for temperature reconstructions, because they have this hockey-stick shape that's got nothing to do with temperature.

Well, it turned out that the hockey-stick graph was formed by taking these bristle cone pines and just putting all the weight on them.

And the original author had redone his analysis taking this small number of bristle cone pines out, and the whole shape changes. The graph just loses its shape, it just becomes sort of noisy and nothing. So, they knew

when they published this study.”

Michael Coren: **“They lied!”**

Ross McKittrick: **“I wouldn't say they lied. I think what they did was they didn't disclose the fundamental weakness of the original result.”** ' --

Minute 4:42 to 6:20, conversation with Professor Ross McKittrick, The hockey stick is wrong and result of bad science, on Michael Coren Show
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-1k4mFZr-gE>



As the above narratives brazenly disclose, one can't expect any effective policing of empire by those fed from the crumbs of empire, never mind unravel the hidden agendas! Just look at even Professor Ross McKittrick's apologetics on behalf of his fellow-scientist whose fraud he himself exposed, as being mere errors of omissions and not outright lying despite the acute probing by the interviewer. That modus operandi of crafty omissions and half-truths, as is examined later in this letter, is a full lie and the vulgar propagandists' key mechanism for manufacturing mantras, dissent, and consent for empire.

In any event, as the political science thesis contained in my aforementioned Letter to Editor argues, there is indeed a prime reason for **“cooking that science”** of climate-change. It is, quite un-surprisingly, along the same global axis as the prime reason for **“cooking the science”** of Swine Flu as already unraveled in The Swine Flu Chronicles 2009: Why to say 'No' to the Swine Flu Vaccine. See its Preamble for a succinct examination of the principle modus operandi in the globalists' own handwritings. In this case, it is to fabricate plausible sounding justifications for legally ushering in the architecture of 'carbon credit', regardless of whether there is global warming, global cooling, or no significant temperature change. That is the real heart of the matter and the focus of heated debates for the past ten years being

whether or not there is global climate change, as now in the climategate that there isn't, is a gigantic red herring.

The point of focus shouldn't be the unraveling of the deception, but the unraveling of the crucial agendas behind the deception for which mantras are so painstakingly fabricated and consent manufactured.

As both, Zen wisdom and forensic science dictate, these revelations are ***“like a finger pointing away to the moon – don't concentrate on the finger or you will miss all that heavenly glory”!***

That Letter to Editor noted above examined the 'why' question, quoting from an earlier analysis of Global Warming:

'And as is entirely obvious from Mr. Gideon Rachman's article why this is politically motivated, the reasons become clear why this confusion is deliberately being created. If you accept the Capitalist conspiracy for world government, as I have described it, and if you accept the NSSM-200 agenda for population reduction as I have also described it, tying in the hand of Rockefeller to the UN and their agenda for population reduction (citations for these statements are in my various essays), then you must realize why the ruling elite wants to control 'life activity', and carbon-credit is their architecture of control!

It is somewhat akin to acquiring control of a nation's money supply in the guise of managing the economy better. Few in the public understand why such a control is bad anyway, but those who do try to understand it are thrown layers upon layers of obfuscation. Something similar is happening here. Think of acquiring control of 'carbon-credits' almost equivalent to acquiring control of a nation's money supply! This will control every aspect of sustaining life, just as control of money determines every aspect of sustaining the economy. You name it, between the two of them, it will control it in a world-government. And the first recipient of these controls, the carbon-credit specifically, is the developing world, the Global South, because that is where development must be arrested, and populations thinned out! Just as control of money was first exercised where there was a superfluity of industry and commerce, control of 'carbon-credit' is intended to be exercised where there is a superfluity of populations aspiring to grow their nascent economies!' -- NB: On Global

Warming December 12, 2008

And it is instructive to juxtapose all of that perspective with the motivation for population control expressed by David Rockefeller at the UN Ambassadors dinner, as transcribed in Project Humanbeingsfirst's Monetary Reform Bibliography:

“Ironically however, the very innovations that are making possible dramatic improvements in human well-being are also creating new problems which raise the spectre of an alarming and possibly catastrophic disaster to the biosphere we live in. And herein lies the dilemma that we all face. Let me illustrate. Improved public health, has caused the world's infant mortality rate to decline by 60 percent over the last 40 years. In the same period, the world's average life expectancy has increased from 46 years in 1950s to 63 years today. This is a development which as individuals we can only applaud. However the result of these positive measures is that the world population that has risen during the same short period of time geometrically to almost 6 billion people, and can exceed easily 8 billion by the year 2020.

The negative impact of population growth on all of our planetary ecosystems is becoming appallingly evident. The rapid growing exploitation of the world's supply of energy and water is a matter of deep concern. And the toxic by products of widespread industrialization and increased atmospheric pollution to dangerous levels. Unless nations will agree to work together to tackle these cross-border challenges posed by population growth over consumption of resources and environmental degradation, prospects for a decent life on our planet will be threatened. The recent UN meeting in Cairo is appropriately focussed on one of these key issues, population growth.

But the controversies which have erupted at the conference illustrate the problem of coming to grips with issues that are deeply divisive and which have a profound moral dimension. The United Nations can and should play an essential role in helping the world find a satisfactory way of stabilizing the world population and stimulating economic development in a manner that is sensitive to religious and moral considerations.

Economic growth is of course an inevitable corollary of a growing

population, and is essential to improved standards of living. But without careful coordination, unrestrained economic growth poses further threats to our environment.

This was a major subject of discussion at the conference in Rio de Janeiro on the environment two years ago. The focus then was on sustainable growth, and global development. It was pointed out at the conference that growth is most efficiently managed by the private sector, but regulation of the process by national governments and international bodies is also needed. And once again, United Nations can certainly be among the catalysts and coordinators of this process.” -- David Rockefeller, United Nations Ambassador's Dinner, hosted by the Business Council for the United Nations, <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ClqUcScwnn8>



So many learned people betray shock and surprise by climategate that they betray their own pathetic ignorance of the doctrinal craftsmanship of empire. Yes, and among them are the most brilliant scientists on the planet – a phenomenon I call the **“ignorance of the learned”**. Some are pleased or have the 'told you so' reaction, and some just pooh-pooh it as insignificant, but few betray any deep forensic comprehension of the full import of the Machiavellian agenda behind the mantra now becoming unraveled. I haven't bothered studying these leaked materials since the confirmation they proclaim is a waste of my time. **As the good Dr. Tim Ball candidly stated, it also gives me absolutely no pleasure to receive confirmation that I see the tortuous reality for what it is.** I'll examine their details when it becomes pertinent to some analysis I am doing. These climategate leaks contain no profound knowledge which can benefit me – and that's because Hari Seldon's statecraft of 'psychohistory', I mean Machiavellian political science, predicts the hijacking of hard-science

as well as social-science accurately. It is manifest across the board.

And Dr. Tim Ball acutely put his finger on the precise *modus operandi* used in imperial science today as its key loci of control for conferring credibility and respectability to priesthood for inclusion into empire's officially approved churches: the **peer-review** process. I call it “**incestuous science**”! The peer-review process cannot approve or adjudicate, by definition, anything outside of the conventional wisdom endorsed by the peers of empire if the science ever goes against the principal interests of empire. So, while it can work well for science which does not challenge empire's interests or entrenched prevailing wisdom, peer-review has undeniably become a bloody scam to promote establishment's own agendas, to issue grants, to authenticate pseudo-scientific plausible-sounding justifications for pre-determined outcomes, and to see who falls in line for further reward and who qualifies for ostracization.

This should be self-evident irrespective of the climategate brouhaha. Page 101 of John Perkins 2004 book “**Confessions of the Economic Hitman**” for instance, also reveals an example of the perverse respectability gained from peer-review publishing of entirely bogus mathematical econometric-theory in furtherance of the hegemonic agenda for diabolically acquiring control over developing nations and their natural resources. This is what John Perkins confesses in the opening pages of Chapter 17, titled *Panama Canal Negotiations and Graham Greene*:

'Bruno came up with an idea for an innovative approach to forecasting: an econometric model based on the writings of a turn-of-the-century Russian mathematician. The model involved assigning subjective probabilities to predictions that certain specific sectors of an economy would grow. It seemed an ideal tool to justify the inflated rates of increase we liked to show in order to obtain large loans, and Bruno asked me to see what I could do with the concept.

... By 1977, I had built a small empire that included a staff of around twenty professionals headquartered in our Boston office, and a stable consultants from MAIN's other departments and offices scattered across the globe. I had become the youngest partner in the firm's hundred-year history. In addition to my title of Chief Economist, I was named manager of Economics and Regional Planning. I was lecturing at Harvard and other venues, and newspapers were soliciting articles from me about current events. I owned a sailing yacht that was docked in Boston Harbor next to the historic battleship *Constitution*, “Old Ironsides”,

renowned for subduing the Barbary pirates not long after the Revolutionary War. I was being paid an excellent salary and I had equity that promised to elevate me to the rarified heights of millionaire well before I turned forty. True, my marriage had fallen apart, but I was spending time with beautiful and fascinating women in several continents.

... [With that as background] I brought a young MIT mathematician, Dr. Nadipuram Prasad, into my department and gave him a budget. Within six months he developed the Markov method for econometric modeling. Together we hammered out a series of technical papers that presented Markov as a revolutionary method for forecasting the impact of infrastructure investment on economic development.

It was exactly what we wanted: a tool that scientifically “proved” we were doing countries a favor by helping them incur debts they would never be able to pay off. In addition, only a highly skilled econometrician with lots of time and money could possibly comprehend the intricacies of Markov or question its conclusions. The papers were published by several prestigious organizations, and we formally presented them at conferences and universities in a number of countries. The papers – and we – became famous throughout the industry.'

And specifically, returning to climategate, in the case of the first author of climategate sciences in the service of empire, John L. Daly wrote the following of Michael Mann in The 'Hockey Stick': A New Low in Climate Science:

'Michael Mann

At the time he published his 'Hockey Stick' paper, Michael Mann held an adjunct faculty position at the University of Massachusetts, in the Department of Geosciences. He received his PhD in 1998, and a year later was promoted to Assistant Professor at the University of Virginia, in the Department of Environmental Sciences, at the age of 34.

He is now the Lead Author of the 'Observed Climate Variability and Change' chapter of the IPCC Third Assessment Report (TAR-2000), and a contributing author on several other chapters of that report. The Technical Summary of the report, echoing Mann's paper, said: "The 1990s are likely to have been the

warmest decade of the millennium, and 1998 is likely to have been the warmest year."

Mann is also now on the editorial board of the 'Journal of Climate' and was a guest editor for a special issue of 'Climatic Change'. He is also a 'referee' for the journals Nature, Science, Climatic Change, Geophysical Research Letters, Journal of Climate, JGR-Oceans, JGR-Atmospheres, Paleo oceanography, Eos, International Journal of Climatology, and NSF, NOAA, and DOE grant programs. (In the 'peer review' system of science, the role of anonymous referee confers the power to reject papers that are deemed, in the opinion of the referee, not to meet scientific standards).

He was appointed as a 'Scientific Adviser' to the U.S. Government (White House OSTP) on climate change issues.

Mann lists his 'popular media exposure' as including - "CBS, NBC, ABC, CNN, CNN headline news, BBC, NPR, PBS (NOVA/FRONTLINE), WCBS, Time, Newsweek, Life, US News & World Report, Economist, Scientific American, Science News, Science, Rolling Stone, Popular Science, USA Today, New York Times, New York Times (Science Times), Washington Post, Boston Globe, London Times, Irish Times, AP, UPI, Reuters, and numerous other television/print media" [17].

Mann's career highlights a serious problem with the modern climate sciences, namely the 'star' system where high-profile scientists are promoted swiftly to influential positions in the industry. Such a star system reduces a science to the level of Hollywood.'

Unfortunately, the last passage in the aforementioned complete quote is where John L. Daly too failed to appreciate the import of Science in the Service of Empire, narrowly pinning the problem as only plaguing "*modern climate sciences*".

It should be evident to all men and women of science that neither Darwin nor Galileo would ever have passed peer-review. The fact that genuine scientists seeking the peer review process don't seem to care about this blatant obviousness which is even rooted in historical precedence, suggests that they wisely choose to remain within the allowable confines of acceptable research, i.e., funded research, even when they have no diabolical or mal intent of

their own. The control in science is exercised in a manner not too dissimilar to permitting vigorous and contrarian social debate within an allowable spectrum to give the illusion of free speech and freedom of thought! Those falling outside the allowable limits are of course variously labeled and marginalized.

Thus, while no one may challenge the sacred-cow Holocaust™ narrative in the EU or Canada without going to jail as everyone already knows, debating and developing competing theories on Islamofascism and maligning Islam and its Prophets is greatly encouraged as the epitome of freedom of speech and profound intellectualism. **But challenging the very premise of Osama Bin Laden or 'Radical Islam' is frowned upon, and will likely soon be labeled 'terrorism' if it isn't already.** Rendition can't be that far behind. Nevertheless, it is still easier to survive being marginalized in the social discourse arena. But quite impossible to do so in science which has become a big budget operation requiring institutional support and endorsement.

That abuse of science, the “**incestuous science**”, in the present vaccination drive for the swine flu forms the underpinning of this [Note on Vaccination](#) which expresses a unique concern that is still largely outside the many paradigms of concern expressed by many of the nay-sayers in their own formulations of why they are against the swine flu vaccine, or against vaccination in general:

'My immediate concern is the latter which includes an entire gamut of political abuse, from eugenics to GMO foods to epidemics – which harvests justifications and techniques from science and technology – all for population culling and elimination. It's akin to abusing Islam to create the fabled enemy of 'Islamism' for a war-making agenda – whether or not there is some inherent deficiency in the religion is irrelevant and orthogonal to its political abuse for “imperial mobilization”.' -- [The Swine Flu Chronicles 2009: Why to say 'No' to the Swine Flu Vaccine](#)

The aforementioned concern is even more eloquently voiced by the polymath Spanish Benedictine nun at San Benet of Montserrat's Monastery in Barcelona, Dr. Teresa Forcades, with the peerless credentials: Physician specialist in Internal Medicine, Ph.D. in Public Health, and Degree in Theology from Harvard University.



And that full spectrum abuse of science, the “**incestuous science**” in the service of empire, is the crucial heart of the matter today.

The fact that we see it occurring repeatedly across the board – from the government sanctioned official but absurd NIST report on how the WTC towers catastrophically collapsed into their own footprints on 911, to the fraudulent climate-change science of Global Warming, to the brazenly criminal medical science of swine flu pandemic promulgated by government sanctioned official bodies like WHO and CDC – minimally shows how science is being perversely used in the service of empire. What a tortuous implementation of Sir Francis Bacon's drive to inter-link the pursuit of the nascently emerging Western science in the 17th century with imperial funding from the superpower du jour, in order to more effectively deploy the harvest of science in the service of humanity (and of course empire): “**human knowledge and human power meet in one**”!

It is immensely interesting to also note in passing that Noam Chomsky insisted on 911 science be peer-reviewed before he'd read the papers written by Jones et. al. And when it finally got published by a maverick online journal (I sent a thank you note to them), my dear professor Noam Chomsky – to whom I will forever remain indebted as the teacher who actually taught me to think critically – insisted that he will wait for other credible scientists in that domain to critique it before reading and/or offering his own opinion as he was not a domain expert in how tall buildings collapse, and that letting the domain experts sort it out first in peer-reviewed journals is the acceptable process of science!

What a new born baby octogenarian – or perhaps Noam Chomsky had understood rather well that going against the grain on 911 would be severely career limiting for any technical domain expert, and thus it was, and perhaps still is, safe to argue in this way? A specious red herring? Or merely intellectual convolutions to continue echoing empire's sacred-cow axioms of

“imperial mobilization” while appearing to challenge its deadly expression?

Does it take a domain expert, or some ordinary un co-opted commonsense observation to realize that this free-fall symmetrical collapse into its own footprint suspiciously looks like controlled demolition, and that this and this catastrophic instantaneous powdering of tall buildings into fine dust are hardly the gravity collapse of a standing steel structure due to fire; never mind the fact that no response from empire's imposing air defense systems on that ill-fated day when the hijacking drama was unfolding smacks of active collusion at the highest levels of the US military high-command; and therefore, minimally, to pin 911 on Osama Bin Laden based on some newly discovered faith in officialdom after a life of dissent is profoundly anti-intellectual?

All are empire's own multifaceted instruments of public relations, as well as its “approved science”, and its “approved dissent”. The political abuse of science to serve hegemonic agendas is a monumental scam, and Dr. Ball's following terse expression is very perceptive:

“... [in the debate about the hockey-stick] these people are all publishing together, and they all peer-reviewing each other's literature. So there is a classic example of [incestuous self-reinforcement] ... **why are they pushing the peer-review issue so big, why are they saying well, you haven't published peer-review ... and now of course we realize is because they have control over their own process.** That's clearly exposed in these emails. On a global scale it is frightening.... they control the IPCC. They manipulated that ... The IPCC has become the basis in all governments for the Kyoto Protocol, the Coopenhagen Accord, and so on!” Minute 1:20, Dr. Tim Ball, Op. cit.

Anecdotally, I will recall for you some interesting personal experiences of the abuse of peer review by individuals. In the late 1980s when I worked as a development engineer in a computer company, my new office-mate, a recent Ph.D. from a very reputed top school in the United States and only 25 years of age (he celebrated his 25th birthday after his first day at work), had published almost 20 or so papers on the operating system he had worked on for his Ph.D. thesis. In any case it was a large number of papers, I may be forgetting the precise number. So, one day, having nothing better to do, I read all his published papers that were listed on his imposing resume – there was no web at the time, and only hardcopy of these papers existed which he fortunately had in the office. I also read his Ph.D. thesis. And I was

very puzzled. 90% of the content in the refereed and conference papers was identical. To my mind, the differences didn't warrant new papers, only perhaps separate sections, and at most 2 or 3 papers. So I asked him about it. He candidly told me that this is how the game is played, and that those who didn't play it, paid the price. This scholarship inflation is indeed rewarded with academic respectability, not just in academe, but in corporate research as well. A few years later, another graduate student in computer science developed a fancy piece of software to automatically synthesize an entirely gibberish but plausible sounding paper in context by scanning words and sentences in already published papers, and submitted his genius to one or more peer-reviewed journals.

My god – one of them actually published it. I do not recall the full details now, except that the gallant chap also sent in a note informing them how the paper was created. And as I vaguely remember, there was both amusement, and minor discussion on the poor peer-review process by over-worked professors, but no major scandal. Obviously! And lastly, a few years ago when I briefly consulted for a big-shot scientist in Pakistan, when he introduced himself to me by saying he had 600 publications to his credit, I recalled for him that possibly the mightiest physicist of the 20th century after Einstein, the Nobel Laureate Richard Feynman of Caltech, had only 37 or so published papers, and that how did he get so many. I can't even remember this inflated egoist's answer today!

All this isn't **“incestuous science”** per se and is perhaps more akin to tolerable noise in any system. But it does show that the glorified peer review is far from being the pristine scientific process that it is made out to be, and that people will be people, and when quantity of publications is incentivized, they will routinely find clever ways to harness the process for their own narrow interests which may have nothing to do with the science per se in no less measure than the ruling establishment.

The same arguments are easily extended to the examination and granting of patents, fancifully called the intellectual property rights. All of my patent filings for instance were primarily a business decision made by the corporation to create a patent portfolio as a currency of barter in patent infringement lawsuits. I doubt very much that the patent examiners anywhere have the wherewithal to know what is prior art and what isn't, as all engineering and technology fields have exponentially grown since the concept of patenting was invented as a business tool to allow entrepreneurs to capitalize on their product inventions for a limited time. That has today transformed into the abhorrent WTO extortion racket to mainly prey upon the developing nations!

Dear M, returning to the Machiavellian political science which lends so much insight into almost everything man endeavors, it is almost as if my favorite science fiction novelist, the galaxologist Isaac Asimov, was vicariously projecting Hari Seldon's psycho-historical calculations for guiding the course of "future-history" of his fictional empire on the vast intergalactic canvas, as a profound clue to mankind to get them to forensically comprehend manufactured reality. Just like Plato had done in his *Myth of the Cave*, 2500 years earlier.

People in the West tend to go all gaga when an obvious conspiracy is finally revealed to be true, as in this case of climategate. But worse, many of them tend to focus on its how-whiz minutiae when the iron is hot instead of doing something useful with it, and then simply move-on deeming the scandal to be a one-of case of some misguided policy gone awry or case of individual corruption. And at best, a nefarious but myopic agenda which is not related to any other agenda of the establishment. This armchair anguish also remained the case with the revealing of the Iran-Contra scandal in the 1980s which momentarily riveted the attention of the American public. No one at the time questioned why was America criminally assisting the two neighborly countries of Iran and Iraq to fight each other to death; only that it did this in some non-kosher way. The exact same thing is once again transpiring in climategate. Few are focussing on connecting the dots towards a bigger picture – deliberately missing the Zen of political science.

This circumscribing of the imagination in the erudite Western intellect is almost as if the Western mind has been calculatingly indoctrinated into the notion that the pursuit of sciences is a pristine, highly objective endeavor of incredibly moral supermen devoid of any political agendas in promulgating the objectives of empire that funds it. It is akin to the Eastern mind long having become attuned to the notion of predestination because of which it silently continues to suffer its fate at the hands of its own oligarchy. Each half of the world, apparently, are wont to sacrifice different half of their brain at the altar of their respective feudal priestdoms.

The military-industrial complex of America for instance is entirely scientist driven at its technological forefronts. This is plainly visible and openly conducted, and therefore, not one sane person in the world would deny that such science and technology pursuits entirely serve the interests of Western hegemony.

But when caught in a lie for executing far more diabolical objectives of the hectoring hegemons in circuitous ways – because these may not be articulated or pursued so openly even when it

is not a state-secret and the information is available to anyone – the Western intellect suddenly fails! How could these scientists possibly have been working for the establishment's own Machiavellian agendas – it must surely be that they were merely personally corrupted at best, or just did “poor science” in an incestuous cabal. The “lone-gunman” theory of sciencegate!

In making better guns and bigger bombs, bioweapons and econometrics, and other assorted technetronic-gadgets for empire that enables backing its MacDonald franchises with McDonnell Douglas, since all of it is an open enterprise, no one doubts that scientists and technicians work for empire and are generously rewarded for it. In fact, it is even bandied about with great eloquence:

“The hidden hand of the market will never work without a hidden fist -- McDonald's cannot flourish without McDonnell Douglas, the builder of the F-15. And the hidden fist that keeps the world safe for Silicon Valley's technologies is called the United States Army, Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps.” -- Thomas L. Friedman, A Manifesto for the Fast World, NYT March 28, 1999

But to make the multi-pronged complex architecture of diabolical control of humanity possible, well, that just can't be. That is just conspiracy theory! So let's just narrowly concentrate only on the facts that have been disclosed ... like why the sum of squares goes negative in the source code of climategate!

As is amply evident over the past few weeks since the climategate scandal broke, almost all people of scientific acumen continue to focus on the fascinating mechanics of how Mann et. al., said their “gun” worked, but it actually didn't! So bad-bad-scientists. Let's just clean up the climate sciences of its rotten eggs and move-on. This attitude is clearly visible in almost all the stellar conversations on climategate in cyberspace even among the academics. Especially among the academics! See for instance this open letter by Prof. Judith Curry, and her editorial.

This tunnel vision isn't limited to climategate however, but permeates all imperial mantras the most prominent and most deadly to date of course being the “**Global War on Terror**”. I look forward to the day when similar email revelations will show how 911 was an inside job and how any challenge to the official narrative was to be suppressed, including in science publications and only the Popular Science version which elaborated on the NIST science was to be promulgated. At that time, all the uber intelligent beings will once again similarly become fixated with the minutiae of the obvious. But yesterday, as today, when boldly asserting so by

influential men and women of science could surely have derailed ***“imperial mobilization”*** to Afghanistan and Iraq thus preventing all the horrendous crimes against humanity which followed, it remained a conspiracy theory of the lunatic fringes who saw gods in the sky.

My all time favorite physicist of Pakistan, the MIT literate prodigy, Dr. Pervez Hoodbhoy, the scholar who contributed his own punditry to the mantra of Islamism in dialectical penmanship to Daniel Pipes' in erudite prose like “Between Imperialism and Islamism” and “The Threat From Within”, once wrote me in response to my trying to get him to see that Bin Laden couldn't have done 911 as WTC collapses looked like controlled demolition and that he, Hoodbhoy, was failing to connect all the dots which clearly lead to puppetmasters, saying something to the effect: ~ ***“remember how our ancestors connected the dots in the sky and saw all those shapes as their gods...”***.

So henceforth, Pervez Hoodbhoy judiciously avoided connecting the dots lest he too be misled into seeing things that aren't there, while of course finding it infinitely pleasurable to continue echoing the mantras and axioms of empire. Not only MIT trained scientists, but apparently almost all major scientists and scholars of any IVY and other lofty pedigree are pregnant with imperial wisdom in that way.

These brilliant scholars only see puppetshows, and painstakingly describe them, but never go towards uncovering the forces which drive them. Since I have already described their salient characteristics in detail before, let me just reproduce it here as its worthwhile to relate that to the topic at hand:

None of them betray that they possess long term memories, or any comprehension of even recent history that can be contextualized to the present.

None of them seem to have heard of 'covert-ops' and 'black-ops'; none of them have read the shrewd analysis of the imperial thinkers themselves of the necessity of real mobilizing pretexts such as the *“New Pearl Harbor”* and *“clear and present danger”* as otherwise *“Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization”*.

None of them apparently understand that covert-ops while they are operational and active, are meant to be secretive and mendacious, which is why they are called 'covert', and that their unraveling necessitates perceptively seeing beyond what's being deliberately made manifest and what's being insisted upon as ***‘two***

plus two equals five' - for hard receipts for them will only be uncovered by historians through the famed declassification process post faits accomplis.

Thus all of these 'astute' thinkers, commentators, and media pundits none too miraculously reach the same minimal and common conclusion space regardless of their own starting thesis or the circuitous routes taken in their analysis and speculations, that at the bare minimum, the scourge of 'fundamentalism' and 'militant Islam' needs to be checked with renewed commitment in the global 'war on terror', or else no one in the 'civilized world' would remain safe from these antiquated Taliban style 'evil jihadis' and 'al qaeeda'. That root of terror has now been successfully showcased as residing in Pakistan – the '*Terror Central*'!

It is indeed deemed a 'clash of civilizations', not of the East and the West titans, but of 'radical antiquated militant Islam' and the rest of civilized humanity! That *"Today [even] if one could wipe America off the map of the world with a wet cloth, mullah-led fanaticism will not disappear"*, as the distinguished native-informant par excellence, the world class physicist Pervaiz Hoodbhoy, has conclusively observed in his latest analysis of the matter in "Preventing More Lal Masjids", and which he had earlier explored in great analytical depth in "The Threat From Within". And none [too] surprisingly, echoing the same mantra of Pakistan becoming a 'terrorist sanctuary' [as] CNN a few days ago [which] aired the documentary by Nick Richardson "Pakistan - The Threat Within". The unanimity of this conclusion space is scary to say the least – at least for us Pakistanis.

It would appear that the world's leading thinkers, journalists, newsmedia, scholars and leaders *"united we stand"* that Pakistan poses a serious threat to world peace! **Not the hectoring hegemons who have cleverly utilized 911 "to goosestep the Herrenvolk across international frontiers"** in what only appears to be another '*operation canned goods*' or the '*Reichstag fire*' or the much coveted '*New Pearl Harbor*' to achieve the '*transformation of [its] forces*' to achieve '*full spectrum dominance*' over the planet and outerspace, **but my wretched lands of the ancient Indus valley, and my wretched peoples – we are the world threat!** -- Saving Pakistan from Synthetic 'Terror Central' - Orchestration of 'Lal Masjid' – a precursor to 'shock and awe'? July 13-23, 2007.

In the light of what is transpiring in Pakistan today, it is not at all prescient that Pervez Hoodbhoy should have written the following in his ode to Daniel Pipes: "[The Threat From Within](#)". In response to it, I had been compelled to write to dissent-specialist Hoodbhoy that had there not been an author's name in that document and someone had asked me to guess who had written it, I would have easily guessed Daniel Pipes. Take a look at the following passage for instance:

'Is Radical Islam Inevitable?

With the large and growing popular sentiment against Musharraf and his army, one cannot rule out the possibility that in the years ahead nuclear armed Pakistan may fall under a neo-Taliban style Salafi-Wahabi-Deobandi leadership allied with conservative senior military leaders. If it does, then Pakistan could become the world's most dangerous state. But, although possible, it is certainly not inevitable – countervailing forces work against this nightmare scenario.' -- Pervez Hoodbhoy, [Pakistan – The Threat From Within, Pakistan Security Research Unit \(PSRU\), Brief Number 13, 23rd May 2007.](#)

The crafty Machiavellian omissions present in that saintly expression of fear by uber physicist Pervez Hoodbhoy: ***"in the years ahead nuclear armed Pakistan may fall under a neo-Taliban style Salafi-Wahabi-Deobandi leadership allied with conservative senior military leaders. If it does, then Pakistan could become the world's most dangerous state"***, was once again most recently dismantled in [Response to 'Wahabization- Salafization of Pakistan and Muslim Ummah : Fighting the Terrorists But Supporting Their Ideology'](#).

It is respected scholars like these – hiding behind academic freedom of speech and the press – who continually [manufacture disinformation](#) as agents, assets, and sayanim of the [Mighty Wurlitzer](#) that has caused me to waste so much of my precious time penning the million obvious words on my website to refute their half-truths and Machiavellian spins, for **it takes a sentence to construct a lie, considerably more space and time to refute it**. Noam Chomsky had himself noted this bit of truism, I am sure realizing its full import for his own writings of crucial omissions. And who has the time to read the long refutations even if someone bothers to diligently offer them, even inviting a riposte? Those being refuted simply ignore it. None may withstand the glare of truth in bright sunlight except those wearing sunglasses!

Omission, the cardinal sin of all totalitarian propagandists when they do purvey half-truths

instead of outright full lies – which, as Gary Null put it, **“there is an old Jewish saying, a half truth is a full lie”** – is even more effective for deception. Aldous Huxley had insightfully noted its impact:

“Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects... totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have by the most eloquent denunciations.”

And this appears to be how science and scientists are both put to work for echoing the message of empire. Through calculated omissions and retaining the sacred-cow axioms, be it pertaining to hard science, such as in this climatology scam and in the 911 NIST report scam, or related to social science as in the 911 Commission Report scam in order to perpetuate the same political theology of empire while appearing to investigate it.

This modus operandi is what we had been seeing of the Global Warming mantra even before the climategate brouhaha erupted. Awarding of the Nobel Prize to Al Gore only added Public Relations manufacturing to the game. Now, all new born baby pundits may also verifiably glean how the establishment was pulling its invisible strings to fabricate the mantras and the silence about truth. It didn't seem to have worked to the establishment's complete satisfaction this time around – but in how many other cases has it worked, and is still working?

Science is a blatant instrument of empire when it is necessary for it to be so, even when the scientists might proclaim themselves innocent like the new-born baby. Interestingly, or perhaps sadly, many whom I know personally do tend to behave as if they were indeed born yesterday when it comes to comprehending dialectical social engineering! They often proclaim, when their naïveté is challenged, that political science is not their field – as if it requires a Ph.D. to know when is one being taken for a ride on the horns of erudite gibberish!

Are the mighty men and women of science really all that much different from any astute politician? While one may pen much prose to show the reasons for their apparent gullibility, from self-deception to actual collusion, from having accepted or told one lie to the necessity of accepting and/or narrating subsequent lies until the soul is in so deep that it can't extricate itself either mentally or physically, etceteras, the undeniable fact remains that ultimately, both the politician and the scientists are fed from the same coffers and therefore serve the same ruling interests. If they didn't, or if they made waves, they'd be out. Those who ultimately control the purse strings control the research as well as the opinions. The paymasters decide

the science that gets funded, and the science which is not pursued. This is most brazenly obvious in the Big-pharma led medical science today that is pushing vaccination, vaccines, and other toxic cocktails to the exclusion of all natural and alternate remedies.

And who doesn't open their mouth wide for the great benefits – both tangibles and intangibles – to be accrued from cooperating with the ruling wisdom, and minimally, for the opportunity to passionately pursue well-funded science in the mainstream and earn all its rewards of respectability and a productive career? Who will jeopardize that?

Only genuine 'conspiracy theorists'!

The entire barrel of apples is rotten to the core! The intellectual corruption of modernity has amazingly seeped into all fabrics of society, almost without exception, from organized religion to organized science and everything in between. And the primemover source of that corruption is namely one today! It is the one with the deepest and most infinitely replenished pockets to spend on all that is vile disguised as philanthropy, the pursuit of science, the humanities, the arts, and for the good of high society. Some of it of course is. But the good is also a veneer to pursue world-domination agendas of those who rule from behind the scenes. A patient but forensic read of Prof. Carroll Quigley's seminal history text of empire “Tragedy and Hope” makes that abundantly clear. In his commentary on that text, the following statement of W. Cleon Skousen sheds the most pertinent light on the calculated “ignorance of the learned”:

'The real value of Tragedy and Hope ... [is the] bold and boastful admission by Dr. Quigley that there actually exists a relatively small but powerful group which has succeeded in acquiring a choke-hold on the affairs of practically the entire human race. Of course we should be quick to recognize that no small group could wield such gigantic power unless millions of people in all walks of life were “in on the take” and were willing to knuckle down to the iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes. **As we shall see, the network has succeeded in building its power structure by using tremendous quantities of money (together with the vast influence it buys) to manipulate, intimidate, or corrupt millions of men and women and their institutions on a world-wide basis.**' -- W. Cleon Skousen, The Naked Capitalist, pg. 6

Oligarchs have of course always existed, and presumably always will. Aldous Huxley noted in his famous talk in 1962 at Berkeley that the rulers getting the serfs to love their own voluntary servitude would be the “**ultimate revolution**” in social control. That notion, of puppetmasters

seeking ways and means to control the populace, is simply empirical.

Indeed, since time immemorial, the kingmaker has been either a god, or the oligarchs. And some uber skeptics even argue that our entire conception of organized religion is an invention too. I don't quite go that far unless the Anunaki arrive from planet Niburu in my own lifetime. Then, I might perhaps concede the obviously compelling argument that all controlling dogmas on planet earth were indeed fabricated instruments of social cohesion and control throughout our social evolution, and which, going forward in our continued evolution according to the prevailing tenets of social Darwinianism, is to be replaced by Adam Wieshaupt's Secular Humanism. But I doubt I'll ever stop being a **theist** even then, for I can't imagine believing that we are merely an extended amoeba without a soul! Those who don't need that 'crutch' are certainly mightier than I. They are welcome to their superman state.

And to take on these 'ubermensch' in every generation, and to keep them in check, is the only way to keep the real primemover of evil also in check. That dialectical Manichean struggle automatically enables, and is otherwise also culpable, for all the good or evil that follows.

That is clearly the responsibility of us all. But only the 'idle conspiracy theorists' seem to recognize it, or want to take it on as a moral imperative.

So, I am writing this letter to you, my dear co-conspiracy theorist M, for pondering the profound question: **how can this general polymath wisdom of Hari Seldon's political-science be shared with others before it becomes mainstream confirmation; before it becomes fait accompli?**

Ex post facto, when it is time for it to become history for public consumption, of course all will see it. Like the old proverb says, something to the effect, they come running with the news after all the barbers in town already know! And they laugh their way to their bank penning their narratives, with lofty prizes and prestigious titles awarded them by the very instruments of empire they appear to hector before their flock.

My teacher Noam Chomsky, is perhaps the most egregious example of this. The New York Times called him ***“arguably the most important intellectual alive”***. Indeed he is. His imposing books are undeniably the most exhaustive compilation of the crimes of empire. But in critically examining his prolific life of dissent without being snowed in by his voluminous body of work, at least on two of the gravest moments in any ordinary intellectual's life, never mind the **“most important intellectual alive”**, when the most urgent need of the hour was to publicly

show bold skepticism for the narratives of power, Noam Chomsky persisted in exactly echoing the core sacred-cow axioms of empire. From the officially promulgated lone-gunman theory of JFK assassination, to the officially promulgated 19 hijackers theory of 911, he cleverly echoed the sacred-cow axioms of empire even in his dissent!

While Noam Chomsky has eruditely accused empire of manufacturing consent by deceiving the public, I strongly suspect him of manufacturing dissent to effectively assist the empire at the most crucial times in the same. To me, the New York Times awarding that title to an intellectual like him is akin to awarding the Nobel Peace prize to Jimmy Carter – as both a reward for a job well done for empire, and to help fabricate a dissent-chief for the malcontents resisting empire. The epithet proudly adorns Noam Chomsky's many books and has surely helped him acquire a prestige which even prompted a notable rebel leader like President Chavez of Venezuela, to wave one of his books from the United Nations as the epitome of moral resistance to empire.

So I ask you in conclusion dear M, is there any intellectual discourse at all possible to explain all this to others, and have one see not only its palpable wisdom, but also the categorical imperatives that automatically spring from it, which, if one voluntarily shirks responsibility for, one acquires the blood of an accomplice on one's hands?

Or, would only the Charles Dickens' character, Madame Defarge, with her guillotine basket, provide the right motivation to enable one to call reality the way it is in this age of atheistic relativism when spiritualism is dead even for many a pious savant still on the prayer mat?

Best wishes,

Zahir Ebrahim, [Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org)

Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/11/let-co-conspiracy-theorist-climategate.html>

PDF: <http://humanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/files/2009/11/let-co-conspiracy-theorist-climategate-nov302009.pdf>

Last updated 11/30/2009 12:00:06 8897

Chapter 7 Islam and Empire

Islam and Knowledge vs. Socialization: Response to 'Jesus / Isa – alahi salam'

"On occasions, I feel Muslims 'lose' something when it comes to religion. I am speaking about the second last prophet Jesus or Isa (E-sa) peace be upon him. ... My general opinion of Muslims is that they tend to take on a facile view of Christianity ... I get the feeling that this may be because: If an increase in discussions by Muslims of Jesus (pbuh) were to take place, it would be perceived as "being Christian". ..."

<http://lwtc247.wordpress.com/2011/06/09/jesus-isa-alahi-salam/>

Interesting perspective, and along the lines which reduce to the following empiricism: Human beings in general don't tend to appreciate what is not part of one's own socialization.

Furthermore, with suitable inculcation, this lack of appreciation can span the gamut of behavior from remaining largely indifferent to being outright antagonistic to what's not perceived as one's own. The limit of that of course being intense doctrinal hatred and warfare.

This is pretty much a universal trait. An observable universal truism if there is one. And just as applicable to one as to another.

Upon this truism is the manipulative jingoism of antiquity to modernity constructed. We see this from tribalism to ethnocentrism, and nationalism to patriotism.

It is even the basis of the following formulation in Zbigniew Brzezinski's *The Grand Chessboard*: *"More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification."*

I mention that not to needlessly digress, but only to point out the universality of the principle that the article being responded to, has outlined just one instance of.

Recognizing this innate psychological trait of mankind (one presumes) is why the author of the Qur'an, which Muslims of course believe is the Creator (while others believe was a lunatic), makes an extraordinary pronouncement on this very topic in Surah Al-Maeda:

“It was We who revealed the Torah (to Moses); therein was guidance and light. By its standard have been judged the Jews, by the Prophet who bowed (as in Islam) to Allah’s will, by the Rabbis and the Doctors of Law: for to them was entrusted the protection of Allah’s Book, and they were witnesses thereto: therefore fear not men, but fear Me, and sell not My Signs for a miserable price. If any do fail to judge by (the light of) what Allah hath revealed, they are (no better than) Unbelievers. (44)

We ordained therein for them: “Life for life, eye for eye, nose for nose, ear for ear, tooth for tooth, and wounds equal for equal.” But if anyone remits the retaliation by way of charity, it is an act of atonement for himself. And if any fail to judge by (the light of) what Allah hath revealed, they are (no better than) wrong-doers. (45)

And in their footsteps We sent Jesus the son of Mary, confirming the law that had come before him: We sent him the Gospel: therein was guidance and light, and confirmation of the law that had come before him: a guidance and an admonition to those who fear Allah. (46)

Let the people of the Gospel Judge by what Allah hath revealed therein. If any do fail to judge by (the light of) what Allah hath revealed, they are (no better than) those who rebel. (47)

To thee We sent the Scripture in truth, confirming the scripture that came before it, and guarding it in safety; so judge between them by what Allah hath revealed, and follow not their vain desires, diverging from the Truth that hath come to thee.

To each among you have We prescribed a Law and an Open Way. If Allah had so willed, He would have made you a single people, but (His plan is) to test you in what He hath given you: so strive as in a race in all virtues. The goal of you all is to Allah; it is He that will show you the truth of the matters in which ye dispute. (48)” (Holy Qur’an, Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48)

And to ensure that the point is not lost here, permit me to highlight the solution-space outlined in the above passage by none other than the presumed Almighty Creator of mankind: ***“To each among you have We prescribed a Law and an Open Way. If Allah had so willed, He would have made you a single people, but (His plan is) to test you in what He hath given you: so strive as in a race in all virtues. The goal of you all is to Allah; it is He that will show you the truth of the matters in which ye dispute.”***

The author of the Qur'an is in fact rather emphatic about “*matters in which ye dispute*”:

“And in whatever thing you differ, its decision is unto God” (Holy Qur'an, Surah Ash-Shura 42:10, Arabic: وَمَا اخْتَلَفْتُمْ فِيهِ مِنْ شَيْءٍ فَحُكْمُهُ إِلَى اللَّهِ)

If one were to endeavor to judiciously extract the core principle from that multicultural pronouncement, one discovers a governing principle that is universally applicable to all of mankind, to all beliefs, and to no belief:

- 1) [to] mind one's own business for what one does not feel is one's own, as in the case of what's outside one's own sphere of socialization; and
- 2) [to] compete with each other in virtuous conduct (فَاسْتَبِقُوا الْخَيْرَاتِ) among each other with what one does feel is one's own.

In my humble view, this is outstanding guidance to a fractious mankind who are naturally psychologically prone to tribalism, ethnocentrism, the modern version of it being nationalism. By the admission of the author of the above verse, it is by design that the Creator made mankind into separate peoples and nations and gave each localized affiliations: ***“If Allah had so willed, He would have made you a single people”*** (أُمَّةً وَاحِدَةً).

Of course, when one looks at evolutionary biology and sociology, that is the natural outcome of how mankind has developed in many different tribes and nations across the earth.

And the author of the Holy Qur'an provides the prescription taking empiricism of mankind's condition into account!

The obvious point to make here is that otherwise, the following Biblical Commandment from antiquity was, and still is, at least in my view, both complete and sufficient for governing the peaceable and virtuous conduct of mankind:

“Do unto Others as you have others do unto you.” (The Bible, Old Testament)

So, why does mankind need anything more than that one general statement? Indeed, one can easily surmise that all beneficial national constitutions, international and local laws, and inter and intra governing principles are logically derivable from just that one first principle, for a fairly equitable co-existence of mutual benefit to mankind.

While this universal pithy wisdom is Biblical, I have found evidence of its truism in both Greek and Roman antecedent writings. For instance, see Plato and Solon respectively.

Even beyond divine religion, in the realm of logic and rational empiricism alone, the following operations-research (OR) logical formulation due to Bertrand Russell, a man of considerable beliefs in no religion, is the most commonsensical recipe of governing peaceable human conduct. In my own succinct rendition, Bertrand Russell's formulation goes something like this (and I am putting it in single quotes to indicate that the formulation belongs to Russell but the words may not all be his):

'Maximize individual happiness while minimizing social conflict for optimizing the overall common-good.' (Bertrand Russell's prescription to do away with religion as the bearer of moral law, probably in 'Why I am not a Christian' and similar writings)

With just a little bit of reflection, one will see that Bertrand Russell captures the beneficial essence of many religions, including Islam, in at least so far as “haquq-al-ibad”, i.e., the rights of man upon man, otherwise known as moral law, are concerned, quite admirably.

By just using rational empathetic logic which hinges on spreading virtue rather than glory, vice, hegemony, and conquest, one can come up with reasonably equitable methods of governing oneself in any age, and among any peoples.

However, the author of the Qur'an having commanded the path to virtue, is as meaningless as man coming up with it on his own logic, if man is unwilling or unable to implement it.

That choice, is entirely man's. The author of the Qur'an also asserts that such a choice is up to man and not a diktat:

“There is no compulsion in religion.” (Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Baqara, 2:256,

(لَا إِكْرَاهَ فِي الدِّينِ) Arabic:

The point being, at the risk of being repetitious, whatever the religion, whatever the people, and whatever the culture and geography, man naturally gravitates firstly towards one's own kith and kin, and secondly towards one's own socialization. It is all but a truism that just as one man's terrorist is another man's freedom fighter, one man's messiah is another man's lunatic.

And Islam, recognizing this natural tendency for partisanship, proffered the above quoted solution of Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48, to those who believe in Islam, lest Muslims become senselessly imbued with empire and its 'la mission civilisatrice', and criminally come to carry the "white man's burden"! The commandment is very explicit. Even though, the universal principle of virtuous co-existence to be derived from it evidently require at least a modicum of reflection.

Indeed, this is such a momentous concept of acceptance of others in the religion Islam, that it is even formulaically repeated countless times each day by its adherents without any reflection whatsoever. For, if one even spent 10 minutes thinking about what many "pious" among Muslims likely repeat at least 17 times daily, if not more, one would easily see that very core-principle at work for oneself.

That repetitive formulation is Surah Fatiha of the Holy Qur'an, its very first Surah. It is recited countless times daily by Muslims as a prayer. Just look at it with some reflection rather than rehearsing it as a parrot and matters become transparent. And what does it say?

First let's see what it does not say:

- 1) there is no mention of the word "muslim" in it;
- 2) nor is there any mention of the noble name of the harbinger of the Qur'an, its Exemplar.

If one were not so imbued with one's own socialization since birth, one would surely ask the following question to oneself: why not?

If Islam is the last Testament, its Prophet the last Messenger, and the Holy Qur'an the last Word on the matter of Divine Guidance to mankind, why have the following riddle:

(إِهْدِنَا الصِّرَاطَ الْمُسْتَقِيمَ) Arabic, (5, "Show us the straight path,

The path of those whom Thou hast favoured. (6, Arabic صِرَاطَ الَّذِينَ أَنْعَمْتَ عَلَيْهِمْ)” (Holy Qur’an, Surah Fatiha, 1:5-6)

Why command the reciter of that Surah to beseech the Creator to show him or her the "straight path", a path that is not named or labeled or identified in any other way other than as the "straight path", a singular path, and only identified as the path of those whom (plural) have been bestowed "divine favors" (plural), or who have been divinely favored? But no names are given!

Why send the poor seeker of divine wisdom in search of solving what appears to be a complicated riddle?

Given that the average intelligence of the masses among any nation is rather low, and the author of the Holy Qur'an, if it is indeed the Creator of man, would certainly have known that, why then did the author of the Holy Qur'an not straightforwardly say, for all and sundry to understand, in its most oft recited Surah: follow the path laid out in the Holy Qur'an, or, follow the path of Muhammad, its last Messenger and Exemplar?

How ironical that what the Surah calls a "straight path" is not identified straightforwardly!

The answer to the riddle, as invariably in all Quranic riddle cases, the Holy Qur'an itself also provides.

The author of the Qur'an has repeatedly alluded to Its Word as the Book of Reflection which none shall approach except with a cleansed heart. So, not everyone can glean the wisdom of the Qur'an even though they may be reading its words – how interesting!

And the solution to the riddle is hinted, inter alia, in the afore-quoted verses from Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48. It is still obviously not the complete solution, but we are an inch closer to solving the riddle.

For one thing, we learn that the solution is multicultural, and is very much socialization dependent.

Different peoples will naturally have different perspective on what is "divine favor", who those favored ones are, and are thus encouraged to seek out the path followed by those whom they naturally psychologically feel closer to – that is the basis for what appears to the riddle of

Surah Fatiha, 1:5-6.

And Surah Al-Maeda 5:44-48 is an exemplary partial hint to solving that riddle.

Wow! What an incredible Book!

And what does the Author of the Qur'an say about its many prophets and messengers? Well, read the Book to find out.

But does the Author of the Qur'an forbid reading other books?

No!

I have not found any occasion when such a travesty has been advocated.

Does the Author of the Qur'an forbid speaking to the people of other nations?

No!

I have not found any occasion when such a travesty has been advocated.

To the contrary, the author of the Qur'an emphatically states the following:

“O mankind! Lo! We have created you from male and female, and have made you nations and tribes that ye may know one another. Lo! the noblest of you, in the sight of Allah, is the best in conduct. Lo! Allah is Knower, Aware. (13)” (Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Hujraat, 49:13)

And how can **“ye may know one another”** unless ye talk to each other, partake of each others joys and sorrows?

The straight forward logic of this verse demonstrates that the author of the Qur'an made the religion of Islam both non-isolationist, and non-triumphalist to the core!

Does the Author of the Qur'an forbid Muslims imbibing themselves of knowledge and wisdom from any source?

No!

I have also not found any occasion when such a travesty has been advocated.

Quite the opposite in fact. The Author of the Qur'an commands Its own last Messenger to pray to his Creator to increase his own "ilm" as a virtue:

“and say: My Lord! Increase me in knowledge.” (Holy Qur'an, Surah Ta-Ha, 20:114 , Arabic: وَقُلْ رَبِّ زِدْنِي عِلْمًا)

And therefore, since the Author's last Messenger is also the Exemplar for his followers, the commandment is to the Exemplar's followers as well, i.e., to the Muslims, to do the same: **“and say: My Lord! Increase me in knowledge.”** This pithy prayer is recited by many Muslims in their daily prayers. Unfortunately, this increase evidently hasn't come to pass for a vast majority of us.

What's more, the author of the Qur'an even advocates pursuing boundless “ilm” thusly:

“Thou seest not, in the Creation of the All-Merciful any imperfections. Return thy gaze, seest thou any fissure, Then return thy gaze, again and again. Thy gaze comes back to thee dazzled, aweary.” (Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Mulk, 67:3-4)

The profound significance of these pithy verses of Surah Mulk to knowledge, to “ilm” acquisition can perhaps also be judged from the fact that Muslim physicist Dr. Abdus Salam rehearsed it in Stockholm upon accepting The Nobel Prize in Physics 1979, boldly stating at the Nobel Banquet on December 10, 1979, before other Nobel laureates, scientists and dignitaries, the Nobel Foundation and the Royal Academy of Sciences, that: **“This in effect is, the faith of all physicists; the deeper we seek, the more is our wonder excited, the more is the dazzlement for our gaze.”**

But does the author the Qur'an advocate such pursuits, singlemindedly, to the exclusion of all else, such that such pursuits become the self-serving pursuit of the 'American Dream'?

Or, is such an advocacy for the pursuit of “ilm” as a noble endeavor, made an essential component of a greater all encompassing moral imperative by the author of the Qur'an? A categorical imperative which devolves upon man an even greater system of personal and social responsibility for which the wholehearted pursuit of “ilm” is necessary, but not sufficient?

The answer is obvious, despite the question not being merely rhetorical.

It is plainly given by the author of the Qur'an in the pithy Surah Asr, in the verse fragment:

“and those who strive for haq” (Holy Qur'an, Surah Asr, 103:3, وَتَوَاصَوْا بِالْحَقِّ)

The Arabic word “haq” حَقَّ (pronounced 'huq' like 'hug' and not like 'faq') is an all encompassing word and its single-word translation into English is impossible. It means all of the following (and then some): truth, Truth, justice, rights, rectifying injustice, not violating rights, not being unjust, demanding one's own rights, not permitting others to violate one's own rights, etceteras.

It is but simple logic and commonsense to deduce that the pursuit of accurate knowledge in all matters is an essential prerequisite to the pursuit of “haq” in all matters – lest one be deceived, be manipulated, end up believing in falsehoods, and act unjustly.

The aforementioned tiny but self-sufficient verse fragment of the Qur'an forms the foundational basis for what is called “jihad”, striving as a moral imperative, in other verses of the Qur'an:

“And strive they with their wealth and their lives in the way of God; they are the truthful ones.” (Holy Qur'an, Surah Al-Hujraat 49:15, Arabic: وَجَاهِدُوا بِأَمْوَالِهِمْ وَأَنْفُسِهِمْ فِي سَبِيلِ اللَّهِ)

But what should they “strive” (وَجَاهِدُوا) for, inter alia, with their wealth and their lives, without any expectations in return from their fellow man, to be so nobly designated as the “truthful ones” (الصَّادِقُونَ) by none other than the one who claims to be their Creator?

The Qur'anic answer, once again unequivocally provided by the author of the Qur'an in the Qur'an itself, is in Surah Asr.

It is to principally strive for “haq” (وَتَوَاصَوْا بِالْحَقِّ) with all of one's wealth, resources, talents, and energies! The lack of striving of which, the author of the Qur'an emphatically re-asserts in the same Surah Asr, leads to:

“Lo! man is a state of loss” (Holy Qur'an, Surah Asr 103:2, إِنَّ الْإِنْسَانَ لَفِي خُسْرٍ)

For completeness, reproduced below is the full recipe of the pithy Surah Asr for a noble life which is “*not in a state of loss*”, one which is not perpetually full of facile views, ignorance, apathy, vile servitude to the harbingers of inequity and injustices, and wild revolutions and

further injustices in the name of redressing injustices. Notice what's stated and what's omitted in this self-sufficient tiny Surah. There is no reference to Muslims, or to Islam, or to any particular people or religion. It is directly addressed to man (**الْإِنْسَانَ**), "insaan", to every people of all religions, and to people of no religion, the overarching context for which has already been elucidated above:

By the declining day, (1)	وَالْعَصْرِ
Lo! man is a state of loss (2)	إِنَّ الْإِنْسَانَ لَفِي خُسْرٍ
Save those who believe,	إِلَّا الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا
and do good works,	وَعَمِلُوا الصَّالِحَاتِ
and strive for "haq",	وَتَوَّصَّوْا بِالْحَقِّ
and are patient (3)	وَتَوَّصَّوْا بِالصَّبْرِ

The aforementioned few words of the author of the Holy Qur'an, as straightforward as they appear to be, still do require plenty of reflection and context to grasp the full import of its message towards an equitable and mutually beneficial multicultural co-existence without the imposition of anyone's values and/or "facile views" upon another.

It is important to re-emphasize for the first of the four clauses of verse 3 of Surah Asr quoted above, even at the risk of being repetitious once gain, that on theological matters of belief, including no belief, when one disagrees with another, the dispute is not up to man to decide. It is for some abstract entity called "God" to decide, as already quoted from the author of the Qur'an in the preceding discussion. It is not the business of man what another's beliefs are. That business is God's, and is defined as being among the Rights of God upon man, the "haquq-Allah". No mortal may interfere in that Right even if, due to their own natural socialization and/or self-ascribed learnedness, they perceive that some Right of God is being violated by others holding a facile view. This clear demarcation of respective Rights in Islam between the Rights of God (beliefs) and the Rights of man (moral law), ends for all times, at least from Islam's point of view, all arguments of the type: whose conception of god is better; is there a god or isn't there; etc.

Everyone gets to believe in whatever theology they want! The author of the Holy Qur'an in defining the religion of Islam, already took the inherent differences in beliefs and perception

biases due to the very nature of socialization of man into account! Thus, apart from friendly discourse, any forceful disputation with another on the nature of their personal beliefs is transgressing the limits set by the author of the Qur'an for Islam's practitioners:

“And if your Lord had pleased, surely all those who are in the earth would have believed, all of them; will you then force men till they become believers?” (Holy Qur'an, Surah Yunus 10:99, Arabic: وَلَوْ شَاءَ رَبُّكَ لَأَمَنَّ مَن فِي الْأَرْضِ كُلُّهُمْ جَمِيعًا أَفَأَنْتَ تُكْرَهُ النَّاسَ حَتَّىٰ يَكُونُوا مُؤْمِنِينَ)

Wonderful.

This leaves man, as per the other three clauses of Surah Asr verse 3, in his short gift of life, to not worry about saving another's soul, but to primarily contend with his own conduct with his fellow man, the previously mentioned “haquq-al-ibad”.

The commonsense advocacy of that method of conduct, of doing good to fellow man, of striving for “haq” in removing injustices from oneself and from fellow man, and being patient in adversity rather than committing suicide or becoming a suicide bomber, is beneficial guidance to all mankind no differently than the Biblical commandment: *“do unto others has you have others do unto you”*, and Bertrand Russell's non-religious formulation: *'Maximize individual happiness while minimizing social conflict for optimizing the overall common-good'*, are beneficial for all mankind.

Take from whichever system of thought that resonates with one; but don't be iniquitous to oneself or to another; and the only practicable method to achieve that enlightened state of affairs regardless of the belief system is the pursuit of “ilm” – is the prima facie core message of the author of the Qur'an.

If only man were to take heed of any of this platitudinous stuff from any of the Books of wisdom, and implement that which is his preferred choice due to socialization, in his respective tribe and nation.

That singular failure to implement moral platitudes, from time immemorial, is the one fundamental problem of failure to strive in “haq”!

It is because of this empirical fact that the author of the Qur'an, in what it claims to be its last Testament to mankind, has laid such strong emphasis on striving for “haq” – even making it

the underpinning of a life which is at a loss in its absence (*إِنَّ الْإِنْسَانَ لَفِي خُسْرٍ*) . Otherwise, the Biblical *“do unto others as you have others do unto you”* is still sufficient general principle. However, while the latter was merely advisory, striving for “haq” has been made compulsory!

And what has man, “insaan”, done about such striving?

Nothing.

Caught between facile world views on the one hand, and bread and circuses on the other, man continues to be manipulated into voluntary servitude to tyrants of modernity just as he was in antiquity. While one may arguably understand the servitude in the Dark Ages to the tyrants of antiquity, in the modern information age, the Technetronic Era (term coined by Zbigniew Brzezinski), for the disease of the Dark Ages to persist is indicative of something far deeper which has not changed despite the march of civilizations, liberations, exponential increases in public knowledge, and the Technetronic progress.

Those who pursue “ilm”, knowledge, don't necessarily do so to strive for “haq”, or to redress the human condition, but for their own narrow self-interests to achieve their own version of the 'American Dream'. As the knowledge bearers, they are often either the direct harbingers of, or the silent bystanders to, the untold crimes against humanity. In the Technetronic Era of today, the former are the scientists, engineers, and technicians of empire laboring under facile delusions of all kind.

Tyranny of course only flourishes when many good men, and many good women, learned and pious, too busy pursuing their 'American Dreams', stay silent, indifferent.

That is just too well-worn a statement to be anything but one of the best moral clichés of all time. Edmund Burke wasn't the first to think of it. All the sages throughout the ages have reflected upon it. And Solon, considered one of the seven greatest law givers of ancient Rome, even made coming to the aid of fellow man in distress, a legal obligation!

Apart from the copious evidence of blood-stained pages of recorded history, the obvious import of accurate knowledge to the pursuit of “haq” as its principled primemover, can also be contemporarily judged by the empirical fact that due to the Muslims having a rather facile view of their own religion throughout history, and remaining quite ignorant of its interplay with imperial matters in every epoch, “jihad” was once again vilely harvested for an imperial agenda

in the modern epoch with nothing but snake oil.



This time around by Zbigniew Brzezinski for “*giving to the USSR its Vietnam War*” in Afghanistan 1979-1988 by creating the “Mujahideens”. It is worth reproducing here Zbigniew Brzezinski's 1998 interview to French magazine *Le Nouvel Observateur* for his own confessions of the utility of promulgating facile world views to accomplish this:

'Question: The former director of the CIA, Robert Gates, stated in his memoirs [“From the Shadows”], that American intelligence services began to aid the Mujahadeen in Afghanistan 6 months before the Soviet intervention. In this period you were the national security adviser to President Carter. You therefore played a role in this affair. Is that correct?

Brzezinski: Yes. According to the official version of history, CIA aid to the Mujahadeen began during 1980, that is to say, after the Soviet army invaded Afghanistan, 24 Dec 1979. But the reality, secretly guarded until now, is completely otherwise. Indeed, it was July 3, 1979 that President Carter signed the first directive for secret aid to the opponents of the pro-Soviet regime in Kabul. And that very day, I wrote a note to the president in which I explained to him that in my opinion this aid was going to induce a Soviet military intervention.

Question: Despite this risk, you were an advocate of this covert action. But perhaps you yourself desired this Soviet entry into war and looked to provoke it?

Brzezinski: It isn't quite that. We didn't push the Russians to intervene, but we knowingly increased the probability that they would.

Question: When the Soviets justified their intervention by asserting that they intended to fight against a secret involvement of the United States in Afghanistan, people didn't believe them. However, there was a basis of truth. You don't regret anything today?

Brzezinski: Regret what? That secret operation was an excellent idea. It had the effect of drawing the Russians into the Afghan trap and you want me to

regret it? The day that the Soviets officially crossed the border, I wrote to President Carter. We now have the opportunity of giving to the USSR its Vietnam war. Indeed, for almost 10 years, Moscow had to carry on a war unsupportable by the government, a conflict that brought about the demoralization and finally the breakup of the Soviet empire.

Question: And neither do you regret having supported the Islamic fundamentalism, having given arms and advice to future terrorists?

Brzezinski: What is most important to the history of the world? The Taliban or the collapse of the Soviet empire? Some stirred-up Moslems or the liberation of Central Europe and the end of the cold war?

Question: Some stirred-up Moslems? But it has been said and repeated Islamic fundamentalism represents a world menace today.

Brzezinski: Nonsense! It is said that the West had a global policy in regard to Islam. That is stupid. There isn't a global Islam. Look at Islam in a rational manner and without demagoguery or emotion. It is the leading religion of the world with 1.5 billion followers. But what is there in common among Saudi Arabian fundamentalism, moderate Morocco, Pakistan militarism, Egyptian pro-Western or Central Asian secularism? Nothing more than what unites the Christian countries.' (source [Global Research](#))

It is also worth reproducing here how Brzezinski fashioned these *“Some stirred-up Moslems”*:

News voice over 1980: *“US National Security Advisor Brzezinski flew to Pakistan to set about rallying resistance. He wanted to arm the Mujahideen without revealing America's role. On the Afghan border near the Khayber Pass, he urged the Soldiers of God to redouble their efforts”*

Brzezinski 1980: *“We know of their deep belief in God, and we are confident that their struggle will succeed. That land over there, is yours, you'll go back to it one day, because your fight will prevail, and you'll have your homes and your mosques back again; because your cause is right; **God is on your side.**”*
[enthusiastic clapping by the future 'Mujahideens']

Brzezinski in the studio speaking to the interviewer: *“The purpose of coordinating with the Pakistanis will be to make the Soviets bleed, for as much, as long, as possible.”* (transcription is mine from the video clip: http://sites.google.com/site/humanbeingsfirst/download-pdf/god_is_on_your_side.wmv)

The mass ignorance and the facile world views that lay behind *“their deep belief in god”* among the Muslims was devilishly harvested with *“god is on your side”* to leave the Muslim civilization of Afghanistan into dust, and to set the stage for the future disintegration of Pakistan, with nothing but *“Some stirred-up Moslems”!*

It is the same fundamental lack of wherewithal today among the Muslims which is also enabling the same grandmasters to wage the perpetual 'Global War on Terror' upon the world as the age-old pretext for *“imperial mobilization”* on *The Grand Chessboard*.

In both endeavors, Muslim rulers and their intelligence apparatuses played, and are still playing, prostitutes to empire against the common-good of their own public.

Evidently, all empires, past and present, from antiquity to modernity, are built upon promoting facile views of certain truths among their public, and among their prostitutes.

St. Augustine had rightly summed it millennia ago:

“When the King asked him what he meant by infesting the sea, the pirate defiantly replied: 'the same as you do when you infest the whole world; but because I do it with a little ship I am called a robber, and because you do it with a great fleet, you are an emperor.’” (*The City of God against the Pagans*, pg. 148).

It is not surprising then, that the One who claims to be the Creator of man, the author of the Qur'an, correctly gauged the natural psychology of the masses among mankind and how they will be manipulated by the devil's apprentices, and for which it universally advocated the pursuit of “ilm” and “haq” for every “insaan” in a lifelong striving it termed “jihad” as the only effective counter to facile world views from which all evil follows.

It is therefore also not surprising then, that the superlative devil's apprentices too, from time immemorial, also recognized that encouraging facile views among the masses was essential in

order to rule them! In this perpetual battle between good and evil, evidently both sides have been well equipped, but unfortunately it is only one side which has figured out how to capitalize on its core strengths.

This was the craft of kings from antiquity who ruled in the name of the divine for their own private interests with *“all authority is an extension of god's authority”*. And is now the craft of Machiavelli in modernity who showed the prince how to rule for private interests in the name of democracy with *“god is on your side”*.

Indeed, it is only upon that singular characteristic that the following observation of Zbigniew Brzezinski in his own bible of hegemony, *The Grand Chessboard*, is so penetratingly accurate even today: *“Hegemony is as old as mankind.”*

The very foundation of hegemony and empire lie in the public holding largely facile views of truths essential to the rulers. It doesn't matter which view they hold, in fact, they can hold any view they want, so long as it is not the whole truth, and is anything but the truth.

Like every people, such facile views are also promoted by Christians themselves of their own religion upon their own masses – never mind others doing it for them – when it is convenient to the exercise of imperial power. There is virtually no exception to this empiricism throughout the pages of recorded history. It exists among every people, including Jews, Hindus, Muslims, Greeks, Romans, Egyptians, etc. Pick an empire and its people have been subjected to facile world views which have served the interests of empire.

And modernity is no exception.

Promulgating Zionism among the Jews, and Christian Zionism in the Bible Belt of America, readily come to mind.

The following is just one example. A facile sermon ostensibly from the Holy Bible, by a Christian preacher harkening back to the divine kings of antiquity to teach his own flock to ***“Honor the King. Do it anyway, whether the king deserves it or not”***:

“I am free to submit to authority. I am free to make myself a slave.

My friends, you are free, you are free to respect and appreciate the authority of the government that god gives to you - Honor the King!

The way you talk about your government, it's so easy to complain isn't it? It is so easy to criticize, it is so easy to find fault.

Honor the King. Do it anyway, whether the king deserves it or not.

All authority, all authority is an extension of god's authority!" (*New American Theology of Civil Submission*', transcription is mine from a Youtube video of the sermon cited by prisonplanet.com, April 14, 2008)

What can be a more self-servingly facile view of Christianity than that Orwellian double-speak?

Any Muslim's facile views of Christianity surely pale in comparison!

So, to finally conclude this long response, the article being responded to has posed a good specific question whose general answer has been explained to those Muslims who can understand the wisdom of the Qur'an. Ignorance, like being naked at birth, is the natural state of being. Having facile views is natural, of others especially, but is not limited to the 'other'. One can be just as ignorant of what's one's own as illustrated above. And as an antidote to holding facile views, the full spectrum pursuit of knowledge as the precondition for the pursuit of a noble life, to be counted among the "truthful ones", is rationally advocated by the author of the Qur'an as a categorical imperative for man.

Interestingly, it is also a commonsense wisdom. Acquire Knowledge – 'even if one has to journey to China', as the Prophet of Islam is reputed to have also stated to his followers in that Age of *Jahiliya* (ignorance).

The difficulty of physically journeying to China is of course considerably less today. However, we continue to suffer another Age of Jahiliya in our modernity today. One that is dominated by facile views and deception all around.

Where to seek knowledge, wisdom, when all bearers of knowledge and wisdom, both in the East and the West, appear to be shilling for self-interest? When the bearers of knowledge today also appear to be the greatest manipulators and predators of man? And when the knowledge seeker too is naturally beholden to socialization and susceptible to accepting facile world views ingrained since birth?

To be continued someday. For now – if there's interest – please see "[The Poor-Man's Guide to](#)

Modernity". It references two additional articles which shed some tangential light on how difficult this endeavor of seeking knowledge has become in our times: "The IVY League Morons Syndrome" and "Response to 'Why I'm leaving Harvard'".

But, in my view, talking to other morons to seek knowledge of Jesus / Isa – alahi salam is patently silly. Just because someone has divorced themselves from establishmentarian version of religion, true for any people and any religion, is irrelevant to this matter. Anyone can promote facile views, and also be the victim of it.

Thank you for a thoughtful article.

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

June 11, 2011 | Revised and expanded June 14, 2011

A version submitted as comment to: <http://lwtc247.wordpress.com/2011/06/09/jesus-isa-alahi-salam/#comment-5241>

Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/06/knowledge-vs-socialization.html>

Mirror URL: <http://bloghumanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/2011/06/11/knowledge-vs-socialization-response-by-zahirebrahim/>

Source PDF: <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2011/06/knowledge-vs-socialization-response-by-zahirebrahim-3a.pdf>

Original version PDF: <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2011/06/knowledge-vs-socialization-response-by-zahirebrahim.pdf>

About the author

The author is a non-religious, almost irreverent Muslim whose entry into every mosque he has ever opened his mouth is likely frowned upon. The opinions expressed here are the author's personal opinions as an ordinary plebeian. He is not a “scholar”. He in fact detests scholars of all stripes, especially those presumptuous enough to call themselves scholars – be they Bernard Lewis, “*leading Western scholar of Islam*”, or Tahir ul Qadri, the leading Eastern scholar of Islam. The latter had thechutzpah to issue a 600 page Fatwa on Terrorism in service to the “*leading Western scholar of Islam*” as incestuous self-reinforcement of imperial scholarship. See the author's Letter to Muslims on '*Is Islam really the Last Obstruction to World Government and Absolute Scientific Global Dictatorship?*', and Chapter-8 of *Prisoners of the Cave* titled '*The Role of American Muslims in Empire Building*'.

Last updated 06/15/2011 14:00:06 6539

Chapter 8 Stooges and Empire

Response to the Fatwa on Terrorism in the Service of Empire

The political philosophies and social engineering underlying *imperial mobilization* are far more diabolical today than they have been in the past. Comprehending the role of fabricated enemies and false oppositions to lend credence to the fabricated enemies, begins at the doorstep of political science, not Islam. The fatwa is part of the finely tuned social engineering to continually *engineer consent* for the fabricated perpetual war on terror.



Caption **Shameless Stooges** at the *massa's* table. The Western establishment's cultivated Trojan Horse among Muslims to institute beneficial religious diversity (sic!), namely "moderate Islam", Tahir UI Qadri makes even Mir Jafar/Mir Sadiq duo look virtuous. If there was any doubt earlier, the gratuitous presence at the 2011 WEF is conclusive proof of the Qadri-Khan duo being the pathetic house negroes eagerly carrying the white man's burden.

are "absolutely against the teachings of Islam".

This is a response to the following pertinent passage from the Pakistani news reports on the Islamic scholar Dr. Tahir ul-Qadri of Minhaj-ul-Qur'aan, a Sufi organization in East London, issuing terrorism fatwa (also BBC March 2, 2010).

The Pakistani English language daily The News reported:

'LONDON: The 600-page document, drawn up by Dr Tahir-ul-Qadri, declares that attacks on innocent citizens

The Minhaj-ul-Qur'aan, a Sufi organisation based in East London, which advises the British government on how to combat radicalisation of the Muslim youth, will launch the 600-page Fatwa against suicide bombings and terrorism, declaring them un-Islamic, tomorrow.

It condemns the perpetrators of terrorist explosions and suicide bombings. The document, written by Dr Tahir-ul-Qadri, declares the suicide bombings and terrorism as "totally un-Islamic". It is one of the most detailed and comprehensive documents of its kind to be published in Britain.'

Right!

The BBC in its own truthful coverage of the fatwa (and parroted by the world press) noted that: ***'The scholar describes al-Qaeda as an "old evil with a new name" that has not been sufficiently challenged.'*** Al Qaeda is indeed the same age "old evil with a new name": the fabricated Hegelian Dialectic necessary for launching and sustaining "imperial mobilization" on the Grand Chessboard!

But sadly, and quite expectedly, none heralding the fatwa in the worldwide media coverage, nor any branded scholar manufacturing consent or dissent, dared to complete that virtuous proclamation on terrorism in that 600-page fatwa with the following obviousness:

'Furthermore, gratuitously laying a carpet of bombs on civilian populations, invading one's military forces into other nations' territories, and decimating entire civilizations to the point of cultural and physical genocide, is a far greater terrorism; a crime of aggression so heinous that it is not simply referred to as "war crime", but "the supreme international crime differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole."

Unless that additional truism – which is also the Islamic principle for culpability expressed in the Qur'an as the blame is with those who initiate the war-mongering aggression – well established by the Military Tribunal at Nuremberg by the United States herself as the yardstick for identifying primary war-mongers, is appended, or pre-pended, to any overarching statement on terrorism, one must know that all such pious proclamations, including that in the 600-page document, are only the Hegelian vomit of vulgar propagandists justifying the ***"supreme international crime"*** of their real masters.

Their fate will be no different than Goebbells'. Whether or not that destiny actually transpires within our own lifetime, let it be repeatedly told at every opportunity, in every generation, and in no uncertain terms, that in the hammaams (bath houses) of the hectoring hegemons, these prostitutes are merely their "Bukakke" (borrowing that hideous new word which appears to be the passionate pastime of many a Pakistani as I learnt here).

Unlike some others, I foolishly write under my own name with full self-identification. And I invite these brilliant scholars who span the gamut of doctrinal warfare from peddling "Islam" with half-truths to peddling Secular Humanism with outright deception, to haul me into the International Criminal Court of Justice for referring to them by their only real profession. It should be interesting, but only if the foolish plebeian is permitted to speak unfettered.

Any takers of "justice", "Islam", Secular Humanism? How about you, Dr Tahir-ul-Qadri?

The voice of reason of ordinary plebeians often seems to be accompanied by only the thunderous sounds of silence. Free-speech in free-space can kill mercilessly by asphyxiation!

And humanity is concomitantly being butchered under the twain carpet of bombs because there is no one to challenge the **"supreme international crime"** in a forum that has any meaningful power to redress it. When the rare opportunity does materialize to wage a genuine battle for justice for a change, it is largely squandered on the lower order bits of the matter:

<http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/03/zahirs-comment-Russell-tribunal-palest.html>

<http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/01/letter-francisboyle-iraq-afghan-victims.html>

<http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/04/vanilla-or-chocolate-icing-ondevilscake.html>

But what kills me even more than these well-intentioned justice-minded professionals whom I don't personally know – at least these courageous folks know the truth and operate on their own peculiar calculus of justice which merely differs from mine – is the obsequence of intellectual and house negroes in America and Pakistan, many of whom happen to be my good friends and colleagues. Some even know of my humble pen. And yet, they too only see the friggin Islamofascist pirates, just like this Dr. Tahir-ul-Qadri chap with his fake Hegelian opposition to Islamofascism. But not the emperor's vast armies poised to annihilate entire

defenseless nations with their unmatched nuclear weapons, and already having done so with extreme **“shock and awe”** invasions of Iraq, Afghanistan, Lebanon, Palestine, and now even doing their own nation.

Nor do they try to comprehend political science, that the pirates are almost entirely a diabolical synthesis of the emperor's Machiavellian brains because without Islamofascism and its duped recruits, the rulers of the world have no pretext to rapidly transform their empire into world government. All the hectoring hegemon's raped-pregnancies and birth-pangs of world order ultimately remain still-born without the synthetic curse of Islamofascism:

‘[Because] the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization. ... [Thus it is] more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. ... That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification.’ (Zbigniew Brzezinski)

I expect Dr. Tahir ul-Qadri of Minhaj-ul-Qur'aan to publicly explain his vulgar omissions in his partial definition of terrorism. Perhaps he remains un-aware that: ***“Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects... totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have by the most eloquent denunciations.”*** (Aldous Huxley) I would in fact support his thesis on terrorism if it was accordingly amended to reflect all the omissions noted here, and not couched as fatwa in the service of empire.

However, the learned scholar labors under a grave misconception that his personal opinion has any religious significance as fatwa, even if he were to make the aforementioned corrections and forthrightly condemn empire's own state terrorism and war-mongering aggression in his document.

No human being needs permission from another to wage his or her legitimate self-defense. It is a primary existential instinct, more fundamental than any dogma, religion, or belief – even the lowliest of animals have their instinct for self-preservation. If modernity has desensitized

that basic instinct among humankind, watch its demonstration in animalkind in the Battle at Kruger. Loftier principles of morals and dogmas layered upon these basic natural instincts which purport to transform us human beings from the amoeba into Ashraf-ul-Makloofat – such as America's famous Bill of Rights and its Declaration of Independence, and of course Islam which proclaims itself the natural religion liberating man from the shackles of all servitude to fellow man – regulate what is lawful and what isn't so people may equitably co-exist with each other and with state-power without usurping each others rights. That regulation for Muslims choosing to follow the religion Islam, is explicit in the Qur'anic teachings in the context of terrorism vs. Jihad. These require no mullah's fatwa, no matter how learned. Personal fatwas in the religion of Islam may not be issued on what is already made explicit in the religion of Islam itself – by the very definition of the concept of fatwa in Islamic jurisprudence. What is permissible, and what isn't, in waging the battle of self-defense is already made abundantly explicit in the principled teachings of the Holy Qur'an. One may surely explain these principles to others in full context, but not issue fatwas amending, particularizing, or hiding its general import in the totality of the message of Islam through calculated omissions in the service of vested interests.

Any personal opinion couched as fatwa which fails to recognize the greatest enemy systematically devouring Muslims today, can only emanate from the enemy of the Muslims. The hectoring hegemons are experts at disguises and can wear any garb. The Mussalmans' short and blood-soaked history is replete with such two-bit skills putting religion in the service of empire. The price has spanned the gamut of co-option from a few silver coins for issuing imperial proclamations in the name of Islam, to anointed leadership of manufactured sects for sowing discords and **“beneficial cognitive diversity”** in the best mold of divide et impera.

In this instance, it is the realization of Daniel Pipes wet-dreams in the service of empire that ***‘The idea that “militant Islam is the problem, moderate Islam is the solution” is finding greater acceptance over time.’*** Well, here is empire's own **“moderate Islam”** for us plebes, as the WWF wrestling match against its own virulent creation of **“militant Islam”**.

The face of “moderate Islam”



Caption Video Face of ***“moderate Islam”*** featuring Dr. Tahir-ul-Qadri, the ***“Ambassador of Peace”***. An even more entertaining version of Daniel Pipe's choice for ***“moderate Islam”*** with its leader* basking in the adulation of his prostrating fans, is here (search)

The political philosophies and social engineering underlying ***imperial mobilization*** are far more diabolical today than they have been in the past. Comprehending the role of fabricated enemies and false oppositions to lend credence to the fabricated enemies, begins at the doorstep of political science, not Islam. The fatwa is part of the finely tuned social engineering to continually ***engineer consent*** for the fabricated perpetual war on terror. The primary modus operandi of that social engineering is seeded in the Hegelian Dialectics of Deception and the Technique of Infamy as already explained in this tutorial. Witness the dialectical twin of this pious Fatwa in the promulgation of the impious girl flogging video which was presciently unraveled in this Letter to Editor of April 06, 2009, and which is now coming unraveled even officially.

This factual observation of diabolically ***engineering consent*** with tortuous political theories and absurdities being primarily at work here, is further underscored in the stark contrast between the pious 'Islamic scholarship' of the Fatwa in the service of empire and this Syrian Arab Catholic priest's lament in his open letter 'To His Holiness Pope Benedict XVI'. The latter demonstrates a moral fibre largely unknown to Pakistan's virtuous house negro peddling 'Islam's holiness' for gratis (£6.99 for hardcopy), and which was even intoned by the BBC News Magazine as '**A fatwa they can work with?**': *“An Islamic scholar turned up in London*

last week to deliver a religious ruling denouncing terrorism in all its forms – but what was it about him that made everyone sit up and listen? **He's a man on a mission – a mission to state the obvious.**” Unfortunately for the 'untermenschen' everywhere, this virtuous **“man on a mission”** journeying to the heartland of empire in voluntary servitude to peddle his “Islam” felt no **“moral mission to state the [even more] obvious”!** Facts which, quite unsurprisingly, even the empire's own top field commander, Gen. Stanley A. McChrystal, being a free man unfettered in his own *la mission civilisatrice*, had the cold chutzpah to boldly proclaim without fear of any future Nuremberg accountability: **“We have shot an amazing number of people, but to my knowledge, none has ever proven to be a threat,”** (New York Times, March 26, 2010)

Finally, I conclude this lamentable but principled response to Dr. Tahir-ul-Qadri's terrorism fatwa in the service of his empire with the only universally humanitarian prayer to perhaps ever escape from the particularistic lips of 'god's chosen people' now waging full spectrum war of domination upon all *Amelekites*, that of its favorite Nobel laureate HolocaustTM spokesman, Elie Wiesel:

‘I still curse the killers, their accomplices, the indifferent spectators who knew and kept silent, and Creation itself, Creation and those who perverted and distorted it. I feel like screaming, howling like a madman so that that world, the world of the murderers, might know it will never be forgiven.’

Thank you.

* **Footnote:** I have no opinion on Tahir ul-Qadri's version of “Sufi Islam” per se, only on his brazen omissions in defining terrorism in the *calculated* service of empire. Qadri is not a patsy.

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Addendum: Letter to Fatwa Authors

Date: Wednesday March 24, 2010

From: Zahir Ebrahim | Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

To: The originators and authors of the Fatwa on Terrorism

tehreek@minhaj.org, spokesman@minhajuk.org, shahid.mursaleen@minhajuk.org,
zahid.iqbal@minhajuk.org, ishtiaq.ahmed@minhajuk.org

CC: Press

Dear Scholars and Leaders of Islam issuing the One-sided Fatwa on Terrorism:

You might be aware that I do not recognize any pious leaders, of Islam or otherwise, when they intersect conversely with truth, or with political science. The only genuine leadership I know of today, is that of the devil – the hectoring hegemons – and therefore, I oppose it.

Therefore, I do not find it impertinent to boldly ask whether you take peoples to be fools? Or are you just being useful idiots in the hands of hectoring hegemons? I am not sure which one. Therefore, I draw your kind attention to Project Humanbeingsfirst's Response to your Terrorism Fatwa which is entirely in the service of empire:

<http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/03/resp-terror-fatwa-in-service-of-empire.html>

Please be advised that people are aware that all sorts of fatwas have been issued throughout history by the most pious pontiffs to justify the crimes of empire. If it is news to you how religion, and especially Islam, is put in the service of empire through half-truths and outright omissions, then, instead of presuming to teach others, you might perhaps spend some time studying yourself.

And lest you believe that you have acquired some newly inspired knowledge through virtuous piety which I do not possess due to my ordinary dint of hard study and forensic observations, and due to which you believe your palpable omissions as noted in the response are justified, I

would be happy to hear of your inspired knowledge.

And if I am shown the error of my analysis and conclusions due to my general lack of humility before either the pirates or the emperor, I would be happy to eat crow.

Otherwise, I invite you to be forthright and include what is omitted in your terrorism report to complete your partial thesis in the full service of the people, of all peoples. For doing that, you will most assuredly earn my genuine and humble thanks with full endorsement of your report - provided the word fatwa is dropped from it. Your personal opinion has no religious significance in matters in which the religion of Islam has unequivocally already spoken. Only a political one, and that would be sufficient, nay [of] immense significance for the ordinary peoples of the world, almost 7 billion of us, of all faiths and persuasions, that even one Muslim scholar has the balls to call a spade a spade.

Even further, I would be most happy to enter into fair interlocution with your learned scholar who presumably authored this one-sided Fatwa, or obligingly put his name to it, in any public televised forum. Should be interesting for the world to witness a rational dialog between a virtuous Islamic scholar berating the pirates while ignoring the grotesque imperial mobilization of the emperor that is not only responsible for genocide of multiple Muslim civilizations, but is also rapidly lowering an iron-curtain of tortuous police-states throughout Europe and America, and an ordinary plebe.

I humbly invite the press to publish this letter in their columns and newspapers.

Thank you.

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

<http://humanbeingsfirst.org>

California, United States of America.

Updated Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/03/resp-terror-fatwa-in-service-of-empire.html>

Original Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/03/resp-terror-fatwa-in-service-of-empire.html>

Mirror URL: <http://bloghumanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/2010/05/01/response-to-the-fatwa-on-terrorism-in-the-service-of-empire-by-zahir-ebrahim/>

Source PDF: <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2011/03/response-to-the-fatwa-on-terrorism-in-the-service-of-empire-by-zahir-ebrahim-updated-march02-2011.pdf>

Source PDF Letter March 24, 2010:

<http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2010/03/letter-to-fatwa-authors-response-to-the-fatwa-on-terrorism-in-the-service-of-empire-by-zahir-ebrahim-march-24-2010.pdf>

First published on Saturday, March 20, 2010


Last updated with the Photo of *Shameless Stooges* 03/02/2011 23:00:05 3266

Chapter 9 Obedience Training

Body-scan Alert - Not Suffering Indignities at Airports

Zahir Ebrahim | ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org

November 06, 2010 | Last updated Wednesday June 15, 2011



Dear American / International traveler friends,

Please pay **URGENT** attention to this :

- Airport screeners get more aggressive with pat-downs ;
- TSA agents gone wild: fondling little children, planting cocaine in passenger bags and more ;
- TSA Groping Out Of Control ;
- Hands off, buddy – we're Americans ;
- Don't Touch My Junk ;
- Miss USA Susie Castillo: My TSA Pat Down Experience
- Watch CNN Meredith Jessup Report: 'TSA Behavior Indicator'
- Documents Reveal TSA Research Proposal To Body-Scan Pedestrians, Train Passengers, Forbes
- Radiation scientists agree TSA naked body scanners could cause breast cancer and sperm mutations

And this:

- Get the facts about Advanced Imaging Technology (<http://dontscan.us/scans.html>)

Please click on the link above to download the *Know Your Rights at Airports Brochure* from dontscan.us. If you don't like these images, you should be aware that according to the brochure, you have been generously afforded the right to say NO to body-scan with the supposed “**I Opt-out**” utterance.

Should you sensibly choose to exercise that right, then you have to deal with the possible trauma described in one of the links above which states: **'TSA agents stand accused today of fondling the genitals of women and little children as part of their "enhanced pat-down" procedures being rolled out at airport security checkpoints.'**

The brochure imaged below further carries the following **warning**:

'Pat downs are no longer the simple search like those in the past. In some instances, as part of an “enhanced patdown,” the TSA screener may use the front of their hands to touch your genitals. Should you feel that you or your child were inappropriately touched, call for a law enforcement officer.'

If presented with the option to leave the checkpoint for a private screening, consider politely declining with a “No, thank you,” as you will likely be asked to remove your clothing. Pat downs can be performed in public view.'

Please study this carefully. This is unbelievable!

If you are like me, then my attitude is that before submitting to pat-down and after having uttered the formulaic “*I opt-out*”, coldly warn that nice-looking TSA agent getting ready to frisk you that he better not touch your and your family members' private parts and if he intends to, that you want to immediately see the manager/supervisor in-charge before subjecting yourself to pat-down physical search.

And, if you are still more like me, you will likely boldly add within earshot of others waiting in line to experience the same traumas, that you will NOT subject yourself to humiliation by either

undergoing strip-search which is what the body-scan reduces to, or gross physical pat-down of your anatomy and the violation of your personal rights guaranteed you by the Constitution. And that while you know the TSA is merely doing its job to earn its paycheck just like the 'Good Germans' once did in the Third Reich, you are concerned for your own rights and privacy and you wish to take preventive action now rather than lament later!

I would personally make a calculated, un-emotional, carefully chosen, perhaps pre-rehearsed, loud statement of rights and dignity **before** I am humiliated, rather than doing it afterwards emotionally, when crying and talking to CAIR/ACLU/clergy and filing meaningless complaints serves little to mitigate the traumas – for indeed, it is only the un-courageous silent acquiescence to tyranny which creates all this erosion of rights and liberties in the first place. I did that once before, entirely un-rehearsed, and this is what I have advised my own family to remember – teaching by example rather than academic punditry – their old man's stance at the front door on those two occasions in April 2003 when the nice boys from the FBI had come calling looking for “terrorists” in our home in California: They dared to Knock on my door!

And I am still here. And so long as I have the choice, I refuse to suffer indignities, either in Pakistan my home country where it is far more common to be feelled up at every damn checkpoint and roadblock, or in the United States where I live. When I won't have the choice, I don't know what I will do – perhaps nothing different from the vast 'untermenschen' now bearing the brunt of “**imperial mobilization**” from Pakistan to Palestine. Every day I and my loved ones escape that fate, I offer a prayer of thanks!


If you are really like me, then you too will be boldly civic minded before you lose that choice. You too will daringly protect your own inalienable rights and your own dignity – no one else will do it for you.

Before the day comes when everyone is asked at gun-point every few hundred yards, “*your papers, your papers please, show me your papers*”, and one's refusal then is rewarded with a bullet lodged into one's brain, it is surely a tad easier to stand-up now with the little show of courage that it takes, before the indignities of these government mandated TSA searches.

An excellent illustration of how to do this with dignity, poise, and resolute firmness is in the experience of a recent traveler in his pre-Thanksgiving week journey through an American airport. The traveler, Matt Kernan, meticulously reported his encounter in his blog article 'My TSA Encounter' where he narrates:

'I certainly don't enjoy being treated like a terrorist in my own country, but I'm also not a die-hard constitutional rights advocate. However, for some reason, I was irked. Maybe it was the video of the 3-year old getting molested, maybe it was the sexual assault victim having to cry her way through getting groped, maybe it was the father watching teenage TSA officers joke about his attractive daughter. Whatever it was, this issue didn't sit right with me. So, since I had nobody waiting for me at home and no connecting flight to catch, I had some free time. I decided to test my rights. After putting all my stuff through the x-ray, I was asked to go through the Backscatter. I politely said that I didn't want to. The technician quipped to his colleague, "We've got an opt-out." They laughed. He turned back and started to explain. After he finished, I said, ***"I understand what the pat-down entails, but I wanted to let you know that I do not give you permission to touch my genitals or the surrounding area. If you do, I will consider it assault."*** ... I was never touched, I was never "Backscattered," and I was never metal detected. In the end, it took 2.5 hours, but I proved that it is possible. I'm looking forward to my next flight on Wednesday.' -- 22 Nov 2010
<http://noblasters.com/post/1650102322/my-tsa-encounter>

Click on the image below to watch video reports of how some other Americans are reacting



Caption What Went Wrong In The Land of The Free – Standing For Airport Indignities: In bra, panties and wheelchair, Tammy Banovac goes through Oklahoma City airport screening on 30 Nov 2010

The AP news report of November 15, 2010, **Scanners and pat-downs upset airline passengers** (cached here), narrated the story of Americans' rising outrage:

'Nearly a week before the Thanksgiving travel crush, federal air security officials were struggling to reassure rising numbers of fliers and airline workers outraged by new anti-terrorism screening procedures they consider invasive and harmful.

Across the country, passengers simmered over being forced to choose scans by full-body image detectors or probing pat-downs. Top federal security officials said Monday that the procedures were safe and necessary sacrifices to ward off terror attacks.

"It's all about security," Homeland Security Secretary Janet Napolitano said. "It's all about everybody recognizing their role."

Despite officials' insistence that they had taken care to prepare the American flying public, the flurry of criticism from private citizens to airline pilots' groups suggested that Napolitano and other federal officials had been caught off guard.

At the San Diego airport, a software engineer posted an Internet blog item saying he had been ejected after being threatened with a fine and lawsuit for refusing a groin check after turning down a full-body scan. The passenger, John Tyner, said he told a federal Transportation Security Administration worker, ***"If you touch my junk, I'm gonna have you arrested."***

Tyner's individual protest quickly became a web sensation, but questions also came from travel business groups, civil liberties activists and pilots, raising concerns both about the procedures themselves and about the possibility of delays caused by passengers reluctant to accept the new procedures.

There are some 300 full-body scanners now operational in 60 U.S. airports. TSA is on track to deploy approximately 500 units by the end of 2010.'

The Harvard Crimson reported on December 03, 2010, **Harvard Students Sue TSA Over 'Intrusive' Searches:**

'Two Harvard Law School students filed a lawsuit against the Transportation

Security Administration for its use of “intrusive” full body scanners and pat down procedures late last month.

Jeffrey H. Redfern and Anant N. Pradhan, both second year Law School students, allege that the scanners—which generate images of travelers’ bodies—and the TSA’s “enhanced pat down” technique which requires “the touching of their genital areas” are a violation of the Fourth Amendment protection against unreasonable search and seizure, according to the complaint filed on Nov. 29 at a U.S. District Court in Boston.

Currently, passengers are permitted to opt out of a full body scan, but upon doing so must submit to an enhanced pat down.

Both Redfern and Anant opted out of the full body scan while traveling on separate occasions and found the pat down “highly intrusive,” according to the complaint.'

Remember, TSA is authorized by the Homeland Security, which in turn is authorized by the US Government, to subject its denizens to this – TSA is not doing it on their own. It draws its rights from the Government, which at least in the lofty American Republican theory, draws its rights from the people. It isn't clear to me whether deliberately hiring sexual perverts into TSA and calculatingly seeding the traumas noted in the first link above, is part of the unwritten coercion policy of the Government to get objecting peoples to accept the body-scan! It just seems extraordinary to me that without some tacit support from higher-ups, that anyone in their right mind would do such molestation in such a public place with everyone watching.

This is a commonsense public service message from Zahir Ebrahim, Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Please circulate it, specially to your young family members and womenfolk who travel. It does not matter that they might be travelling in the company of their adult male family members – perverts and absurdities do not discriminate between sexes and ages, nor does tyranny.

Just imagine the entire body-scan scam failing before it gets off the ground due to every civic-minded law-abiding courageous American declining all indignities in the name of enhanced security – their own, from big brother!

The UK and EU Airports shall not escape such draconian procedures either unless good peoples silently complying with state-tyrannies in Europe also stop doing so in significantly large numbers. This report headlined **'Muslim woman barred from flight after refusing body scan'** in the UK Telegraph of March 03, 2010 states: 'A Manchester Airport spokeswoman said: *"Two female passengers who were booked to fly out of Terminal 2 refused to be scanned for medical and religious reasons. In accordance with the Government directive on scanners, they were not permitted to fly."*

Despite the real fear of being labeled as suffering from an **"oppositional defiant disorder"** as per the newly revised DSM IV psychiatric manual (see Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory), and the concomitant threat of being legally confined to 'state-hospitality' centers for rehabilitation, or worse, being labeled **"domestic terrorist"** as per big-brother's newly enacted police-state laws to maintain domestic tranquility in the face of rising public discontent, the price to be paid now to challenge the grotesquely Orwellian Western society while it is still in its infancy, is minuscule compared to what our progeny will face! A new generation born into a mature system of big brother in the West won't know the difference – unless their parents' generation takes a cross-Atlantic stand now while the Orwellian World Order is still only being birth-panged into existence under the pretext of fighting the War on Terror: What is War on Terror?.

Please read the CFR's April 1974 disclosure "Hard Road to World Order", to hear it from the horse's mouth concerning the nature of this World Order and how it must be deceptively instrumented, piece-meal: *"In short, the 'house of world order' will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great 'booming, buzzing confusion' to use William James' famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault."* Here is a CliffsNotes version which deconstructs that diabolical planning for those weaned on 15-second attention spans and unable to digest too much information in one sitting: Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order by Zahir Ebrahim. And here is a detailed tutorial that demonstrates the hideous existence of long-entrenched establishment forces arrayed against the peoples of the United States of America and which transcend the changing occupants of the White House and the Congress: Tutorial: The Un-Hidden Agenda for World Government by way of 911 and Imperial Mobilization By Zahir Ebrahim.

EPIC, the civil liberties research center in Washington, D.C., has acquired Homeland Security Documents under FOIA, including this 173 page ominous report dated July 12, 2006, which

reveal phased proposals to Body-Scan pedestrians, rail passengers, with rapidly emerging Electromagnetic technologies. It should not be surprising if the next thing to be revealed as part and parcel of fighting terrorism will be their deployment at shopping malls, Safeway, Macys, and schools! Meanwhile, the latest in terrorist detection has already been revealed by Der Spiegel, to be those wearing a Casio watch: *“US interrogators at Guantanamo Bay identified potential terrorists by the watch they wore on their wrist.” Evidently, “The Watch Points to Mecca”, and is “Used to Detonate Bombs”!*

Given such overwhelming agendist forces at play, resisting both the deadly body-scan as well as the vile patdown intrusions at airports, may indeed appear to be an insignificant challenge.

However, the import of this challenge in firing up the imagination of a significant percentage of the public if the draconian and nonsensical security measures are successfully thwarted even at a single airport, can be immense. Recall that the active participants in the American Revolutionary War were only 3% of the colonist population! The Western states being ubiquitously governed by brilliantly psychopathic minds, also realizing that such small defeats can quickly snowball into larger defeats with the possibility of widespread domestic revolts, will surely try to make an example of those resisting the body-scan (see video report by CNN's Meredith Jessup in Postscript below)! In the United States, it is already frowned upon in some places to quote its Constitution chapter and verse even in one's own self-defense (see: Postscript 'War on Terror' is not about 'Islamofascism' – Please get with the real agenda you people!).

Therefore, also be forewarned that if you sensibly choose to act in your and your progeny's own best interests, it may not be a free ride. You may be denied boarding, or even arrested.

Summary

If you have to travel but don't wish to be part of the new nude morality of the United States that is trying hard to keep America safe from the ubiquitous Islamofascist terrorist, you still have some liberating choices in personal freedoms left:

- 1) 'To opt out for a pat down, say “I opt out.”
- 2) 'Warning: Pat downs are no longer the simple search like those in the past. In

some instances, as part of an “enhanced patdown,” the TSA screener may use the front of their hands to touch your genitals.

Should you feel that you or your child were inappropriately touched, call for a law enforcement officer.

If presented with the option to leave the checkpoint for a private screening, consider politely declining with a “No, thank you,” as you will likely be asked to remove your clothing. Pat downs can be performed in public view.'

3) Warning2: Should you sensibly choose to exercise that right, then you have to deal with the possible trauma of being groped and molested: 'TSA agents stand accused today of fondling the genitals of women and little children as part of their “enhanced pat-down” procedures being rolled out at airport security checkpoints.'

4) Warning3: Should you sensibly choose to quote your inalienable rights guaranteed by the Constitution to protect yourself and your loved ones from these vile indignities, you may have to deal with being labeled a “domestic terrorist”!

5) Based on this escalation path of making the price of discontent progressively costlier and costlier, the vast majority will simply choose the body-scan. That appears to be the strategic thinking behind these enhanced pat down procedures and the occasional case of vile molestation which will be widely reported!

6) But if you choose to bravely stand up for yourself by not standing for any of these vile indignities, you may not only get away with having a pleasant journey, you will also be assisting in patriotically extracting your nation from the rapidly closing jaws of the *Brotherhood of Death*.

Postscript: Jeffrey Goldberg confirms the aforementioned summary, especially item-5, in *the Atlantic*, October 29, 2010, 'For the First Time, the TSA Meets Resistance'



"I asked him if the new guidelines included a cavity search. "No way. You think Congress would allow that?"

I answered, "If you're a terrorist, you're going to hide your weapons in your anus or your vagina." He blushed when I said "vagina."

"Yes, but starting tomorrow, we're going to start searching your crotch area" -- this is the word he used, "crotch area" -- and you're not going to like it."

"What am I not going to like?" I asked.

"We have to search up your thighs and between your legs until we meet resistance," he explained.

"Resistance?" I asked.

"Your testicles," he explained.

"That's funny," I said, "because 'The Resistance' is the actual name I've given to my testicles."

He answered, "Like 'The Situation,' that guy from 'Jersey Shore?'"

Yes, exactly, I said. (I used to call my testicles "The Insurgency," but those assholes in Iraq ruined the term.)

I pointed out to the security officer that 50 percent of the American population has no balls (90 percent in Washington, D.C., where I live), so what is going to happen when the pat-down officer meets no resistance in the crotch area of women? "If there's no resistance, then there's nothing there."

"But what about people who hide weapons in their cavities? I asked. I actually said "vagina" again, just to see him blush. "We're just not going there," he reiterated.

I asked him if he was looking forward to conducting the full-on pat-downs. "Nobody's going to do it," he said, "once they find out that we're going to do."

In other words, people, when faced with a choice, will inevitably choose the Dick-Measuring Device over molestation? "That's what we're hoping for. We're trying to get everyone into the machine." He called over a colleague. "Tell him what you call the back-scatter," he said. "The Dick-Measuring Device," I said. "That's the truth," the other officer responded.

The pat-down at BWI was fairly vigorous, by the usual tame standards of the TSA, but it was nothing like the one I received the next day at T.F. Green in Providence. Apparently, I was the very first passenger to ask to opt-out of back-scatter imaging. Several TSA officers heard me choose the pat-down, and they reacted in a way meant to make the ordinary passenger feel very badly about his decision. One officer said to a colleague who was obviously going to be assigned to me, "Get new gloves, man, you're going to need them where you're going."

Jeffrey Goldberg offers the following summation of lessons learned. He is right on the money on the first two. But he misses entirely on his third lesson, demonstrating his deep axiomatic faith in the establishment's core-narratives du jour. See the Conclusion section which provides my own commonsensical summation that these "*terrorist plots*" are themselves a fabrication.

"I draw three lessons from this week's experience: The pat-down, while more effective than previous pat-downs, will not stop dedicated and clever terrorists from smuggling on board small weapons or explosives. When I served as a military policeman in an Israeli army prison, many of the prisoners "bangled" contraband up their asses. I know this not because I checked, but because eventually they told me this when I asked.

The second lesson is that the effectiveness of pat-downs does not matter very much, because the obvious goal of the TSA is to make the pat-down embarrassing enough for the average passenger that the vast majority of people will choose high-tech humiliation over the low-tech ball check.

The third lesson remains constant: By the time terrorist plotters make it to the airport, it is, generally speaking, too late to stop them. Plots must be broken up

long before the plotters reach the target. If they are smart enough to make it to the airport without arrest, it is almost axiomatically true that they will be smart enough to figure out a way to bring weapons aboard a plane.” ---
<http://www.theatlantic.com/national/archive/2010/10/for-the-first-time-the-tsa-meets-resistance/65390/>

Note: The image above is for illustrative purpose only. It is NOT from an AIT scanner.

Postscript-2: Statement of Enhanced Pat-downs from TSA's own BLOG


'You may have read about TSA implementing enhanced pat downs as part of our layered approach to security. Using the latest intelligence, TSA constantly updates our screening procedures to stay ahead of those who wish to do us harm and keep the skies safe for the flying public. When developing our security procedures, we use input from across the agency, including our Offices of Intelligence, Privacy, and Civil Rights and Liberties.

To add some perspective, TSA has used pat downs since our agency started federalizing checkpoints in 2002. They're an effective way of helping us keep dangerous items such as weapons or improvised explosive devices off of planes.

So, what might cause you to receive a pat-down? Passengers may receive a pat-down in a number of circumstances: to resolve an alarm at a walk-through metal detector; if an anomaly is detected during screening with advanced imaging technology; or during random screening. Passengers who opt out of enhanced screening such as advanced imaging technology will receive an equivalent level of screening to include a thorough pat-down. Remember, you can always request to be screened in a private area.

You shouldn't expect to see the same security procedures at every airport. Our security measures are designed to be unpredictable and are constantly assessed and updated to address evolving threats.' ---
<http://blog.tsa.gov/2010/08/enhanced-pat-downs.html>

**Postscript-3 A closer look at an Image from the AIT (Advanced Imaging Technology)
Body-scan machine vs. photoshop**



Caption The “Dick-Measuring Device”. Portrait of an Artist, Self-Image by John Wild taken with Backscatter X-ray fully-body scanner at Manchester Airport UK (Image Source)



Caption What can the 'naked' scanner really see? **A fabricated image depicting a fabricated threat in this fabricated War on Terror** (Image original Source, the image has now been removed)

Click [here](#) and [here](#) to verify that the image is fabricated; [here](#) to understand that terror threats are fabricated; and [here](#) to confirm that the War on Terror is also fabricated ab initio.

And you might sensibly well ask WHY?

Why do they need this 'War on Terror', the constant terror alerts, the myriad other global threats and crises – from financial to pandemic to global warming – all of which ***“look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality,”*** to literally bring mankind to its knees in submission?

Well, let's gallantly permit the elites' own mouthpiece, the Financial Times, to inform one in their own eloquent words:

'I have never believed that there is a secret United Nations plot to take over the US. I have never seen black helicopters hovering in the sky above Montana. But, for the first time in my life, I think the formation of some sort of world government is plausible. A “world government” would involve much more than

co-operation between nations. It would be an entity with state-like characteristics, backed by a body of laws. The European Union has already set up a continental government for 27 countries, which could be a model. The EU has a supreme court, a currency, thousands of pages of law, a large civil service and the ability to deploy military force. So could the European model go global? There are three reasons for thinking that it might. First, it is increasingly clear that the most difficult issues facing national governments are international in nature: there is global warming, a global financial crisis and a “global war on terror”.’ --- Gideon Rachman, And now for a world government, Financial Times, December 8 2008

Postscript-4 What the officials say about anonymity and storage of body-scan images


The UK Telegraph of March 03, 2010 cited above which reported the two female passengers being barred from flight after refusing body scan on religious and medical grounds, also reported from the Manchester Airport spokeswoman:

“Body scanning is a big change for customers who are selected under the new rules and we are aware that privacy concerns are on our customers' minds, which is why we have put strict procedures to reassure them that their privacy will be protected.”

The UK Telegraph further stated:

'Last month, Transport Secretary Lord Adonis stressed that an interim code of practice on the use of body scanners stipulated that passengers would not be selected *“on the basis of personal characteristics”*. He stressed that images captured by body scanners are immediately deleted after the passenger has gone through and that security staff are appropriately trained and supervised.'

The following video of the trialling of the body-scan machine at Manchester Airport is revealing of the official UK Government position:



Caption Video Body X-ray scanner trialled at Manchester Airport - The Telegraph 13 Oct 2009. Click on the image to watch the Airport Spokesperson Sarah Barrett defend the trial of full body-scan.

The Manchester Airport Spokesperson Sarah Barrett in the above video stated the following:

'Firstly, we have made sure it's safe. So its been certified by the National Radiological Protection Board. There are part of the Health Protection Agency. And they are the people who certify medical equipment, medical x-rays fit for use. So they have given it their stamp of approval.

We have also made the process completely anonymous. So you will see from the images, you cannot, it is impossible to recognize an individual's face from the image.

They are not photographic images. They don't show skin color, they are not erotic, they are not pornographic, completely anonymous.

The operator viewing the image sits remotely, in a different part of the airport. They don't sit in the public search area, and the machine in which they are viewing the image doesn't have the capability to store images.

So the images can't be stored, you know recorded, forwarded on to anybody. They are literally on the screen for a few seconds and then they are off.

... We want to give our customers the experience that they want. We know they don't like security at the moment. They don't like the physical pat down. They tolerate it, because they want to be safe. But a lot of them are telling us that they would welcome an alternative. And that's why we are trialling this technology.' -- <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/newsvideo/6316975/Body-X-ray-scanner-trialled-at-Manchester-Airport.html>

As if the Image from the “*Dick Measuring Device*” in Postscript-3 above and mainstream news reports aren't prima facie evidence of the disaffirmation of the official narratives of the incapacibilities of the AIT body-scanners, the following US headline '**Feds admit storing checkpoint body scan images**' in CNET News of August 4, 2010 is outright evidence of the official deployment of public-deception alongside the body-scanners:

'For the last few years, federal agencies have defended body scanning by insisting that all images will be discarded as soon as they're viewed. The Transportation Security Administration claimed last summer, for instance, that **“scanned images cannot be stored or recorded.”**

Now it turns out that some police agencies are storing the controversial images after all. The U.S. Marshals Service admitted this week that it had surreptitiously saved tens of thousands of images recorded with a millimeter wave system at the security checkpoint of a single Florida courthouse.' -- http://news.cnet.com/8301-31921_3-20012583-281.html

While EPIC has filed a lawsuit to suspend the deployment of body scanners at US airports, they are up against the empirical state policy to create police-state USA to protect Americans from terrorists, like the UK and the EU. Therefore, all organs of state officially support that policy. Hence, EPIC's principled arguments will most assuredly remain ineffectual in practice:

'On July 2, 2010, EPIC filed a petition for review and motion for an emergency stay, urging the District of Columbia Court of Appeals to suspend the Transportation Security Administration's (TSA) full body scanner program. EPIC said that the program is “*unlawful, invasive, and ineffective.*” EPIC argued that the federal agency has violated the Administrative Procedures Act, the Privacy

Act, the Religious Freedom Restoration Act, and the Fourth Amendment. EPIC cited the invasive nature of the devices, the TSA's disregard of public opinion, and the impact on religious freedom.' ---
http://epic.org/privacy/body_scanners/epic_v_dhs_suspension_of_body.html

Postscript-5 Miss USA Susie Castillo Sexually Assaulted TSA Style – Dallas Airport April 21, 2011

Update June 15, 2011: Susie Castillo is taking her challenge to the next level: Former Miss USA, Ralph Nader, Privacy Advocates Fight Full Body Airport Scanners and Invasive Pat-Downs, http://www.democracynow.org/2011/6/13/former_miss_usa_winner_and_ralph



Excerpted From Susie's BLOG:

'My TSA Pat Down Experience

Dear Friends,

To be quite honest, I almost didn't post this video and blog because I kept asking myself, "Am I just being a baby?" I'm also not one to stir up controversy. In fact, I do my best to live a very positive and healthy life. However, in the situation I'm about to describe, I felt truly violated and believe I should let my

voice be heard. Ultimately, I hope others will do the same. Mahatma Gandhi famously said, "Be the change you want to see in the world." So, that's what I'm doing by sharing this experience:

On my overnight, 11-hour flight back to Los Angeles last week after hosting the red carpet premiere for "Fast Five" in Rio De Janeiro, I connected in Dallas Fort Worth (DFW) where I had the misfortune of being selected to go through one of those full body scanners that emits radiation. Now, if you don't fly very often, you might not worry about it. But I'm a frequent flyer and don't wish to be irradiated more than I already am on flights (we get a pretty large dose of radiation while flying due to our proximity to the sun; the longer your flight, the more your radiation exposure) and in life in general (we're exposed to radiation all day every day; it's called "background radiation"). So I will always "opt out" of going through these body scanners, especially since the long-term effects of radiation are quite well documented: it mutates our cells, often in irreversible ways, and causes cancer. I'm all set with that!

Anyway, after "opting out," I proceeded to follow a very nice older female TSA employee to the "pat down" area. It was an inconvenience, but I thought, "No biggie. I just went through this at LAX for the first time and it wasn't too bad, so let's just get it over with."

Well, this pat down was completely different. It was MUCH MORE invasive than my first one at LAX, just a week before. To say that I felt invaded is an understatement. What bothered me most was when she ran the back of her hands down my behind, felt around my breasts, and even came in contact with my vagina! Honestly, I was in shock, especially since the woman at LAX never actually touched me there. The TSA employee at DFW touched private area 4 times, going up both legs from behind and from the front, each time touching me there. Was I at my gynecologist's office? No! This was crazy!

I felt completely helpless and violated during the entire process (in fact, I still do), so I became extremely upset. If I wanted to get back to Los Angeles, I had no choice but to be violated, whether by radiation or a stranger. I just kept thinking, "What have I done to deserve this treatment as an upstanding, law-abiding American citizen?" Am I a threat to US security? I was Miss USA, for

Pete's sake!

...

I have never felt more violated in my life than I did that morning at DFW, and I've heard of others feeling the same way after these "pat downs." Just a couple weeks ago, a video of a six-year-old girl being patted down by TSA made headlines. Talk about unjust and unacceptable. So I decided to speak to a TSA supervisor about how I felt, and make a video about what happened to post on my blog.

This "pat down" is a total violation of our rights (we shouldn't be searched this way without probable cause or a search warrant), therefore I will be filing a complaint via phone, online and also in writing with the TSA to complain each and every time for as long as the TSA is violating my rights. I'm 100% against it, and if you care about your freedom, you should be too. This is precisely the kind of unjust search and seizure our Constitution was created to protect us from.

...

Here's the video I made right after going through the invasive, unjust, unconstitutional and ineffective "pat down." Honestly, I don't like that I'm putting myself out there like this, but I wanted you to know what happened, what I was feeling, and that I hope you, too, will speak up if and when this happens to you. We shouldn't be giving up our liberties as Americans because of our fears. The government can't keep us safe. No matter how much they promise us they can, it's a false promise.

Watch Video

And here's the TSA compliment/complaint card that I filled out and mailed to the DFW TSA. I'll be filling one out each time I get "patted down" at an airport. Hopefully tears won't be involved every time:



Transportation
Security
Administration

Help us improve our customer service by completing and returning this card to a TSA drop-box or to a TSA supervisor or manager.

Date: 4/21/11 Time: 7:15 AM Airport: Dallas Fort Worth

Date/Time of Travel: (see above) Airline & flight number: AA 2411

Checkpoint/area of airport: D gates TSA Employee(if known): _____

COMPLIMENT/COMPLAINT(summarize): After opting 'out' of going through the body scanner due to health reasons, I found the 'PAT down' to be INCREDIBLY invasive. My private area was grazed 4 times! Is this necessary? I feel totally violated and degraded, and started crying after the process was over. I would like to express how deeply upset I am about this and, as a frequent flyer, would like to see this violation of my rights changed.

Passenger's Name: (optional, so we can follow-up with you) Susie Castillo

(Optional) Phone number _____ e-mail: _____

NOTE: If you wish to seek payment from TSA for damaged or missing items, you must file a claim on-line at www.tsa.gov or through the TSA Contact Center at 1-866-289-9673.

Collection of this information is made under 49 U.S.C. 114(e) & (f). Providing this information is voluntary. TSA will use the information to improve customer service and may share it with airport operators for this purpose. For more information, please consult DHS/TSA 006 Correspondence and Matters Tracking Records. It will take no more than 5 minutes to complete this form. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The control number assigned to this collection is OMB 1652-0030, which expires 1/31/2012. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information including suggestions for reducing this burden to TSA/ 601 S. 12th Street, Arlington, VA 22202. ATTN: PRA 1652-0030.


Like I say in the video, we as individuals have to speak up and protect our liberty. If you feel like your Constitutional rights have been violated by the TSA, please follow my lead and contact your Congressional representatives. Let them know that if they want your vote they must stand up for our rights. ...' ---
<http://susiecastillo.net/blog/2011/4/25/my-tsa-pat-down-experience.html>

Thank you Susie Castillo for speaking out so courageously as a citizen. However, ***"Let them know that if they want your vote they must stand up for our rights."*** - isn't going to work. Please see Not-Voting is a 'YES' vote to Reject a Corrupt System which thrives on the facade of Elections and Democracy! and Flashback: From President George W. Bush to President Barrack Obama – More faces change, more they remain the same!.

Postscript-6 CNN Meredith Jessup Report: 'TSA Behavior Indicator: Anyone who Displays arrogance and verbally expresses contempt for the screening process' is a possible terrorist

[Watch Video](#)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jVydvyjXVc>



Postscript-7 May 27, 2011 An Open Letter to State Officials in Response to the DOJ Threat Letter ("WE TOUCH YOUR PRIVATES OR YOU DON'T FLY Dept. of Justice letter threatens TSA will cancel flights in Texas if agents are not allowed to touch passengers' private areas" Press Release Office of Texas State Representative David Simpson May 25, 2011)

'An Open Letter to State Officials in Response to the DOJ Threat Letter

Dear Sirs:

Today you received a letter from Mr. John E. Murphy, United States Attorney, Western District of Texas in regards to House Bill 1937 currently up for consideration by the Senate.

In his letter, Mr. Murphy made a veiled threat to the elected officials of Texas that if we move to protect the constitutional rights of our citizens, the TSA could shut down flights to and from Texas airports.

175 years ago in the first battle of the Texas Revolution against Mexico, a small band of Texans stood in defiance at Gonzalez, turning back the attempt to

deprive them of their weapon of defense, a single cannon.

Gentlemen, we find ourselves at such a watershed moment today. The federal government is attempting to deprive the citizens of Texas of their constitutional rights under the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution and Article 1, Section 9 of the Texas Constitution. If we do not stand for our citizens in the face of this deprivation of their personal rights and dignity, who will?

Time is critical. If the bill does not pass the Senate tonight it may very well be dead until the next legislative session. Meanwhile, our wives, our children, our mothers and grandmothers, will be rudely violated by federal employees out of control.

My response to Mr. Murphy's factually inaccurate letter follows. Please give this matter your immediate attention.

For Texas And Liberty!

David Simpson

John E. Murphy, U.S, Attorney letter states:

TSA False Statement: "As you no doubt are aware, the bill makes it a crime for a federal Transportation Security Official to perform the security screening that he or she is authorized and required by federal law to perform."

Truth: HB 1937 states that a person commits an offense if, while acting under color of the person's office or employment without probable cause, performs a search for the purpose of granting access to a publicly accessible building or form of transportation and intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly touches the anus, sexual organ, buttocks or breasts of the other person. We know of no federal law that requires this kind of search without probable cause.

TSA False Statement: "The proposed legislation would make it unlawful for a federal agent such as a TSO to perform certain specified searches for the purpose of granting access to a publicly accessible building or form of transportation. The provision would thus criminalize searches that are required

under federal regulations in order to ensure the safety of the American public.”

Truth: HB 1937 grants a defense to prosecution for an offense that the actor performed pursuant to and consistent with an explicit and applicable grant of federal statutory authority that is consistent with the United States Constitution.

So, if there is actually statutory authority consistent with the Constitution, all an agent must do is bring that statute to the attention of the court. In other words, Texas needs to tell the Department of Justice, “You show me yours (statutory authority) and I’ll show you mine (privates)”

TSA False Statement: “The legislation also makes it crime for a public servant, as defined in the bill, to deny or impede another person in the exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege, knowing that the public servant’s conduct is unlawful. As a result, it appears that the intent of the bill is to preclude a TSO from turning away from the secure area of an airport someone who otherwise would have been subjected to a pat down as a condition of entry.”

Truth: Actually, it is already current law in Texas that public servants cannot intentionally deny or impede another person in the exercise or enjoyment of any privilege, power, or immunity, knowing the public servant’s conduct is unlawful. TSO would only be precluded from touching specific private areas of an individual without probable cause to believe the person committed an offense. The bill will not prohibit a TSO from using administrative screening methods with metal detectors, scanners, explosive sniffing dogs or pat downs that do not include touching the travelers anus, breasts, sexual organs, or buttocks.

TSA False Statement: The effect of this bill, if enacted, would be to interfere directly with the Transportation Administration’s (TSA) responsibility for civil aviation security. 49 U.S. C Section 114 (d); 6 U.S.C. Section 202 (1). Congress has directed the Administrator of TSA to take ‘necessary actions to improve domestic air transportation security,’ 49 U.S.C. Section 44904(e), and directed him to “prescribe regulations to protect passengers and property on aircraft . . . against an act of criminal violence or aircraft piracy.” Id. Section 44903(b). Congress has directed TSA to provide for “the screening of all passengers and property . . . before boarding,” in order to ensure that no passenger is unlawfully carrying a dangerous weapon, explosive, or other destructive substance. Id.

Sections 44901(a), 44902(a), 114(e). If the Administrator determines that “a particular threat cannot be addressed in a way adequate to ensure. . . the safety of passengers and crew of a particular flight,” he “shall cancel the flight or series of flights.” Id Section 44905(b)

Truth: Nowhere in the language cited is there statutory authority for a government agent to touch the breasts, anus, sexual organs, or buttocks of a traveler. And, no where in the Texas legislation does it prohibit that touching if there is probable cause to believe an offense has been committed. HB 1937 merely works on the premise that Texans don't have to forfeit their dignity to exercise their right of free travel.

TSA False Statement: HB 1937 would conflict directly with federal law.

Truth: It is perplexing that the United States Attorney would make a statement saying one thing while citing examples that do not substantiate the remark. Either he intentionally misrepresented the truth, was unaware of the actual language of HB 1937, or has other statutes to validate his statement. No comment on the first two scenarios, but if the third scenario exists, then the defense to prosecution will apply and Americans will be made aware of the actions of their elected officials.

TSA False Statement: The practical import of the bill is that it would threaten criminal prosecution of TSA personnel who carry out the security procedures required under federal statutes and TSA regulations passed to implement those statutes. Those officials cannot be put to the choice of risking criminal prosecution or carrying out their federal duties. Under the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution, Texas has no authority to regulate federal agents and employees in the performance of their federal duties or to pass a statute that conflicts with federal law.

Truth: HB 1937 only threatens criminal prosecution if there is inappropriate touching and there is no federal statute consistent with the United States Constitution to do so. As elected officials, Texas legislators have taken an oath to uphold both the U.S. Constitution and the Texas Constitution. In that capacity, they not only have authority to pass a statute that would reign in the daily violation of Fourth Amendment rights, they have a responsibility to do so.

TSA Threat: If HB 1937 were enacted, the federal government would likely seek an emergency stay of the statute. Unless or until such a stay were granted, TSA would likely be required to cancel any flight or series of flights for which it could not ensure the safety of passengers and crew.

Truth: The United States Attorney has thrown down the gauntlet. Either Texas backs off and continues to let government employees fondle innocent women, children and men as a condition of travel, or the TSA has the authority to cancel flights or series of flights.

Homeland Security Secretary Janet Napolitano says, "Well, actually, very, very, very few people get a pat-down."

Atlanta TSA spokesman Jon Allen told us (Hot Air) that during March, 3 percent of air passengers were subject to a pat-down. The TSA collects this data by monitoring "data from select airports throughout the year," he wrote in an email. That number is "consistent with that of previous time periods." ...

So, 97 percent of people who go through the nation's airports do not go through these offensive searches. And yet, a United State's Attorney warns that flights to Texas could be shut down because TSA would not be able to ensure the safety of passengers and crew if agents could not touch the genitals of the other 3 percent.

Someone must make a stand against the atrocities of our government agents. As Reagan said, ***"If not us, who? And if not now, when?"***

Source URL Open Letter (<http://davidsimpson.com/blog/?postid=70>)

I would first like to appreciate the courage of this bold Texas Representative, Mr. David Simpson. Thank you Sir!!

However, had the good Representative of the peoples of Texas read Project Humanbeingsfirst's Body Scan Alert before writing his excellent exposition of dissent, he surely would have outright challenged the entire state of fiction of this "War on Terror", rather than merely the degree to which it is tolerable to the State of Texas. Indeed, I dare to surmise that were Mr. David Simpson properly informed that it is not just that ***"Someone must make a***

stand against the atrocities of our government agents”, but that someone must make a stand against the ruling oligarchy that is ruining the United States of America through their government errand boys for their own private globalist agenda, and that these vile indignities being inflicted upon the public at American and other Western airports are but a mere link in the chain of fear based Pavlovian conditioning of the public, he would surely have challenged the whole body of false axioms upon which the entire geometry of “War on Terror” is fabricated.

As Mr. Simpson truthfully observes on his website: ***“David Simpson was grateful to be elected State Representative of House District 7 in 2010”***, a sentiment which he has already shown to be genuine by boldly standing up for the dignity of his peoples to the degree that he has, I have no doubt that he would be just as fearless in resisting the enactment of this entire Alice in Wonderland fiction to safeguard the larger interests of his own nation.

To humbly assist in that endeavor, this report has been emailed to the specified contact person to forward to the good Representative: Kathi.Seay@house.state.tx.us, Tel: 512-463-0750.

Conclusion



These intrusive measures have little to do with keeping the childishly insecure Americans, and the poor orphans of the beleaguered Western Anglo-Saxon nations, safe from the Islamofascist terrorists, and more to do with their systematic Pavlovian conditioning in increasingly draconian stages to get them to willingly accept big brother.

One arrives at this logical conclusion based on the self-evident observation that the Anglo-American governments are keen on ushering in big brother under the pretext of fighting terror.

But as Aldous Huxley had observed in 1961 at UC Berkeley in his speech titled **The Ultimate Revolution:**

“it seems to me that the nature of the Ultimate Revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: that we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude!”

Only with the knowledge of social and political sciences can one even begin to appreciate the social engineering purposes of these otherwise rather senseless and vilely intrusive measures in which all organs of state, at both local and federal levels, in all Western nations, are participant.

Therefore, it follows that, only individual peoples in large numbers, courageous peoples refusing all indignities at Airports, who can practicably overturn this measure.

While no sensible person espousing traditional modesty would recommend stripping down to a bare poodle like what Tammy Banovac did at Oklahoma City airport, finding commonsense ways of demonstrating the immorality, unconstitutionality, and outright absurdity of these vile TSA measures is most essential, like what the two young Harvard Law school students did. Congressman Ron Paul recently submitted a Bill in the US Congress which sensibly argues that if it is a crime for a private individual to grope and molest you, it should be a crime for Federal employees to grope and molest you as well! Nothing can be expected to come out it as the entire Congress is part of the same problem-space and entirely responsible for police-state USA in the same measure that they are entirely responsible for America's perpetual 'War on Terror' and the passages of its Patriot and other Enabling Acts. Individual Congressman pitching the lofty Jeffersonian notions of Liberty of yesteryear cannot do a thing unless they can get majority vote on their Bill. We all know empirically where the *Janus faced* Congress' primary allegiances lie.

Just witness this [Reuters report](#) of May 26, 2011 with the self-explanatory headline ten years into the fabricated “War on Terror”, **Congress votes to renew anti-terrorism steps**:

'WASHINGTON (Reuters) - Congress, racing the clock and rejecting demands for additional safeguards of civil liberties, passed a bill Thursday to renew three expiring provisions of the anti-terrorism Patriot Act. With the provisions set to expire at midnight Thursday (0400 GMT on Friday), the Republican-led House of Representatives approved the measure, 250-153, just hours after it cleared the Democratic-led Senate, 72-23.' (cached [here](#))

Therefore, it is entirely up to individual peoples to take their liberty, their dignity, and their sensibilities, into their own hands.

Unless individual public protest spreads quickly however, unless more and more people increasingly behave like the courageous San Diegan John Tyner, and the measured but persistent traveler Matt Kernan, it will become fait accompli as it gets drawn out. Because, good people will eventually give up after their initial flurry of protesting these physical and emotional assaults on their bodies. The big brother government is counting on exactly that! They have already proclaimed that they don't do policy based on *"focus groups"*!

If you still remain unconvinced that the terrorist threat is fabricated by the State itself, that your government is run by psychopathic liars who have taken America from lies to lies towards its calculated demise as a sovereign nation-state, that its escalating security mantra followed by its escalating totalitarian measures is entirely for training you to acquiesce to the gradual loss of your liberties in the name of security, then by all means, go through the *"Dick Measuring Device"*, while also recalling the pragmatic words of your own founding father: ***"those who trade their essential liberties for some temporary safety deserve neither!"***

Do you not remember that your own government had told you that the terrorists hit America on 9/11 because they hated your freedoms? Well, the terrorists seemed to have succeeded beyond their own wildest expectations in taking it all away from you so easily!



And as you marvel at the remarkable powers of persuasion of *Ali Baba* to screw the entire Western world with nothing but some primitive box-cutters, a pair of well-worn tennis shoes, and fancy underwear, do keep looking down the neckline of that buxom 38-D sitting next to you on the plane/train/bus for any signs of restlessness, for she could be carrying some modified IUD implants which the TSA's surface gropes failed to detect. But Perhaps you might be more concerned with that suspicion falling

upon your own wife and daughter? For, not just at airports, they could be pulled over at the shopping mall next and ordered to bare it all or go through that body-scanner! Watch this [video](#) if you don't believe that your lovely six year old child can ever be designated a threat to

“national security” and groped up the wazoo in this diabolical calculus of America's obedience training.

TSA, at least for now, has openly admitted to glossing over certain inner sanctums of the public's bodies, perhaps waiting for that convenient “crotch area bomber” or the “breast implant bomber” to trigger willing compliance with that final loss of human dignity. As already reported by CNN in September 2010, **10,000 TSA employees, one sixth of the agency's workforce, get secret clearances**, to ensure that very outcome! It will be coming to your Safeway and Macys soon enough.

Once you become a creature of habit in the police-state whereby all big brother absurdities make sense to you, where you routinely count 2 + 2 equal 5, you have arrived in the world of “1984”. Watch the movie to remind yourself what you are automatically accepting for tomorrow when you stand for these vile indignities today. Recall that you began yesterday with stupidly standing in line with your dirty shoes in hand to keep you safe. Now you stupidly open up your private parts for strangers to grope, or image by exposing you to cumulative deadly radiation, to keep you safe! If you don't act today, prison-state West will become your safest zeitgeist. This is precisely what's been planned long before 9/11, because, as rationalized by the philosopher of one-world government in his book *The Impact of Science on Society*, “*World government could only be kept in being by force*” under the scientific dictatorship of the elite.

Thank you

Zahir Ebrahim | Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/11/body-scan-alert-not-suffering-indignity.html>

Mirror URL: <http://bloghumanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/2010/11/06/body-scan-alert-not-suffering-indignities-at-airports-by-zahir-ebrahim/>

Source PDF: <http://humanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/files/2011/06/body-scan-alert-not-suffering-indignity-at-american-airports-updated-june152011.pdf>

Last updated 06/15/2011 17:00:07 9154

Chapter 10 White Man's Burden

The White Man's Burden appears Uniformly Distributed among Jews Christians and Atheists – how can one tell the difference?

'If you know the enemy and know yourself, you need not fear the result of a hundred battles. If you know yourself but not the enemy, for every victory gained you will also suffer a defeat. If you know neither the enemy nor yourself, you will succumb in every battle.' -- Sun Tzu, The Art of War



Caption Why ostriches are very happy creatures.... (image source National Geographic)

This is part7 of my confusion series on trying to think for myself. It is a bloody confusing exercise which I wouldn't wish to inflict even upon my most despicable enemies – they already think plenty for themselves. See earlier episodes here: part1, part2, part3, part4, part5, and part6. This is the final episode as I have promised myself to no longer be plagued by this curse of independent thought. The comfort of following the experts, the know-it-all “illuminated ones” from the vast spectrum of leaders offered to the plebes, is much more opiate, if not completely soothing to the soul. A dead intellect is the best one for the sheep – and of course, the shepherds delight.

I begin by reproducing the famous 1899 poem, *The White man's Burden*, by Rudyard Kipling. Its full title in syncopation with the actual *white man's burden* of the time, actually was: “**The White Man's Burden: The United**

States and The Philippine Islands”. And it went like this:

Take up the White Man's burden--
Send forth the best ye breed--
Go bind your sons to exile

To serve your captives' need;
To wait in heavy harness,
On fluttered folk and wild--
Your new-caught, sullen peoples,
Half-devil and half-child.

Take up the White Man's burden--
In patience to abide,
To veil the threat of terror
And check the show of pride;
By open speech and simple,
An hundred times made plain
To seek another's profit,
And work another's gain.

Take up the White Man's burden--
The savage wars of peace--
Fill full the mouth of Famine
And bid the sickness cease;
And when your goal is nearest
The end for others sought,
Watch sloth and heathen Folly
Bring all your hopes to nought.

Take up the White Man's burden--
No tawdry rule of kings,
But toil of serf and sweeper--
The tale of common things.
The ports ye shall not enter,
The roads ye shall not tread,
Go mark them with your living,
And mark them with your dead.

Take up the White Man's burden--
And reap his old reward:
The blame of those ye better,

The hate of those ye guard--
The cry of hosts ye humour
(Ah, slowly!) toward the light:--
"Why brought he us from bondage,
Our loved Egyptian night?"

Take up the White Man's burden--
Ye dare not stoop to less--
Nor call too loud on Freedom
To cloke your weariness;
By all ye cry or whisper,
By all ye leave or do,
The silent, sullen peoples
Shall weigh your gods and you.

Take up the White Man's burden--
Have done with childish days--
The lightly proffered laurel,
The easy, ungrudged praise.
Comes now, to search your manhood
Through all the thankless years
Cold, edged with dear-bought wisdom,
The judgment of your peers! --- [Source](#)

According to *History Matters'* commentary on the poem:

'In February 1899, British novelist and poet Rudyard Kipling wrote a poem entitled "The White Man's Burden: The United States and The Philippine Islands." In this poem, Kipling urged the U.S. to take up the "burden" of empire, as had Britain and other European nations. Published in the February, 1899 issue of *McClure's Magazine*, the poem coincided with the beginning of the Philippine-American War and U.S. Senate ratification of the treaty that placed Puerto Rico, Guam, Cuba, and the Philippines under American control. Theodore Roosevelt, soon to become vice-president and then president, copied the poem and sent it to his friend, Senator Henry Cabot Lodge, commenting that it was "rather poor poetry, but good sense from the expansion point of

view.” Not everyone was as favorably impressed as Roosevelt. The racialized notion of the “White Man’s burden” became a euphemism for imperialism, and many anti-imperialists couched their opposition in reaction to the phrase.’ ---

Source

Because history matters so much – both for understanding the present for the thought bearing, and for confounding the present for the uninitiated in order to get them '*United We Stand*', not to mention for forging the future, any future, both good and bad – that Zbigniew Brzezinski had so astutely observed in *The Grand Chessboard* in 1996:

“The earlier empires were built by aristocratic political elites and were in most cases ruled by essentially authoritarian or absolutist regimes. The bulk of the populations of the imperial states were either politically indifferent, ... or infected by imperialist emotions ...a quest for national glory, 'the white man's burden', 'la mission civilisatrice', not to speak of the opportunities for personal profit – all served to mobilize support for imperial adventures to sustain essentially hierarchical imperial power pyramids. The attitude of American public toward the external projection of American power has been more ambivalent. The public supported America's engagement in WWII largely because of the shock effect of the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor. After the Cold War had ended, the emergence of the United States as the single global power did not evoke much public gloating but rather elicited an inclination toward more limited definitions of American responsibilities abroad. Public opinion polls conducted in 1995 – 1996 indicated a general public preference for 'sharing' power with others, rather than for its monopolistic exercise.” (24,25)

“It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America's power, especially its capacity for military intimidation. Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat or challenge to the public's sense of domestic well-being. The economic self-denial (that is defense spending), and the human sacrifice (casualties even among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to democratic instincts. Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization.” (35,36)

“Public opinion polls suggest that only a small minority (13 percent) of Americans favor the proposition that 'as the sole remaining superpower, the US should continue

to be the preeminent world leader in solving international problems'. ... Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multicultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat. More generally, cultural change in America may also be uncongenial to the sustained exercise abroad of genuinely imperial power. That exercise requires a high degree of doctrinal motivation, intellectual commitment, and patriotic gratification. ... Mass communications have been playing a particularly important role in that regard, generating a strong revulsion against any selective use of force that entails even low levels of casualties In brief, the U.S. Policy goals must be un-apologetically twofold: to perpetuate America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer,..." (211-215)

So, how to embark on "*imperial mobilization*" when the American *white man* (and the Western *white man* in general) are no longer themselves "*infected by imperialist emotions ...a quest for national glory, 'the white man's burden', 'la mission civilisatrice',*" kept engaged, and ensnared, as they all are, in chasing their respective '*American Dreams*'? Which, for the vast majority of '*white trash*' among them, have their dollars, pounds, and euros, sucked out of their pockets even before it can reach their dinner tables and their perpetual debt collectors? The political science principle of achieving "*imperial mobilization*" under such conditions is to engineer consent – just as one might engineer technology, or engineer the voyage to the moon. It takes a great deal of expertise, and very deep pockets. This social technology is examined in the Preamble of my 2008 report Weapons of Mass Deception, where it is explained how consent, as well as dissent, both must to be manufactured in order to be effective, employing different categories of deceptions tailored to the different audiences and their mental acumen.

This variance of having a differing audience, also necessitates making the manufacturing process, and its products, different at every level. From simple big lies for the vast majority, to complex layered ones which mix verifiable empirical truths, half-truths, and outright lies characterized by clever omissions, layer by layer, so that the remaining few, as well as institutions and organizations with group-think, can also find something believable to suit their own individual propensities. Myths and mantras are cleverly fabricated and presented to each audience according to their own limited world views and selfish priorities which are often shared with their respective political, social, cultural, and religious groups. These engineered myths and mantras always motivate people to act in accordance with those implanted beliefs. The end result being that the history's actors are able to get away Machiavellianly sowing their

irreversible faits accomplis amidst all the confusions which follow.

Different and often opposing myths also naturally seed the interesting *Technique of Infamy* among antagonist domestic groups, keeping people and cabals fully embroiled in vehemently debating who is right, who knows more, who is more intelligent, who is more pious, who is more peaceable, who is the avantgarde in thought and who are the sheep, etc. Such opposing “truths” deftly implanted among groups automatically enables setting one group against another – political philosopher Hegel's recipe for creating new order from old by orchestrating the continuous clash of the opposites known as Hegelian Dialectic – and we see this false Left--Right political paradigm orchestrated along those very lines, with almost an infinite layers in between, for that very purpose. And in the limit, internationally, it enables seeding total wars among nations – the key Machiavellian *modus operandi* for tearing down any old world order to create a new world order. Very powerful stuff. Such confabulations, hegelian mind-fcks I call them, were examined in depth in my essay on the Mighty Wurlitzer and the power of crafting enabling myths: [Wikileaks and Imperial Mobilization](#).

My essay [Unlayering the Middle East War Agenda: Making Sense of Absurdities](#) demonstrated that Brzezinski's prima facie motivation for inflicting *American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives* upon the world as expressed in *The Grand Chessboard*, which was chauvinistically stated as: “to perpetuate America's own dominant position for at least a generation and preferably longer,” was itself only a motivating myth. It was necessary for motivating America's vast military-industrial complex and the Pentagon warriors who all thrive on even small wars, and therefore would just love the idea of thriving endlessly in a Total War waged endlessly, the World War IV that will last “at least a generation and preferably longer,”.

The nihilistic notion of *Total War* similarly pushed by Brzezinski's confrere, Michael Ledeen, ostensibly to usher in regime changes throughout the New Middle East, is similarly absurd when one pauses to reflect that the old Middle East – ripe with installed dictators and implanted kingdoms oppressing their own peoples in service to the sole superpower along artificially contrived borders carved out from the remnants of the Ottoman empire at the conclusion of World War I – is entirely the orchestration of the same imperial masters now advocating the next cycle of regime changes:

“No stages. This is total war. We are fighting a variety of enemies. There are lots of them out there. All this talk about first we are going to do Afghanistan, then we will do Iraq... this is entirely the wrong way to go about it. If we just let

our vision of the world go forth, and we embrace it entirely and we don't try to piece together clever diplomacy, but just wage a total war... our children will sing great songs about us years from now." -- Michael Ledeen, speaking at the AEI (American Enterprise Institute), 10/29/2001, source

Why is Ledeen selling *Total War*? For the same covert motivation Zbigniew Brzezinski is selling America's preeminence in *The Grand Chessboard*. Everyone in the military-industrial complex is sold what they want to hear. But the real intent behind the chauvinism, as empiricism testifies, is to bankrupt America, to demoralize its public, while sowing chaos and destruction in the previous world order they had themselves established after the two World Wars. Disguised in polished vernacular and under the farcical pretext of regime changes, is the nihilism to seed chaos and demoralization among the public throughout the world. This is even apparent when one un-layers Michael Ledeen even with an ounce of forensic thinking, especially in the light of empiricism:

"Creative destruction is our middle name, both within our own society and abroad. We tear down the old order every day, from business to science, literature, art, architecture, and cinema to politics and the law. Our enemies have always hated this whirlwind of energy and creativity, which menaces their traditions (whatever they may be) and shames them for their inability to keep pace. Seeing America undo traditional societies, they fear us, for they do not wish to be undone. They cannot feel secure so long as we are there, for our very existence—our existence, not our politics—threatens their legitimacy. They must attack us in order to survive, just as we must destroy them to advance our historic mission." -- Michael A. Ledeen, *The War Against The Terror Masters: Why It Happened. Where We Are Now. Wow We'll Win*, 2003, pgs. 212-213

This is textbook Hegel. One can see this nihilistic theme being repeated over and over again, only the latest incarnation being the Egypt Revolution. This was examined in my article: Egypt and Tunisia – The 'arc of crisis' being radicalized!.

The agenda behind constructing all these "*revolutionary times*" along Zbigniew Brzezinski's "*arc of crisis*" using Michael Ledeen's "*total war*" for "*creative destruction*" wherein, the children of the *white man*, in Ledeen's own words: "*our children will sing great songs about us years from now*", is to make the final push for the globalists' one-world government. The white man has very clearly understood that which most 'untermenschen' have not, in David Ben Gurion's

own words: *"what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times"!*

To launch this renewed *white man's burden* for world government, entirely through deception, many many ruses, and many many peoples, from mercenaries to patsies, from manufactured ideologues to fabricated stooges, and from all walks of life and profession have been engaged.

Infiltrations are at every level, from physical to cognitive as per the old COINTELPRO style, and even at the level of the sub-conscious mind through both flag-waiving indoctrinations, and propaganda techniques pioneered by Edward Bernays. The latter had been successfully utilized by him to wage psy-op wars upon the peoples of all nations throughout World War I and World War II. Now refined to the level of science, this art of persuasion has been taken to new heights.

So, we have the Zionist Jews murdering the Palestinians to the sound of their trumpet while urging the superpower to wage endless war upon the world – the so called neo-cons. Then we have the American and its Allied Christian soldiers murdering the Iraqis, Afghanis, and Pakistanis, in large numbers, and again to the sound of even greater trumpets. Today, the blame for this *Total War* is entirely laid at the doorstep of the Jewish neo-cons who have visibly taken over almost all of the United States Government which is evidently being run from Tel Aviv.

But, is this mission of *Total War* through *Total Deception* hell of a lot different from *the white man's burden* of the not too distant past, when plain folks, quite genuinely *"infected by imperialist emotions ...a quest for national glory, 'the white man's burden', 'la mission civilisatrice', not to speak of the opportunities for personal profit – all served to mobilize support for imperial adventures to sustain essentially hierarchical imperial power pyramids."*?

The settlement of the Americas by genociding its native inhabitants, is the best example of genocide of the indigenous peoples as the noble Christian *white man's burden*. The conquest of the sea trade routes by the East India Company and the physical colonization of the Indian subcontinent, is the best example of colonizing an indigenous peoples into subservient bondage to the noble Christian white man's *la mission civilisatrice*. The unfortunate native populations of the Americas, Australia, etc., weren't so fortunate, as the noble Bible thumping white man actually coveted their land. In India they only coveted her vast riches – the Jewel in their crown!

Well, that same exercise with only minor syntactic sugaring, is being inflicted by the holy Jews

and noble atheistic soldiers of irredentist Zion upon the 'untermenschen' of Palestine today. Is it much different? Not according to the two Christian and Jewish statesmen, respective leaders of their nations. On the festive and felicitous occasion of the 60th anniversary of Israel's existence which was celebrated with much fanfare from Tel Aviv to Washington D.C., President George W. Bush along with his notable wife landed at Tel Aviv's Ben Gurion airport on May 14, 2008, to the warm greetings of Shimon Perez:

"Welcome to the new Israel: Three thousand years old, and going on sixty"

President Bush had effusively replied:

"Our two nations both faced great challenges when they were founded. And our two nations have both relied on the same principles to help us succeed. We built strong democracies to protect the freedoms given to us by an Almighty God"

And the late Tanya Reinhart, the Leftist Jew and respected dissent chief of many a rebel, made the exact same comparison after she experienced her *metanoia* and tried to explain to the world the indoctrination and false justifications she was infected with while growing up as a Zionist in Israel:

"The state of Israel founded in 1948 following a war which the Israelis call the War of Independence, and the Palestinians call the Nakba – the catastrophe. A haunted, persecuted people sought to find a shelter and a state for itself, and did so at a horrible price to another people. During the war of 1948, more than half of the Palestinian population at the time – 1,380,000 people – were driven off their homeland by the Israeli army. Though Israel officially claimed that a majority of refugees fled and were not expelled, it still refused to allow them to return, as a UN resolution demanded shortly after 1948 war. Thus, the Israeli land was obtained through ethnic cleansing of the indigenous Palestinian inhabitants. This is not a process unfamiliar in history. Israel's actions remain incomparable to the massive ethnic cleansing of Native Americans by the settlers and government of the United states. Had Israel stopped there, in 1948, I could probably live with it. As an Israeli, I grew up believing that this primal sin our state was founded on may be forgiven one day, because the founder's generation was driven by the faith that this was the only way to save the Jewish people from the danger of another holocaust." -- Tanya Reinhart,

An honest comparison which evidently learned rebels like Alan Hart, another favorite rebel of the dissent space, who keep comparing the Zionist enterprise in Palestine to the Nazis, fail to make. I examined this inexplicable failure in response to Alan Hart's characterization of Israel as "The New Nazis", in [No, No - Not the 'New Nazis'](#), January 2009.

Therefore, concluding the preceding analysis, I have to wonder how comes the brilliant white man is suddenly so naive that he fails to see the parallel *white man's burdens* in all his holier than thou blanket Jew bashing? I hope I may be forgiven today, in 2011, that I am entirely unable to differentiate one *white man's burden* from another. Can you tell them apart in the images below? Please click on the image and it will take you to a more detailed exposition in case you feel you are able tell the difference:

Hidden No Longer:



Caption Hidden no longer: Genocide in the Americas by the pious Christians bearing the gift of *the white man's burden* to the native Indian tribes hiddennolonger.com



Caption Genesis to Genocide in Palestine by the pious Jews bearing the gift of *the white man's burden* to the Arabs and Muslims



Caption Exodus, destruction, death and chaos, inflicted by the pious bearing gifts of Western civilization's new *white man's burden*, the war on terror, to Pakistan with copious help from the Pakistani House Negroes



Caption Exodus, destruction, death and chaos, inflicted by the pious bearing gifts of Western civilization's new *white man's burden*, the war on terror, to Afghanistan



Caption Exodus, destruction, death and chaos, inflicted by the pious bearing gifts of Western civilization's new *white man's burden*, the war on terror, to Iraq



Caption Jesus has come in May to Afghanistan: US troops urged to share faith in Afghanistan
- 04 May 09



Caption US Soldiers in Afghanistan Told to “*hunt people for Jesus... so we get them into the kingdom*” rebelreports

And, I would be sorely remiss in not condemning the brazen and pernicious role of *House Negroes* in dutifully carrying *the white man's burden* as their own. Pakistani negroes and native informants are famous for their being trivially flattered into the *massa's* lair. Quoting from the former Director of ISI's memoirs, *Profiles of Intelligence*: 'A lot has been said and written by some of our American friends about the price of a Pakistani. Dr. Andrew V. Corry, US Counsel General at Lahore, once said, **“Price of a Pakistani oscillates between a free trip to the US and a bottle of whisky.”** He may not be too far wrong. We did observe some highly placed Pakistanis selling their conscience, prestige, dignity and self-respect for a small price.' (Brig. Tirmazi, Director of Pakistan's ISI at the time of the judicial homicide of its elected primeminister Zulfikar Ali Bhutto, *Profiles of Intelligence*, 1995, pg. 45).

Can you easily tell the difference between the burden of the *white man* and his *house niggers*:



Caption **Shameless Stooges** at the *massa's* table in payoff for "Bukakke" services rendered. Tahir ul-Qadri and Imran Khan are not simpleton patsies – they know exactly what they are doing by echoing empire's axioms on Terrorism. Just being invited to sit at the *white man's* table and utter gibberish in gratitude is evidently sufficient incentive for Pakistani *brown-sahibs* to commit treason. The Western establishment's cultivated *Trojan Horses* among Muslims to institute *beneficial religious-political diversity* (sic!), namely "moderate Islam", Qadri-Khan make even Mir Jafar/Mir Sadiq duo look virtuous. The final payment awaiting stooges when their services are terminated can be witnessed in the fate of the one far more illustrious, Benazir Bhutto. The ill-fated *daughter of the East* had echoed the same axioms on Terrorism at the CFR in 2007. Evidently, anyone and everyone can be recruited after they have shown their willingness to echo the white man's burden. Here is CFR's latest 2011 promotion from among the *native informants*. Now is your chance to serve the *massa* – pathetic house negroes are evidently still in great demand! And you can have your choice of "Betweens" to choose from as your daily service. Trips to Disneyland, sabbaticals, appointments, and book deals are included in the compensation package based on the level of eruditeness of your bullshit.
(Image contributed by a Pakistani *field negro*)

The foolish 'untermenschen' better understand the insidious breadth and depth of the common

la mission civilisatrice bond among the *white man* (and including their house niggers who are often more *white* than the *white man*) when they come, individually, and in groups, wearing different colored labels of Left, Right, Liberal, Conservative, Progressive, Atheist, Christian, Jew, whatever, bearing gifts of pious virtue in various Hegelian Dialects. Zbigniew Brzezinski justified the primacy of the powerful with “*Hegemony is as old as mankind*” in *The Grand Chessboard* only as the latter day secular version of that same *white man's burden*.

When I recently, only this past week in fact, once again challenged the pious *white man's* characteristic narratives in their blanket Jew bashing screeds in my letter: Zahir Ebrahim's Letter to John Kaminski – Are you Jewish?, and deconstructed the new *white man's burden* of Secular Humanism they now wish to inflict upon humanity in the guise of discrediting all established religions in order to secure their one-world government, I was not surprised by what happened. You can read the response of the fanatic all-knowing Jew-basher, who has extended his unfettered Jew bashing to both Christian and Muslim bashing: “**Christians and Muslims are trapped in the same delusional mindlock as the Jews,**” reviling without any compunction more than 5 billion peoples on the planet in just one sentence, even calling my religion, Islam, “**fetid and toxic dogma**”.

The supposedly rebel website which goes by that very name and presents itself on the side of the 'untermensch' by publishing dissenting articles with empire, gratuitously wrote an amusing editorial response to my letter instead of condemning their own favorite white man whose trite screed they boldly feature on their front page every week as the avantgarde in progressive thought: Rebel News' Letter to Zahir Ebrahim – Are you Mossad?. When I submitted my response to the white man denigrating my religion and 5 billion peoples' on the planet in the name of dissent and requested the website publish my response, the same editor wrote back: “**I won't. Your writings clearly don't fit in. Thank you for your time. -- Andrew Winkler Rebel Media Group**”!

Indeed!

This plebeian's antidote to hectoring hegemons clearly won't fit in where the *white man's burden* is the real agenda – heeheehee!

I have been labeled worse than “Mossad” for calling the bullshit of the *white man*. The ‘denier’ label from Israel Shamir, the holy Jew miraculously metanoia'd into pious Christian like the historical Saul into Paul, was a tad more cognitive.

But as of this writing, nothing has surpassed what the genuinely Christian rebel leader extraordinaire, Paul Craig Roberts, United States Former Assistant Secretary of the Treasury who sat at the same oligarchic tables before taking on his new role, and who writes for the camouflaged white supremacist website VDARE.com, honored me with in Dec 06, 2008: **“you are a completely stupid fool, a disgrace to humanity”**. My unpardonable sin? Boldly calling his bullshit of maligning the Muslims as terrorists, while all the rest of fools, mostly the *white man*, applaud him for his gallant dissent!

Dissent with what? Dissent does not automatically imply being on the side of the 'untermenschen'. It is only presumed to be so in the vernacular which has calculatingly been developed in the West as a *neuro linguistic programming* Trojan Horse for the mind, to alias subversion under association with something familiar. And this works great for pushing covert agendas of the *political elites* in a far more sophisticated format than merely through “controlled dissent”. The latter is principally employed for craftily channeling any public opposition, or the premature discovery of monumental crimes in progress, towards inefficacy and at best, a *Limited Hangout* sacrificial mea culpa, as already examined in Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory. The endless trail of red herrings established by the social engineering symphonies of the Mighty Wurlitzer, of which “controlled dissent” is an essential melody, is primarily intended to waste sufficient time while *manufacturing consent* in order to successfully engineer a fait accompli that is subsequently irreversible due to its very nature, even if the truth is ex post facto revealed with much fanfare. This is straightforwardly true for a single set of masters pursuing a single set of overarching goals. Empiricism however, undeniably suggests that there are in fact, multiple sets of masters carrying their respective *white man's burden*, and competing amongst themselves for the unfettered rights to primacy.

For these *elites*, the common plebeian masses are merely the *puck* to be pushed around towards their own respective goal posts. In the full engagement of such broad-spectrum mind-fcks, the dissent of the lauded chiefs, and many a public figure, evidently spans the gamut – and perhaps my cynicism may be forgiven as one of only a confused person endeavoring to think for oneself without the benefit of prominent “experts” to guide one – from disagreeing amongst each other while sharing the *white man's burden*, to vilely herding the sheep of dissent to the same pastures as the sheep of mainstream but in erudite round-about ways. Dissent under social engineering burdens, is not always the lofty mantle of Socrates. More frequently, it is the mantle of the controllers outside of *Plato's Cave* pursuing their own narrow primacy interests. See: Responsibility of Intellectuals – Redux.

The pious *white man* is slipping today. Those majoring in Western hypocrisy might wish to work at layering their common *mission civilisatrice* with a bit more finesse and diabolicalism than they are doing now. Perhaps as they used to do in the past, when the East India Company created its version of globalism with *free trade* inflicted upon its colonies. And perhaps when small pox laden blankets decimated entire tribes and nations and genocided them off the face of the earth as a peoples. Today, even an ordinary plebeian is trivially able to call attention to their common bond of pious virtues, and trivially unravel their bullshit. Their Hegelian Dialectic is slipping....

Below are some empirical essays which unarguably demonstrate how the modern white man is still united in the same un-severable common bond, its *la mission civilisatrice*, even upon each other, irrespective of their separate creeds and occasional bouts of antagonistic power-plays among each other. They only compete amongst themselves, and the outclassed by the better trained simply run home to mommy, variously crying *foul* and *grapes are sour*.

The losing *white man* in this primacy contest often makes a great show of friendship to the '*untermensch*' victims of the winning *white man*, employing the timeserving "*enemy of my enemy is my friend*" principle to open new backdoors back into the same contest. I suspect that the *white man's burden* intrinsically makes sharing the prize problematic among the various white man from differing creeds and races for very long, despite their common mission of unfettered primacy whenever they can get away with it.

This common mission statement used to be mistakenly called *the law of the jungle* – but it really isn't. In the jungle, when the primacy hierarchy, all the way to the top, has eaten its fill, each rests until the next mealtime. The strong weed out the weak yes, but only from wanting to have their daily meals, and the weakest not being able to do so for one reason or another. Never just for the sake of control, for full spectrum dominance, in the urge to enslave others. But for the arbiters of the *white man's burden*, there can be no point of satiation in their social Darwinianism. Such primacy can only culminate in full master-slave bondage, couched in modern day Newspeak for camouflage, as *world government* (see [Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order](#)). But then, the masters must turn upon each other because there really can be, only one master!

This is the Nihilist Order that is being pushed upon mankind today by the legatees of the same old *white man's burden*. I really can't tell these different strands of the *white man* apart – be they holy Zionist Jews in Palestine bringing us Western civilization on that front, or pious

Christians bringing “Jesus” to Afghanistan just as they did to the Americas, and to the Indo subcontinent, or noble leftists and atheists posing as their common peacenik antagonists. They all look the same to me, especially from Mt. Fuji:

“Aspire to be like Mt. Fuji, with such a broad and solid foundation that the strongest earthquake cannot move you, and so tall that the greatest enterprises of common men seem insignificant from your lofty perspective. With your mind as high as Mt Fuji you can see all things clearly. And you can see all the forces that shape events; not just the things happening near to you.” -- Miyamoto Musashi -- Quoted in Political Ponerology

Here are some of my pertinent writings on the theme of *white man's burden*, in reverse chronological order:

- <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/02/letter-john-kaminski-are-you-jewish.html>
- <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/01/white-mans-burden-still-looks-white.html>
- <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-confusion-pt5-kevinmacdonald-wmb.html>
- <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/02/respto-johnkaminski-jewish-writers.html>
- <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/02/white-anglo-saxon-race-obsession.html>
- <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2010/02/cacheof-12281-in-defence-of-larry-david-by-gilad-atzmon-with-zahirs-comment-afp-nov162009.pdf>

Judge for yourself – and if you learn to tell the difference, please inform this confused plebeian who is fast reaching the pitiable conclusion that almost all of the dissent in the West, especially that led by the white man, is bogus! The rare exceptions are not sufficient in influence to call it effective dissent, and they are generally the unknown ordinary men and women of conscience

who nobody knows, and who aren't the typical opinion-makers.

What this further underscores is the empirical pragmatism which has always been true, that the Global East, and the Global South, the lowest in the pecking order of the 'untermensch', stand pretty much alone against the incessant, all encompassing onslaught of the hectoring hegemony of the Global North-West. This has been the unvarnished history of the past several hundred years, and just because there is internet today, and the information age, it is foolish to think that it is all about to miraculously change! These new age tools have been deftly adapted for the same agenda which were previously thrashed out on lonely typewriters.

Unless the 'untermensch' nations of the East indigenously come to our own common self-defense against these almost superhuman global forces arrayed against us, no one else will.

The only known defense for the weak, is to innately become strong. No people living on the begging bowl pleading for justice in charity, have ever got a fair hearing in the imperial courts of any ubermensch, white, black, brown or yellow, any place on earth.

Becoming strong does not however imply following a new pied piper, such as falling prey to “revolutions” orchestrated through mob-power, wherein, the same puppetmasters diabolically harness the public's genuine disaffections to merely replace one tyrant by another in a game of hegelian musical chairs. See: Zahir Ebrahim's Letter to BRussells Tribunal: Egypt Revolution, the White Man's Burden, and Iraq, which penetrates behind the “revolutions” now transpiring among the 'untermensch' nations in the Middle East in the vaunted name of “change”.

This is a stark lesson to remember for the American and Western public as well. They are continually taught to look towards “leaders” and “experts” to show them the way at the expense of their own commonsense. Kept thoughtlessly occupied chasing the mirage of the '*American Dream*', the '*white trash*' of the Global North-West shall not escape the butcher's knife either. Their biggest Achilles heel today, is their loss of faith, and their greatest nemeses are those pitching Secular Humanism to them by reviling all religions.

And it is done with such finesse – if one were to judge Islam by the ubiquitous song of “Islamofascism” in the Western newsmedia and the Hollywood movies, Christianity by the child molesting priests proudly decked in their starched collars of piety, Judaism by the Judeofascist Talmudic cabal who today principally stand out as the most barbaric of peoples on planet earth, one would become naturally primed for a great new secular substitute for the New Age.

Without faith, without a deep sense of justice and morality, all of which are being systematically stripped from the gullible Americans' national psyche which was already too rich in the fertile soil of hedonism pre-ploughed with the ripe seeds of moral relativism, what is to prevent the American masses from turning into "cannibals"? They have already been sufficiently psychologically conditioned into barbarianism, almost gleefully watching the slaughter of the 'untermenschen' without much anxiety, in just this past decade (see [America's Shame](#)). Now they are also being physically conditioned through their own systematic dehumanization at airport security checkpoints where 99% of the travelling public meekly acquiesces to the false choice between accumulating deadly radiation dosage and grotesque molestation to keep them "safe" (see [What Went Wrong In The Land of The Free – Standing For Airport Indignities](#)).

And if this [science report](#) is to be believed, pharmaco'logically induced moral reconditioning may well be the next mandatory prescription, prescribed in Newspeak of course, like every other Orwellian remedy: *"Racist? Angry? The answer may be in a pill. A pill to enhance moral behaviour; a treatment for racist thoughts; a therapy to increase your empathy for people in other countries – these may sound like the stuff of science fiction but, with medicine moving closer to altering our moral state, society should be preparing for the consequences, according to a book reviewing scientific developments in the field."*

The "ultimate revolution", as Aldous Huxley had put it almost a half century ago, would be *"to get people actually to love their servitude!"*

That "ultimate revolution" of full spectrum servitude is being brought to the Western shores in systematic crisis stages. Each crisis stage masking the preceding one, to appear independent and unrelated. The public naturally focuses on the most recent crisis which is tearing their lives apart, and remain unable to distinguish between cause and effects that are cumulatively and systemically disintegrating their free society into a draconian police-state, one crisis stage at a time. This calculated lapse of public understanding works beautifully in the interest of those who are the first-cause of the Social Darwinian disease of primacy, the untouchable oligarchy. These murdersome *Hectoring Hegemons*, the *Brotherhood of Death*, perceptively understand that which the public mind simply cannot comprehend. Namely, that the political and social engineering of how to usher in unpopular transformations, the piecemeal erosion of national sovereignty to create world government, requires the creation of overwhelming *"revolutionary times"* which can make the public's reality *"look like a great 'booming, buzzing confusion' to use William James' famous description of reality,"* Each new *'booming, buzzing confusion'*

provides the new enabling pretext for inching the world one baby-step closer towards the Global Governance of the Planet by the oligarchy. Because they control the global mass media and the Mighty Wurlitzer's infinite capacity to mold national destinies, they are always successful in getting public perception to focus on whatever they wish to fabricate, instead of the public accurately perceiving its zeitgeist as an interconnected whole (see Some Dare Call it Conspiracy! Are you among them?).

All this mind-fck primes the desperate public mind to easily accept the equally shocking remedies that are proffered up to alleviate the crisis in the cacophony of experts and useful idiots. Much effort gets expended by the many doctors of society, some mercenaries, some dupes and patsies, and with much fanfare, in chasing the remedies for the most shocking symptom with little impact on the actual disease which remains largely undiagnosed. Even the most brilliant and honest social and political physicians get caught in this mind-fck. The master sociologist of all times had insightfully observed of this principle of social engineering in his own epiphany to *the white man's burden*. I hope I may be forgiven if I see the many convoluting parallels at many levels too acute to deny:

“The cure of a sickness can only be achieved if its cause is known, and the same is true of curing political evils. To be sure, the outward form of a sickness, its symptom which strikes the eye, is easier to see and discover than the inner cause. And this is the reason why so many people never go beyond the recognition of external effects and even confuse them with the cause, attempting, indeed, to deny the existence of the latter.” -- Mein Kampf, 1:10,
Causes of the Collapse

Indeed, even commonsense suggests that the cure of a sickness can only be achieved if its primary cause is known, and the same is true of curing political evils which create and sustain all others. In my Response to Nihilists and Letter to Muslims, I examined the *new color* that Western society is being so methodically painted in with the delicate and sophisticated brush strokes of Secular Humanism, as a necessary adjunct to getting them to love their own cannibalistic servitude. This ain't a matter to be digested within the 15-second attention span that Westerners are taught to parse headline news with. If there is any truth to the sociologists' statistic that *less than 2% people actually think, 8% think they think, and 90% would not be caught dead thinking*, all plebeians are gonna have to work really hard to comprehend the Hegelian mind-fcks we are being routinely dished to prime us for “voluntarily” accepting the New World Order. As far as the *hectoring hegemons* are concerned, the North Western ‘white

trash' only occupy a different pecking order from the 'untermensch' of the East and the South. The misery at least ends for the dead. The *wretched of the earth* must continue to live in full service to the masters, wherever they might be, until it is their turn to be served at the dinner table. As meal. And if the wretched can be kept in a happy-happy state while waiting for their turn, voluntarily acceding to what is happening to them, so much the better. See [What can be done?](#).

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/02/white-mans-burden-uniformly-distributed.html>

Source Mirror: <http://bloghumanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/2011/02/19/white-mans-burden-uniformly-distributed-by-zahirebrahim/>

Source PDF: <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2011/04/white-mans-burden-uniformly-distributed-by-zahirebrahim-5.pdf>

Footnotes

Part-1: [My experiments in confusion – Part-1: How many Jews does it take to confuse me? Response to 'How many Rabbis does it take to create a Racist State?'](#)

Part-2: [My experiments in confusion - Part-2: The invisible House of Rothschild](#)

Part-3: [My experiments in confusion - Part-3: The Omnipotent Rothschilds](#)

Part-4: [How many Gentiles does it take to confuse me? Evidently, also only One! Part-4](#)

Part-5: My experiments in confusion - Part-5: Letter to Prof. Kevin MacDonald

Part-6: The white man's burden still looks white in color - Zahir Ebrahim's Response to John Kaminski's "There are no good Jews"

Response to Nihilists: <http://bloghumanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/2011/02/17/zahir-ebrahims-response-to-nihilists/>

Letter to Muslims: <http://humanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/letter-to-muslims/>

What can be done?: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2011/03/kosovo-in-arc-of-crisis-by-zahirebrahim.html#What-can-be-done>

Last updated 04/19/2011 15:00:05 7296


Chapter 11 House of Rothschild

My experiments in confusion - Part-2: The invisible House of Rothschild

See Part-1: [How many Jews does it take to confuse me?](#)

See Part-3: [My experiments in confusion - Part-3: The Omnipotent Rothschilds](#)

Continuing from Part-1...



Let me highlight the socio-political conundrum identified in [part-1](#), quoting myself (since no one else ever quotes a confused person): *“Perhaps I am going about this free-thinking business all wrong? Perhaps there is some happy halfway compromise to fully independent thinking which will also help me gain friends and influence people?”*

The following example almost always loses me friends, tempting me to stop experimenting with independent thought altogether. I believe it is a miracle that I still dabble in it every now and then. I observed the following conundrum in [‘Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order’](#):

--- begin excerpt from **‘Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order’**

Herman Van Rompuy's message of hope at the completion stages [of world order] decades later was merely the cross-generational echo of Richard N. Gardner's *“prospects for peace, welfare and human dignity”* that had been long sewn *“bottom up, rather than from the top down”* such that to the uninformed public, it would always *“look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned*

frontal assault.”

The blood-drenched transformation stage that we find ourselves in today – the wreckage of civilizations – is truly “*Between Two Ages*”. That brilliant description is not mine, but the title of Zbigniew Brzezinski's seminally self-serving 1970 book which [presumably] got him appointed as the Executive Director of David Rockefeller's Trilateral Commission. There are more than a dozen Trilateralists and CFRs in President Obama's Administration too, pushing the banksters' globalist agendas finally to fruition across multiple fronts simultaneously. The money behind them, at least in the United States, is primarily the Rockefellers' who own the majority stake in the New York Fed, which in turn largely controls the Federal Reserve System. In Europe, the money is primarily the Rothschilds' who control all the world's private central banks (including America's Federal Reserve and international lending-policing agencies such as the World Bank IMF tag-team and the WTO) with complex interlocking relationships among a closed-knit tiny fraternity who exercise their will upon international banking and global finance and thus upon all nations of the world, through their largely unknown Bank for International Settlements (<http://BIS.org>) located in Basle, Switzerland.

Entirely coincidentally of course, BIS is located in the same secretive banking capital where Theodor Herzl had earlier made his notorious Jewish manifesto, *Der Judenstaat* public in the First World Zionist Congress in 1897 to set the public stage for the creation of the exclusively Jewish state of Israel in 1948. Also entirely coincidentally, the British Empire had gratuitously issued its famous 1917 Balfour Declaration in the name of Lord Rothschild, the principal owner and founder of the international financial system who had controlled the Bank of England since Waterloo. And again entirely coincidentally, America's entry into World War I was facilitated after the founding of its own 'Bank of England', i.e., the Federal Reserve System principally by Paul Warburg, the banking fraternal twin of Lord Rothschild in whose palace the Treaty of Versailles was signed after World War I to enable the British Mandate over the lands of historic Palestine.

These remarkable coincidences have today made the Rothschilds the most revered family name in Israel. Some call them the King of the Jews – and to live up to that Solomon-ly title, the Rothschilds have architected, financed and built the Jewish state's principal hall of Justice, the Israeli Supreme Court in Jerusalem. The Jewish State today enjoys the unparalleled privilege of an “Iron Wall” that none can breach. The Rothschild's frankenstein can with brazen impunity exterminate, assassinate, and bomb, to the applause of the world leaders (see 'Pamphlet: How to Return to Palestine'). And yet, strangely, the Rothschild's role in seeding

and orchestrating the affairs of the modern world is consistently downplayed almost universally. No media, no academic, no scholar, no historian, no dissent-chief, no corporate executive, no billionaire on Forbes list, the Forbes list itself, and of course no politician and world statesman, dare utter that name publicly – and so long as they don't, they can say anything else they want. Elusive power such as this is not a figment of someone's imagination.

Prof. Carroll Quigley was permitted to openly state the following in his 1966 book *Tragedy and Hope*, and his controlled revelations which continued that tradition of downplaying the name of the Rothschilds, only came on the heels of the free-wheeling Eustace Mullins' well-documented exposé of how the Federal Reserve System in the United States was conspiringly created by forces representing the same globalist banking elite, and he had not spared the Rothschild name; this was followed by a series of books and documentary films in the 1970s by many others including Gary Allen, W. Cleon Skousen, G. Edward Griffin, Antony Sutton et. al.

--- end excerpt from 'Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order'

I dared to think about that palpable omission on my own, inviting both confusion and loss of friends.

I asked: why was that most distinguished and singular Jewish family name, Rothschild, never permitted any significant mention not just in the Western press, but in the worldwide mainstream presses? It surely wasn't only because the AP and other news agencies, newspapers, newsmedia, were interlocking owned/controlled by the uber financiers of the world, the House of Rothschild.

Even the brave president of Iran, Dr Ahmadinijad, and the brave president of Venezuela, Chavez, courageously challenging the status quo of the world anytime they acquire a microphone in their hands have not dared to mention that name. It is evidently still risk free to waive Noam Chomsky's 'Hegemony and Survival' from the UN podium and speak out against the criminal excesses of the sole superpower and its Allies du jour, but not okay to wonder out loud why was the Balfour Declaration issued in the House of Rothschild name?

Hmmm.... What sort of elusive omnipotent power did that magical name command such that it had erected an equally magical "Iron Wall" around Der Judenstaat? An "Iron Wall" which protected the Zionists' grotesque re-settlement and extermination project for Jewish Lebensraum being conducted with brazen impunity, often under thunderous applause of the

Western leaders who continually renew their vows to support the expansion of the Jewish State created in the very name of Lord Rothschild, that none living dare mention and investigate that name while they continue to pay lip-service in support of the Palestinian peoples?

The Palestine freedom zealots in the West can boldly investigate and indict the dispensable Israeli leaders who come and go every election, but not its founder who evidently goes on forever?

By the Rothschild's own watered-down admission, they are not a has-been House:

'We provide advice on both sides of the balance sheet, and we do it globally. ... We have had 250 years or so of family involvement in the finance business, ... There is no debate that Rothschild is a Jewish family, ... For a family business to survive, every generation needs a leader, ... Then somebody has to keep the peace. Building a global firm before globalisation meant a mindset of sharing risk and responsibility. If you look at the DNA of our family, that is perhaps an element that runs through our history.' --- Baron David de Rothschild, *The first barons of banking* by Rupert Wright, UAE thenational.ae, November 6, 2008

That humble confession by Baron David de Rothschild quoted above exactly fulfills in this generation, the well-known directives issued by their forefather, the founder of the House of Rothschild, Mayer Amschel Bauer. A long staple of Rothschild biographers, the founding directives are even vicariously depicted by Hollywood in the 1934 film which was intended to be an ode to the Rothschild name. Watch this [short clip](#) of the movie *The House of Rothschild*, about half way through in that clip, the historical depiction from the mouth of great-grandfather Amschel Rothschild, is empirically being fulfilled today, 250 years later, as glibly confirmed even in that watered-down admission from his own great-grandson, David de Rothschild!

The UAE National newspaper even openly stated its lack of faith in the 'coincidence theory' of history and noted the overarching contemporary significance of the House of Rothschild:

'Among the captains of industry, spin doctors and financial advisers accompanying British prime minister Gordon Brown on his fund-raising visit to the Gulf this week, one name was surprisingly absent. This may have had something to do with the fact that the tour kicked off in Saudi Arabia. But by the time the group reached Qatar, Baron David de Rothschild was there, too, and

he was also in Dubai and Abu Dhabi.

Although his office denies that he was part of the official party, it is probably no coincidence that he happened to be in the same part of the world at the right time. That is how the Rothschilds have worked for centuries: quietly, without fuss, behind the scenes.'

In fact, according to the following article in the London Times, the Rothschild's is the one unusual financial House in the world which came out ahead without any legal extortion, ahem, financial bailouts of people's money legally granted by the people's elected Representatives to the robber barons of modernity (watch that bizarre extortion racket for the forced Bailout in the United States in October 2008 here: <http://youtube.com/watch?v=sN3a1oTdDwM> , and witness my further confused experiments in independent thought in 'Why Bluff Martial Law?')

'Not all investment bankers are having to get by on reduced or no bonuses this year. Rothschild group staff have received record bonuses, it has emerged after the bank reported a 31 per cent improvement in profits before tax to €459 million.

Record results from both its advisory and private banking operations enabled the bank to pay the bonuses to its 2,700 people in June.

Unlike conventional investment banks Rothschild, whose chairman is Davide de Rothschild, has steered clear of proprietary trading, prime broking and other activities that have devastated rivals, although it still wrote off €96 million because of souring loans.

Rothschild's year-end of March means the bonuses were paid before the most serious setbacks to banks. Most investment banks pay out bonuses between January and May. Goldman Sachs is due to tell its staff the size of their payouts in the next three weeks.

Alongside its pro-forma group-wide results, Rothschild unveiled a joint venture with Rabobank of the Netherlands, whereby the two sides will pool their staff and clients in the food and agriculture sector.

As part of the deal, Rabobank is buying a 7.5 per cent stake in one of the key

holding companies in the Rothschild empire, Rothschild Continuation Holdings, which owns the N M Rothschild business in the UK.

Rabobank becomes the second biggest investor outside the family after the trading group Jardine Matheson, which owns 20 per cent. Rabobank's vice chairman Sipko Schat joins the Rothschild board.

It is the second joint venture with a Dutch bank. Rothschild teamed up with ABN Amro for 11 years in equity capital markets before dissolving the arrangement when Royal Bank of Scotland took over ABN last year.

Rabobank's stake was held in treasury by Rothschild after it bought it from the insurer Eagle Star. No price was put on the deal. Jardine paid \$185 million for its 20 per cent in 2005.

Rothschild advisory clients include Rio Tinto, which is fighting a hostile bid from BHP, British Energy in its deal with EDF of France and Alliance & Leicester when it was sold to Banco Santander.' --- Rothschild pays out record bonuses to staff by Patrick Hosking, Times Online, November 19, 2008

With full spectrum control of the world's private central banks and BIS firmly in the hands of the House of Rothschild and their closed knit coterie, I of course, in my confused experiment of thinking for myself, chalk that wizard financial success of bailing out from publicly traded banks about to fail in a timely manner, and having only successes for one's own private family bank, up to just another coincidence. I strongly suspect that Gary Allen's confusion on such coincidences far exceeded mine as evidenced from his book *None Dare Call it Conspiracy*:

'Those who believe that major world events result from planning are laughed at for believing in the "conspiracy theory of history." Of course, no one in this modern day and age really believes in the conspiracy theory of history -except those who have taken the time to study the subject. When you think about it, there are really only two theories of history. Either things happen by accident neither planned nor caused by anybody, or they happen because they are planned and somebody causes them to happen. In reality, it is the "accidental theory of history" preached in the unhallowed Halls of Ivy which should be ridiculed. Otherwise, why does every recent administration make the same mistakes as the previous ones? Why do they repeat the errors of the past which

produce inflation, depressions and war? Why does our State Department "stumble" from one Communist-aiding "blunder" to another? If you believe it is all an accident or the result of mysterious and unexplainable tides of history, you will be regarded as an "intellectual" who understands that we live in a complex world. **If you believe that something like 32,496 consecutive coincidences over the past forty years stretches the law of averages a bit, you are a kook!**' (Gary Allen, None Dare Call it Conspiracy, 1971, Chapter 1)

But more pertinent to my confusion for the main point under consideration, namely, the bizarre silence on the Rothschilds when it comes to the topic of the holy lands which they 'own' completely, as even demonstrated to the most ardent zealot fighting the cause of Palestine by the fact of the Balfour Declaration gratuitously awarding Palestine to the entire Jewish peoples being specifically in just that one singular name, doesn't that omission appear frighteningly absurd once again? See for instance: 'Zahir's Response to Francis Boyle's Jewistan – What Elephant?'.

Well, to me that silence is just as absurdly confounding as the bizarre merrymaking with the 'Happy Unbirthday' song at the Mad Hatter's Tea Party confounded Alice in Wonderland.

So, while searching for a way out of these absurd confusions rapidly piling up, I found this really bizarre interview asking similar questions for the first time in modern times, about the House of Rothschild, and I transcribed it: 'Rothschild Connection to World Government and Zionism: David Icke – Origins and Symbolism of the EU'.

Please see what you make of such attempts at independent thinking. Also please feel free to advise me how one ought to go about this independent thinking business, the much wanted contribution of Western civilization to modern man, or so they say, without stepping on censor toes – never mind without losing friends. Even this last thought is causing me a great deal of confusion. But first, here is the interview.

--- begin excerpt from 'Rothschild Connection to World Government and Zionism'

Transcription of Red Ice Creations' David Icke's video interview by Project Humanbeingsfirst, segment on The Rothschild Connection to World Government and Zionism, Parts 6 & 7 [Parenthesis: Transcriber's notes]

[youtube=<http://youtube.com/p/03D36F59DC6F1259>]

Begin Transcription:

'They are all connected, and they are connected through the House of Rothschild.

See, if people just took a breadth, and looked at the whole scene, they would ask serious questions:

Foreign Office,
November 2nd, 1917.

Dear Lord Rothschild,

I have much pleasure in conveying to you, on behalf of His Majesty's Government, the following declaration of sympathy with Jewish Zionist aspirations which has been submitted to, and approved by, the Cabinet

"His Majesty's Government view with favour the establishment in Palestine of a national home for the Jewish people, and will use their best endeavours to facilitate the achievement of this object. it being clearly understood that nothing shall be done which may prejudice the civil and religious rights of existing non-Jewish communities in Palestine, or the rights and political status enjoyed by Jews in any other country"

I should be grateful if you would bring this declaration to the knowledge of the Zionist Federation.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Arthur Balfour', with a small flourish above the first name.

[Why is the Balfour Declaration addressed to a Rothschild?]



[Why is Israel's Supreme Court in Jerusalem built by the Rothschilds?]

Why does that slither of land, called Israel, and I have driven around it, and you

can virtually drive around it in a day, why does it have so much power?

Why is it the biggest by far recipient of American aid when it is one of the richest per capita countries in the world?

Why does it have the biggest F-16 fleet outside America?

How come it can have a very considerable arsenal of nuclear weapons, refuse to sign a Non Proliferation Treaty, and have a breadth taking agreement which has just been confirmed by Barrack Obama in the last few months, that they have a policy in terms of America and other countries in Israel, that they don't ask whether they have got nuclear weapons. And therefore, Israel does not have to say if they have or not. This is an official policy!

Why, when they pepper-bomb the most crowded piece of land in the world, and instigate slaughter on a shocking scale, does the international community, apart from one or two people, say nothing?

[<http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/05/celebrating-israels-60th-birthday.html>]

Simple.

The House of Rothschild controls Israel. It created Israel. And more than that, it created a political philosophy, note a POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY, called ZIONISM.

[<http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/02/letterto-dalitvoice-which-god.html>]

What they have brilliantly done, though it's breaking down, is they have equated Jewish people as a race with Zionism, which is a political philosophy. And at its core is a secret society, connects into the other secret societies.

And, so if you challenge Zionism, and its horrors, and its impositions, and its hypocrisy, and its slaughter, you are equated with being prejudiced against Jewish people.

What they don't tell you is significant number of Jewish people are actually appalled by Zionism. And actually openly protest against it.

[<http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/11/genesis-to-genocide-golem-not-jewish.html>]

And there is some fantastic young people in Israel that refuse to serve in the military, and end up in jail because of it. And you, know they are incredible people to have that sense of value.

The questions that I have just posed can be answered very easily.

[<http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/10/respto-what-cost-israel-lobby-jeffgates.html>]

The House of Rothschild control American politics. They control the neo-cons, they control Bush, they control what I call the demo-cons that control Obama.

[<http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/not-voting-is-yes-vote-to-reject-system.html>]

And in the White House as I speak, we have the White House Chief of Staff, Rahm Emanuel, who is the puppeteer, immediate puppeteer of Obama, [Just like Bush's Brain was Karl Rove] and his father actually served in an Israeli Zionist terror group called Irgun, which, with others, bombed Israel into existence and forced 750,000 to 800,000 Palestinians to leave their homeland after 1948.

The reason, therefore, that Israel is the biggest recipient of American aid and military support, is because this hand [points to right hand] called the House of Rothschild, takes the money from the United States and hands it to this hand [points to left hand] called Israel of the House of Rothschild, and says thank you very much!

The reason that there is no questioning of Israeli nuclear capability, that they get away literally with mass murder, time and time again, is because the House of Rothschild controls the countries of the European Union, and controls the

European Union. I mean, Tony Blair is a "yes sir no sir, three bags full sir, how high would you like me to jump sir" front man for the House of Rothschild.

[<http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/11/rescuing-the-struggle-for-palestine.html>]

So who do they put in after he left the British Government, as negotiator of peace in Israel - Tony Bloody Blair! 'What should I say Mr. Rothschild, thank you very much, thank you thank you' [mimics Tony Blair]. That's it.

So when you have got the same force controlling all these different agencies, than of course they are gonna be coordinated.

That's the way Israel gets away with what it gets away with.

And if people think its anti-Semitic, well actually anti-Semitic means anti-Arab by the way, then they'll have to take it and shove it somewhere where the sun don't shine 'cause I ain't shutting up about this because it is fundamental to understanding the world, and to understanding the European Union and world events!

The Jewish people, in general, have been mercilessly used by the House of Rothschild, and their front secret society, satanic secret society, called Zionism, as a front which they can hide behind.

[<http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/09/is-zionism-hegelian-dialectic.html>]

So it is House of Rothschild organizations like B'nai Brith, Sons of the Covenant, who created an organization called the Anti-Defamation league, which goes around defaming everyone ironically, who have not just campaigned for hate laws that stop you exposing these people, they have actually written the bloody legislation in America, in North America and Canada.

And so, these hate laws which say you can't say this you can't say that, because that's prejudiced and all that, they are not there to protect gay people - everyone ought to their own I say, I couldn't care less - they are not there to

protect Jewish people, or minorities.

They are there, simply, to stop legitimate investigation of the Rothschilds and its network. That's what they are there for.

[<http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/10/respto-what-cost-israel-lobby-jeffgates.html>]

And, they are in so many ways the Rothschilds. At operational level, the center of the spider's web.

And they need to be exposed.

['Therefore, focussing on Jewish political action groups like AIPAC, ADL, JDL, Chabad Lubavitch Hasidics, et. al., who put Israel first to influence the superpower's policies, or the hundred Jewish-dominated opaquely funded private think-tanks like the AEI, CFR, et. al., who ab initio construct the polices of war and hegemony favoring Israel, without betraying any comprehension of the actual prime-movers behind them, is not only an exercise in futility, but these visible magnets are deliberately there, and manifest themselves with their inexplicable arrogance, precisely in order to draw fire away from the prime-movers!' -- <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/05/palestine-theway-forward.html>]

Because if they get exposed, and they go, when I say go [I mean] they are removed from their positions of power, 'cause to be honest, if they went to jail, for what they have been responsible for, the House of Rothschild, they would have to reincarnate hundreds of times to complete the sentence!

['If fair punishments are ever to be awarded for their crimes against humanity for just the past 100 years in any Just court of law, Adolph Eichmann would have to be retroactively let go by resurrecting his soul from his grave with high honors and awarded multiple peace prizes plus compensation, in order to administer hanging and extraction of restitution as the graduated scale of ultimate punishment for the ultimate prime-movers of all wars and pestilence before which their errand boys' and patsies' crimes against humanity pale in comparison.' -- <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/11/rescuing->

thestruggle-for-palestine.html]

And, we've ignored them. Or we have not ignored them, people have ignored their power for long enough because they have brilliantly hidden it. It needs the light to be shone on it because when they come down, in so many ways, the House of cards comes down!

[<http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/04/resp-abusitta-rothschild-not-zabara.html>]

Thank you very much.'

End Transcription by Project Humanbeingsfirst.org, Parts 6 & 7 [Parenthesis: Transcriber's notes]

--- end excerpt from 'Rothschild Connection to World Government and Zionism'

Conclusion

Aaaaaah, confusion, confusion! I could just scream. No wonder why the goyem don't think much, and it is not recommended for us to think by the doctors who conveniently do all the original thought experiments for us to save us the burden. Here is the problem alluded to earlier, stepping on censor toes, and it can only be the inevitable conclusion of our zeitgeist: If one avoids tabooed thoughts for fear of censorship, loss of friends, being indicted and incarcerated under the thought-crimes Bill pending in many a legislature's quarters worldwide, is that still considered 'independent thinking', the gift of Western civilization to mankind? Perhaps it is so under NewSpeak, the newly revised gift of Western civilization to the Dawn of the New Age?

The New Age being hasteningly beckoned by Zbigniew Brzezinski, cited above, wherein, he justified/rationalized humanity's deadly plight in our zeitgeist by quoting Hermann Hesse from *Steppenwolf* thusly; *"Human life is reduced to real suffering, to hell, only when two ages, two cultures and religions overlap. . . . There are times when a whole generation is caught in this way between two ages, two modes of life, with the consequence that it loses all power to understand itself and has no standard, no security, no simple acquiescence."*?

That profound insight, of brilliant hindsight and self-serving foresight, very well could be the elusive key I have been searching for to open the mysterious door past which I can't see.

Further Study

To catch only a fleeting glimpse for yourself of that veil past which evidently no one else is able to see either, and which is seeding so much confusion among those wanting to do their own independent thinking that unless one opens up the final edition of the Newspeak dictionary described by George Orwell in his seminal work '1984' (watch the movie [here](#)), one is destined to stay confused, read the following two books by Eustace Mullins: *The World Order – A Study in the Hegemony of Parasitism*, and *The Curse of Canaan – A Demonology of History*. Additionally, *The Thirteenth Tribe* by Arthur Koestler, and *The Empire of "The City" (world superstate) – The Jekyll/Hyde Nature of the British Government* by E. C. Knuth, are both helpful in thinning out the powerful fog of confusion which surrounds the origins and the rise to power of Black Nobility. A careful study of these books (PDF available on the web; search, download and read them before these out-of-print books get banned, or, reading them is made a thought-crime), helps one understand the elusive power which has corrupted and co-opted almost all of dissent in the West today creating the sort of absurdities highlighted in my confusions. The pernicious nature of that indomitable power to mold, influence and corrupt across the board world-wide was captured in the following way by W. Cleon Skousen in his commentary on Carroll Quigley's *Tragedy and Hope*:

'The real value of *Tragedy and Hope* ... [is the] bold and boastful admission by Dr. Quigley that there actually exists a relatively small but powerful group which has succeeded in acquiring a choke-hold on the affairs of practically the entire human race. Of course we should be quick to recognize that no small group could wield such gigantic power unless millions of people in all walks of life were "in on the take" and were willing to knuckle down to the iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes. As we shall see, the network has succeeded in building its power structure by using tremendous quantities of money (together with the vast influence it buys) to manipulate, intimidate, or corrupt millions of men and women and their institutions on a world-wide basis.'

(W. Cleon Skousen, *The Naked Capitalist*, pg. 6)

The publicly available *need to research* (as in *need to know*) private archives of the House of

Rothschild is now on the web. Presumably sanitized of any adverse material – since its primary purpose evidently is to glorify the 250 years of exploits of the House of Rothschild – for those inclined to experiment in forensic confusion, it may yet prove to be an invaluable treasure trove of discovery: <http://www.rothschildarchive.org/ta/> . The private Rothschild Archives I imagine is where the official biographers have always received their source material to write their pandering odes to the House of Rothschild. See for instance, *The House of Rothschild* by Niall Ferguson. Now it's available to you as well!

For those unable to read, but still inclined to experiment in some self-inflicted confusion, Grace Powers's Amenstop Production DVD 'Ring of Power' may be a good starting point (watch part-1, part-2, the second part focusses on the House of Rothschild). I rather like Grace Powers' DVD presentation which is based on some of the historical material presented in the above books, because she addressed her video to the lowest level mental acumen in Western society with an easy to follow narrative. I have never met Grace Powers, communicate with her every now and then over email, consider her a seeker of knowledge based on her work, but don't agree with her many esoteric opinions and conclusions which, rather strangely, anyone who speaks of the oligarchy, secret societies, and the Black Nobility, also often seem to hold. I am glad the authors whose books are recommended reading above appeared to be an exception to this bizarre contemporary empiricism. Mullins' *The Curse of Canaan* is an intriguing deconstruction of history which he based on his understanding of the Bible and study of the Talmudic literature. One may draw from Mullins' pointing fingers what one may, perhaps using Bruce Lee's wisdom expressed in his martial arts movie *Enter the Dragon*: **“Don't concentrate on the finger or you will miss all that heavenly glory”** (watch movie clip [here](#)).

I too apply such Zen of analysis to my own self-inflicted confusions (when I could just as well bow before 'experts' and save me the bother), and Grace Powers' work is no exception. What that specifically means in this case is not to throw the baby out with the bath water. Leave aside the speculative material for some future time, and focus directly on the rational analysis based on obvious and historical facts. On the whole, *Ring of Power's* narrative on the House of Rothschild is penetratingly contemporary, as Grace Powers attempts to forensically tie 9/11 and the documented historical quest for World Order of the Black Nobility for the average dumbed-down television watcher of America. If the size of the 'United We Stand' crowd and the size of protests in the immediate aftermath of 9/11 are any indication, the dumbed-down and indoctrinated peoples of America likely constitute well over 90% of its 300 million population!

What brazen absurdity once again. The mightiest superpower on earth in this information age is also home to the most ignorant and mind controlled peoples, including The Master Builders of the Technetronic Era! Who created such pathetic state of affairs in this once unassailable nation and why? There is very little time left for its public to find out. A short 10-minute readable summary is: 'Of Ostriches and Rebels on The Hard Road to World Order'.

- ### -

Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/12/my-confusion-invisible-rothschild-usa.html>

Source Mirror: <http://bloghumanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/2010/12/13/my-experiments-in-confusion-part-2-the-invisible-house-of-rothschild-by-zahir-ebrahim/>

Source PDF: <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2010/12/my-experiments-in-confusion-part-2-the-invisible-house-of-rothschild-by-zahir-ebrahim-2.pdf>

Last updated 01/02/2011 03:00:09 5319

Chapter 12 Redemption

America's War Veterans: PTSD and its Cure – Letter to Editor

Saturday, December 18, 2010



The two American websites, Veterans Today and Salem News, run by two former US Military veterans, contain some of the most outstanding RealitySpeak writings by both civilians and America's war veterans, presumably, all mostly being eagerly ingested by other American war veterans. In full disclosure, these websites also reprint my two cents every now and then. The Editors in chief of both websites whom I have never met but communicate with occasionally, very generously invited me to become Staff Writer on their respective panels, and I politely declined in each case. I prefer my lonely voice to stay independent – for it allows me to explore my own confusions as no one else can.

So, exercising that independence of thought, if I may be permitted to ask the next logical question to great penmanship and reportage by recovering war veterans, does such RealitySpeak affect a change in status quo?

Does all this verbiage ameliorate the suffering of the bemedaled American war hero who brought my fellow Muslim men, women, and children of Afghanistan, Iraq, Pakistan, perhaps Iran next, the 'liberation' depicted in the images?

Do the oft maligned liberators of truth from the sharp jaws of deceit, ever impact the inflexion of hegemonic power with mere moral words and intellectual theses which unravel the never ending lies of the hectoring hegemons du jour?

For, if words alone could make appreciable difference to the calculus of hegemony, then, the Ten Commandments would have surely eased mankind's journey over the past hundred years of successive world wars and vile deprecation of humanity. As the noted essayist and novelist Aldous Huxley had once observed when responding to the question "What does one do?":

'Well this is the real problem. Nothing is easier than to formulate high ideals, but few things are more difficult than to discover the means for by those ideals might be implemented, and the categorical imperatives which spring from them can be a pain. This is the real problem. I mean one has to dream, but one has to dream in a pragmatic way to consider how... Merely preaching to people doesn't have much effect, people have been preaching for an awefully long time and we are still pretty much where we were.' (Herman Harvey: Sum and Substance with Aldous Huxley, co-produced with University of S. California, KNXT Public Affairs. <http://www.huxley.net/ah/huxley-interview.html>)

The undeniable practical fact of the matter remains, that unless moral prescriptions and copious intelligent analyses appearing on these websites get translated into direct activism, into building organizations, into building movements, and into creating a powerful national chorus collectively saying NO to the murdersome hectoring hegemony now bringing vile indignities to the American people right here at home, elegant moral words on paper, wonderful prose bringing exposes to the intelligent, is only self-entertaining the armchair internet warriors.

In all honesty, haven't we, the narrators du jour, merely substituted the mind numbing television, America's favorite sedative, with a new type of cognitive programming for a minuscule sub-minority who indulges in study, but which remains as impotent in mobilizing the conscience to act in order to affect change, as the former medium was effective in amusing us to death? The "*history's actors*" in fact even brazenly noted that this is all we shall be able to do. The New York Times quoted a senior White House Advisor during the Bush Administration while explaining how fait accompli enacted by "*history's actors*" actually worked for "*imperial mobilization*":

'We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality — judiciously, as you will — we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we

do.' — Senior Bush Advisor, The New York Times, October 17, 2004 (see Convince People of Absurdities and get them Acquiescing to Atrocities: The Enduring Power of Machiavellian Political Science)

I say, bullshit! Let's grasp the bull by the horns and turn the tables on its head for the criminals who, with cold chutzpah and flushed with hubris, openly proclaim themselves 'Hectoring Hegemons' working on ending national-sovereignty through successive hegelian mind-fcks!



But in order to do so, one must first have the courage to spell bullshit as B.U.L.L.S.H.I.T, without dropping any letters to pass our delicate sensibilities which can shatter the tabula rasa of civilian populations 20,000 miles from home, but can't handle seeing RealitySpeak in print. This, in case it isn't already obvious, is merely a metaphor for first being able to face reality without syntactic sugaring. What follows is plain RealitySpeak – no bullshit. While you don't have to agree with anything here, there is no Newspeak in it. For, only in straight plain talk without bowing to political correctness, is there a way out of being mere narrators of the murderous shit continually being left behind by 'history's actors'.

I believe that the brave veterans of America's wars upon the 'untermensch' 20,000 miles away, the principal audience of both these websites I imagine, can actually play a leading role in helping to change that dismal state of affairs.

HOW?

By rising to protect their own nation's peoples for a change, where, while they were busy bringing 'democracy' and 'liberation' to my 'barbarian' peoples in exotic faraway lands, their own land of the free was being turned into a police-state.

Instead of the increasing number of war veterans being perpetually trapped in PTSD and

seeking palliatives to assuage their guilty conscience, 'VA says PTSD claims up 125%' Veterans Today, December 18, 2010, undertaking principled moral acts with discipline to safeguard the decent peoples in their own nation, may prove to be far more therapeutic than the Marijuana being pitched by experts like Dr. Phil Leveque, as in 'You Can't Go Back and You Can't Come Back', Salem News, December 13, 2010.

The 1978 Hollywood movie, *The Deer Hunter*, graphically brought home to civilians a vicarious touch of what soldiers of patriotism, fortune, and economic conscription, can experience in horrendous modern wars that are principally illegitimate, whose principal victims are civilians, cultures, and civilizations. Those creating the victims often end up with the guilt complex which modern soulless medicine, unable to comprehend the delicate connection between mind-body-spirit and the damage which wars cause to man's essence, calls its symptomatic display PTSD. The psycho-babble of the learned, Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, in the case of wars, is primarily symptomatic of the injury to the conscience, to the soul, which perpetually remains un-amenable to brain surgery, to palliatives, to sedatives, to psycho-therapy, and to modern medicine. *The Deer Hunter's* main focus, if the reader will recall, was primarily to demonstrate the consequences of the horrendous dysfunctionality of modern warfare causing maladjustment back into normalcy for both victims and victimizers, the latter becoming war's second victims. Therefore, for them to not astutely comprehend that modern warfare by superpowers moving pawns around on *the Grand Chessboard* is itself a monumental crime, a racket, and those participating in it as soldiers and technicians under waving flags and blaring trumpets, themselves commit crimes against humanity, is to miss the point of it all.

Call the suffering of the conscience from that backlash *PTSD* if you will, but being able to separate cause from effect, symptoms from disease, doesn't seem to be a forte of Veterans Hospitals. And while that symptom is captured most precisely, most elegantly, almost poetically, in the aforementioned article title by Phil Leveque, "*You Can't Go Back and You Can't Come Back*", it does nothing to focus attention on the primary cause of it: the injury to the 'self', the essence of man, what, for the lack of a better terminology, we variously refer to as conscience, soul, superego, all elements which remain elusive to modern medicine. Ask any AMA approved medical doctor for any alternative to big-Pharma led prescriptions, and they will only give you the same standard response they have actually been taught to give in medical school in order to pass their AMA certification – *I don't know anything about alternatives not recommended by the FDA*.

But just as the Hollywood movie had left its audience hanging, had offered no real solutions for

the returning veterans, nor for preventing the creation of future maladjusted veterans, neither does Phil Leveque with his prescriptive conclusion in bold: **“WHY CAN’T THE VA USE THE BEST DRUG – MARIJUANA?”** When the illness is misdiagnosed, the cure can at best only be a placebo.

Please permit me to lend some Eastern Zen here – for, Western experts have sufficiently ruined this nation, and the world, to warrant any faith in their diagnosis and their prescriptions.

First, let's try to understand how the war veteran got to the stage of PTSD in the first place.



For the reader's orientation, please permit me to coldly state that I am that 'untermensch' upon whose civilizations the proud, the brave, the bold veterans of America and its Allies waged their boundless courage 20,000 miles away from their own home, in whose homes they slaughtered our children calling it *collateral damage* just as the CIA's hit team started arriving in Afghanistan courageously

proclaiming: ***“We will export death and violence to the four corners of the earth in defense of our great nation.”*** (Bob Woodward in *Bush at War*).

You, dear veteran, could have shown a different kind of courage too at that time and avoided the injury to your soul altogether. Instead of signing up to bravely rain Daisy Cutters and cruise missiles upon barefooted children, upon cities, upon civilian infrastructures, upon wedding parties, upon defenseless men, women, and children, and continually be fearing that hypothetical day when a future Nuremberg might administer you the same victor's justice as your nation routinely administers to the vanquished, you could have signed up to say NO to immoral conquests of your ruling elite. You could have signed up to say NO to economic conscription and found other ways to fund your college education, other ways to earn your livelihood. And you could have used the tiny gray matter to see through the facade of false patriotism, of inculcated false beliefs, of false flag operations, of false enemies, of falsely identified culprits, of *“imperial mobilization”* disguised as “war on terror”.

Just like one among you had done, not too long ago, sparing himself the moral scar tissue you find yourselves saddled with today as its new victims. This is what this courageous fellow had found the nerve to state then:

'Why should they ask me to put on a uniform and go 10,000 miles from home and drop bombs and bullets on Brown people in Vietnam while so-called Negro people in Louisville are treated like dogs and denied simple human rights? No I'm not going 10,000 miles from home to help murder and burn another poor nation simply to continue the domination of white slave masters of the darker people the world over. This is the day when such evils must come to an end. I have been warned that to take such a stand would cost me millions of dollars. But I have said it once and I will say it again. The real enemy of my people is here. I will not disgrace my religion, my people or myself by becoming a tool to enslave those who are fighting for their own justice, freedom and equality. If I thought the war was going to bring freedom and equality to 22 million of my people they wouldn't have to draft me, I'd join tomorrow. I have nothing to lose by standing up for my beliefs. So I'll go to jail, so what? We've been in jail for 400 years.' (Redemption Song: Muhammad Ali and the Spirit of the Sixties (1999) by Mike Marqusee, quoted from Wikipedia page on Muhammad Ali)

You could have done the same thing, no?

Yet, you didn't.



You took that uncourageous path of accepting to shoot at my 'untermensch' peoples because your leaders ordered you to do so. Your blind deeds borne of ***"I was just following orders"*** have made you your own victims. Don't think that only you know in the privacy of your anguish how horrendous some of these crimes were. The victims know too, both the dead ones for whom the war and misery has ended, and the living for whom it is never ending. You

think your suffering from PTSD is painful? Ask those whose tabula rasa you have shattered while ***"following orders"***. And though silently and apathetically spectating, the world spectators aren't blind either. The veterans suffers only in a glass cage that appears opaque to them in the hell of their private shame. But it is only a one-way mirror, entirely transparent from the other side.

Unless you can squarely face up to that grotesque fact without self-delusions and false justifications, that you have monumentally wronged the 'lesser peoples' while slumbering under your patriotic zeal and implanted false beliefs, the redemption will remain illusive. Just like this Winter Soldier squarely faced up to his crimes against humanity without making excuses:

'And I tried hard to be proud of my service but all I could feel was shame. These were peoples, these were human beings. I have since been plagued by guilt. I feel guilt anytime I see a mother with her children. I feel guilt anytime I see a young girl. We are told we are fighting terrorists; the real terrorist was me and the real terrorism is this occupation. Those who send us to war do not have to pull a trigger or land a mortar round. They don't have to fight the war, they merely have to sell the war. They need a public who is willing to send their soldiers in a harms way. They need soldiers who are willing to kill and be killed without question. They can spend millions on a single bomb, but that bomb only becomes a weapon when the ranks of the military are willing to follow orders to use it. Our enemy is not 5000 miles away, they are right here at home. If we organize and fight with our sisters and brothers, we can stop this war, we can stop this government, and we can create a better world.' (Iraq war veteran Mike Prysner admits his shame and guilt after the fact, and suggests the only remedy possible for preventing future shame – had he only paid attention to what Muhammad Ali had done before the fact, March 15, 2008, <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5jGmMbQPJTU>)

But that private suffering, I believe, is also a mercy upon man – for within it also lies the only seed of positive redemption. This aspect is very important to comprehend fully. It is not theological gibberish of religious salvation which I expound here (I leave that to the priests), but empirical psych-physiology of what makes us a human being. In its clarity, lies the practicable solution-space. Both for suffering veterans of today, and for preventing future suffering veterans of tomorrow.

So please permit me to explain at length, for indeed, no leader glibly sending America's kids off to perpetual wars, no MD serving in empire's own imperial institutions under AMA and FDA guidelines to stick to their officially mandated medical protocols or lose the license to practice medicine, and no priest carrying empire's renewed white man's burden to bring us 'untermenschen' their 'Jesus' (watch video <http://youtube.com/watch?v=hVGmbzDLq5c>) will explain the following commonsense.

Only if man has a conscience, a soul, that he naturally suffers when he indulges in horrendous crimes, what modern soulless medicine calls PTSD. Just think, if we had no conscience, there would be no mental anguish, and thus no psychological traumas!

The proof of this straightforward observation is to simply ask the empirical question: do we ever observe the mighty generals and noble presidents, who, under orders from their own task-masters, destroy entire nations and civilizations before being awarded Nobel Peace Prizes for their peace-making, suffering from PTSD? No. They are never known to walk the night lamenting: *"All the perfumes of Arabia will not sweeten this little hand", and die rather "holily in their beds."* (MacBeth). That is because they have no conscience, they have no 'soul'. This pathology, more aptly termed pathocracy, is not just Zen-babble or Shakespearean theater. It is now even clinically coming to the surface. See the book *Political Ponerology: A Science on The Nature of Evil adjusted for Political Purposes* by Andrew M. Lobaczewski (<http://ponerology.com>).

While the rest of us may suffer from the "banality of evil" whose only known cure is moral courage and moral redemption, the psychopath is observed to be suffering from some yet to be precisely identified structural abnormality which makes him and her completely impervious to feeling empathy. He and she is evidently either born without a conscience (or, some how had it killed off in unrecoverable ways in rituals and rites peculiar to the elite who send ordinary men and women to the slaughter without batting an eye). This creature appears as normal person to us, lives and moves among us as a normal person, but inevitably always seems to rather uncannily end up in ranking leadership positions where it easily makes immoral decisions for narrow interests without compunction and remorse. He and she experiences no more cognitive dissonance ordering an atomic bomb dropped, than ordering a rendition flight, or sentencing a frail Aafia Siddiqui to 86 years in jail. Whereas, the evil normal people face, both you and I, was aptly captured by Hannah Arendt by that term "Banality of Evil" when trying to comprehend how the *Good German* was created in Nazi Germany.

Hannah Arendt arrived at the conclusion that it was a combination of lack of moral courage, easily succumbing to authority figures by one's nature, through sustained indoctrinations to obey authority, and due to the blind allegiance to discharging one's duties without reflecting upon the consequences of those duties. The 'banality', ordinariness of individual evils, added up suddenly becomes extraordinary in its proportion and consequences. This is the exact same description of how the *Good American* has been created today for which, you are now paying the price of PTSD due to all that red blood of my peoples upon your hands.

No? Yes! Read it [here](#) to see it from the eyes of one upon whose Muslim civilizations, your patriotic munificence was so courageously unleashed by the cumulative “banality of evil”.

We, the ordinary peoples, who often become canon fodder for pathocrats, have a conscience, we are not psychopaths. We may however become so if we continue to suffer and continue to inflict suffering upon others to the point that physiological changes in our bodies irreversibly kill off those brain structures where empathy springs from, where conscience resides, where, in Freudian terms, the superego keeps our id and ego in check. But short of becoming a psychopath, there is a moral path of redemption available to all of us which isn't available to the pathocrats who send us to our death smiling. Who never suffer from PTSD.

So, what is the cure for this tortuous scarring of the moral psyche of normal persons which modern medicine calls PTSD? What is the path of redemption? Permit me to share our Eastern wisdom, our ancient medicine, our redemptive therapy, something that adventurers coming to bomb and rob us somehow conveniently fail to carry back with them to their own civilization along with their plunder and their mental scars. The cure is not in physical therapy, it is not in confessionals in priest boxes, and it is not on a psychiatrist's couch, nor in going back into childhood to find and kill-off old demons, real and imagined. The damage caused to the spiritual essence of man for enduring horrendous moral crimes, only the spiritual resurgence can cure. That is what modern medicine cannot give you because there is no profit in it for big-Pharma. Nor is it in the interest of the war-mongers to have their VA hospitals advocate spiritual resurgence – the kind I describe below – for they must have a continuous supply of new recruits of patriotic and economic conscriptions as canon fodder to fight their perpetual wars.

Moral or spiritual redemption is only possible in undertaking moral acts, not in mere words, but in courageous endeavors which go beyond the normal existence, just as the cataclysms which created PTSD went beyond the normal existence. Acts which border on heroism, and which permit us to rise to our better-selves primarily in the service of those whom we have injured by both commissions, and omissions. Only such redemptive acts can assuage our guilt sufficiently to enable atoning for our earlier moral lapses. In certain societies, perhaps still today, judges would sometimes award the guilty a punishment of such a type, to go humbly serve the family of those whom they have injured, whose bread-winners they killed. When implemented properly, it helped heal both the victim, and most interestingly, also the victimizer. Not too profound when one thinks about it, is it? Even Hollywood learns – as they evidently did in what may have been the topical sequel to *the Deer Hunter*, Tom Cruise's 2003 movie, *The*

Last Samurai! The *Metanoia* experienced by the American soldier *Nathan Algren*, beckons today's PTSD villains. The Greek term for 'afterthought repentance', *metanoia*, denotes a change of mind, a reorientation, an awakening, a fundamental transformation of outlook, a spiritual conversion, walking the path of moral redemption and penitence in consequence.

Those who have undertaken such strivings, ask them their experiences. I imagine that asking Ken O'Keefe for instance, the man who is off in Gaza braving bullets whizzing by his head, bullets which he once himself inflicted upon the 'untermensch' in whose defense he now braves it with empty hands, might be an interesting exercise for America's veterans.

Not only can the veterans help heal themselves with such courageous efforts undertaken with direct moral acts in their own nation, but they can even help prevent future veterans from coming into existence by leading conscientious objector movements across their nation, teaching and warning the youngsters often enlisting as victims of economic conscription and state propaganda, the very words and analyses available on these stellar websites.

If each suffering veteran of America can prevent just one new future suffering veteran from being created, the healing efficacy of their moral strivings will be nothing short of miraculous! Just imagine when they can prevent ten?

If the suffering veterans of America can forge a movement to loudly say NO to the vile indignities being heaped upon us right here at home in the land of the free, they can make a difference to their own healing.



Here is the most recent grotesque example of what we all face: [Boy Asks TSA 'Why Pat Down Mom And Not Me?', TSA Replies "You Don't Have Boobs"](#).

Saying NO to invasive body scans at airports, and saying NO to humiliating patdowns as well, and drawing media and public attention to those bold NOs can even galvanize the public to do the same, and to join forces with you to reclaim your nation from the rapidly closing

jaws of a police-state. If servicemen remain unaware of the real agenda behind these vile physical gropings of America's men, women, and children, as is amply evidenced by the blind

compliance of the active duty American soldier going through an American airport in uniform carrying an automatic weapon – a narrative which appeared in Veterans Today and which drove me up the wall that someone can so courageously shoot at barefooted people in Afghanistan, Iraq, Pakistan, but can't say NO to absurdities in his own country – see my article '[Body-scan Alert - Not Suffering Indignities at Airports](#)'. Will that soldier also only acquire his moralsense under PTSD once he is a veteran? Isn't there something grotesquely wrong with that picture?

A single dose of resonating moral NOs across the land of the free will prove to be far more therapeutic to the veterans of America than a 1000 psychedelic joints of Dr. Phil Leveque's prescription which he simultaneously avers: *"My subject matter is that whatever the degree of PTSD/TBI the condition is almost permanent."* And thus, by his own admission, asserts all ingestive medicines being ineffective.

Can you, the veterans of America who have finally woken up, kindly give an active think to this prescription? As Ray McGovern lamented in Washington DC at a war veterans' march upon the White House, December 16, 2010, which was only a hundred veteran strong instead of one to two million:

[quoting Daniel Berrigan] *Those who say **let us have peace**, often tack on: **but let us risk nothing, let our lives stand intact, let us know neither prison, not ill repute, nor ridicule from friends, nor disruption of ties.** There is no peace, says Berrigan, because the making of peace is just as costly as the making of war. At least as liable to bring disgrace and prison.* So, we accept our responsibility here. We are going to do all we can to stop the violence being perpetrated in our name. And so, if the making of peace means prison, that's where you are gonna find us! (watch <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1WK5--Us7v0>)

And where were all the tens of thousands of war veterans suffering from guilty consciences?

They were, and still are too busy reading websites like this one to bother striving for real redemption – they'd rather just talk about it while collecting their minuscule pecuniary compensation from the Veterans Administration!

You don't need any more knowledge my friends. You don't need any more study. You don't need to read any more websites to learn what has happened to you. All that these words do for

you is to turn you into even more armchair wallowers caught between diligent study and PTSD. But no redemption. Knowledge does not lead to acts of redemption. Only *metanoia* does! And that comes from within – not by reading more websites!

How can you, the equally discarded victims of America's wars of hegemony, elevate your *metanoia*, your new found awareness of how you were sent off to fight for the narrow interests of an elite hell-bent on destroying your own nation – all amply demonstrated by the stellar writings and beautiful words appearing on Veterans Today and Salem News for those who really don't already know – into principled coordinated moral acts which can reverse the grotesque police-state transpiring in your own nation right now? And by so doing, help avert a global catastrophe, a planned Armageddon upon the remaining 'untermenschen'! That is an unparalleled self-healing path, unmatched by modern medicine as well as natural sedatives.

If I, a mere civilian mouse, a foreigner in the United States pursuing his 'American Dream' like everyone else, can say NO to state tyranny – read it [here](#) – and I scare just as easily as any other mouse, have a family, have aspirations, have passions, have no history of maladjustment except by choice since 911 when I consciously chose to become a *malcontent* (in H. G. Wells' words) and *maladjusted* (in Martin Luther King Jr.'s words) because there was simply no other choice (read it [here](#) and [here](#)); if this disabled wheelchair bound young man protesting state tyranny in the streets of London can say NO – watch it at <http://youtube.com/watch?v=ZL4eL0sLzKU> – and he is suffering from cerebral palsy; is there some very good reason why the hurly-burly American veterans of wars' brutalities should continue to comply with tyrannical absurdities which are destroying their own nation-state? I believe this is where the certificate of everlasting virtue from the Veterans Administration comes in handy.

But, if the war veteran, you, boldly rise beyond such certificates, if you rise beyond the mere psychedelic words you read on paper which always look good in print and in speeches, if you instead stand alongside the very 'untermensch' whom you once oppressed, serve the victim families from Afghanistan to Iraq whose bread-winners you once destroyed with a humility you were never acquainted with as a US marine, stand-up for your own nation's peoples suffering under the jackboots of the same tyrants who caused you to suffer PTSD in immoral *imperial mobilizations* deceptively sold to you as *preemptive war on terror in defense of your nation*, **your yesterday becomes a mere prologue, the rest of your life, finally your own.**

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Zahir Ebrahim | Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

California, United States

Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/12/letter-american-war-veteran-ptsd-cure.html>

Mirror URL: <http://bloghumanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/2010/12/18/letter-american-war-veteran-ptsd-cure-by-zahirebrahim/>

Source PDF: <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2010/12/letter-american-war-veteran-ptsd-cure-by-zahirebrahim-3.pdf>

Last updated 12/22/2010 12:00:07 4950

Thank you for reading

**The Poor-Man's Guide to
Modernity**

For more deep sea fishing, visit:

<http://humanbeingsfirst.org>